

Dated: October 19, 1998.

A. Stanley Meiburg,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 4.

[FR Doc. 98-30720 Filed 11-16-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

**FEDERAL EMERGENCY
MANAGEMENT AGENCY**

44 CFR Part 64

[Docket No. FEMA-7701]

Suspension of Community Eligibility

AGENCY: Federal Emergency Management Agency, FEMA.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This rule identifies communities, where the sale of flood insurance has been authorized under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP), that are suspended on the effective dates listed within this rule because of noncompliance with the floodplain management requirements of the program. If the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) receives documentation that the community has adopted the required floodplain management measures prior to the effective suspension date given in this rule, the suspension will be withdrawn by publication in the **Federal Register**.

EFFECTIVE DATES: The effective date of each community's suspension is the third date ("Susp.") listed in the third column of the following tables.

ADDRESSES: If you wish to determine whether a particular community was suspended on the suspension date, contact the appropriate FEMA Regional Office or the NFIP servicing contractor.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robert F. Shea, Jr., Division Director, Program Implementation Division, Mitigation Directorate, 500 C Street, SW., Room 417, Washington, DC 20472, (202) 646-3619.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The NFIP enables property owners to purchase flood insurance which is generally not otherwise available. In return, communities agree to adopt and administer local floodplain management aimed at protecting lives and new construction from future flooding. Section 1315 of the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 4022, prohibits flood insurance coverage as authorized under the National Flood Insurance Program, 42 U.S.C. 4001 *et seq.*, unless an appropriate public body adopts adequate floodplain management

measures with effective enforcement measures. The communities listed in this document no longer meet that statutory requirement for compliance with program regulations, 44 CFR part 59 *et seq.* Accordingly, the communities will be suspended on the effective date in the third column. As of that date, flood insurance will no longer be available in the community. However, some of these communities may adopt and submit the required documentation of legally enforceable floodplain management measures after this rule is published but prior to the actual suspension date. These communities will not be suspended and will continue their eligibility for the sale of insurance. A notice withdrawing the suspension of the communities will be published in the **Federal Register**.

In addition, the Federal Emergency Management Agency has identified the special flood hazard areas in these communities by publishing a Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM). The date of the FIRM if one has been published, is indicated in the fourth column of the table. No direct Federal financial assistance (except assistance pursuant to the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act not in connection with a flood) may legally be provided for construction or acquisition of buildings in the identified special flood hazard area of communities not participating in the NFIP and identified for more than a year, on the Federal Emergency Management Agency's initial flood insurance map of the community as having flood-prone areas (section 202(a) of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973, 42 U.S.C. 4106(a), as amended). This prohibition against certain types of Federal assistance becomes effective for the communities listed on the date shown in the last column.

The Associate Director finds that notice and public comment under 5 U.S.C. 553(b) are impracticable and unnecessary because communities listed in this final rule have been adequately notified.

Each community receives a 6-month, 90-day, and 30-day notification addressed to the Chief Executive Officer that the community will be suspended unless the required floodplain management measures are met prior to the effective suspension date. Since these notifications have been made, this final rule may take effect within less than 30 days.

National Environmental Policy Act

This rule is categorically excluded from the requirements of 44 CFR Part

10, Environmental Considerations. No environmental impact assessment has been prepared.

Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Associate Director has determined that this rule is exempt from the requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act because the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 4022, prohibits flood insurance coverage unless an appropriate public body adopts adequate floodplain management measures with effective enforcement measures. The communities listed no longer comply with the statutory requirements, and after the effective date, flood insurance will no longer be available in the communities unless they take remedial action.

Regulatory Classification

This final rule is not a significant regulatory action under the criteria of section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866 of September 30, 1993, Regulatory Planning and Review, 58 FR 51735.

Paperwork Reduction Act

This rule does not involve any collection of information for purposes of the Paperwork Reduction Act, 44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*

Executive Order 12612, Federalism

This rule involves no policies that have federalism implications under Executive Order 12612, Federalism, October 26, 1987, 3 CFR, 1987 Comp., p. 252.

Executive Order 12778, Civil Justice Reform

This rule meets the applicable standards of section 2(b)(2) of Executive Order 12778, October 25, 1991, 56 FR 55195, 3 CFR, 1991 Comp., p. 309.

List of Subjects in 44 CFR Part 64

Flood insurance, Floodplains.

Accordingly, 44 CFR part 64 is amended as follows:

PART 64—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for Part 64 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 4001 *et seq.*; Reorganization Plan No. 3 of 1978, 3 CFR, 1978 Comp., p. 329; E.O. 12127, 44 FR 19367, 3 CFR, 1979 Comp., p. 376.

§ 64.6 [Amended]

2. The tables published under the authority of § 64.6 are amended as follows:

State/location	Community No.	Effective date of eligibility	Current effective map date	Date Certain Federal assistance no longer available in Special flood hazard areas
Region I				
Connecticut:				
Plymouth, town of, Litchfield County	090138	Sept. 4, 1975, Emerg.; Oct. 15, 1982, Reg.; Nov. 6, 1998, Susp.	Nov. 6, 1998	Nov. 6, 1998.
Windham, town of, Windham County	090119	June 26, 1975, Emerg.; Feb. 3, 1982, Reg.; Nov. 6, 1998, Susp.do	Do.
Region IV				
North Carolina: Carteret County, unincorporated areas.	370043	Nov. 19, 1971, Emerg.; May 15, 1980, Reg.; Nov. 6, 1998, Susp.do	Do.
Region V				
Minnesota: East Grand Forks, city of, Polk County.	275236	Jan. 19, 1973, Emerg.; Sept. 15, 1977, Reg.; Nov. 6, 1998, Susp.do	Do.
Region I				
Maine:				
Sidney, town of, Kennebec County	230247	May 10, 1976, Emerg.; March 18, 1987, Reg.; Nov. 20, 1998, Susp.	Nov. 20, 1998 ...	Nov. 20, 1998.
Vienna, town of, Kennebec County	230249	May 3, 1976, Emerg.; Aug. 19, 1985, Reg.; Nov. 20, 1998, Susp.do	Do.
Massachusetts: Sudbury, town of, Middlesex County.	250217	Aug. 1, 1975, Emerg.; June 1, 1982, Reg.; Nov. 20, 1998, Susp.do	Do.
Region III				
West Virginia: Berkeley County, unincorporated areas.	540282	July 29, 1975, Emerg.; Aug. 4, 1988, Reg.; Nov. 20, 1998, Susp.do	Do.
Region IV				
North Carolina:				
Grifton, town of, Lenoir and Pitt Counties.	370192	April 10, 1975, Emerg.; Feb. 17, 1982, Reg.; Nov. 20, 1998, Susp.do	Do.
Raleigh, city of, Wake County	370243	Aug. 31, 1973, Emerg.; Aug. 15, 1978, Reg.; Nov. 20, 1998, Susp.do	Do.
Region VI				
Arkansas: West Memphis, city of, Crittenden County.	050055	June 6, 1974, Emerg.; July 16, 1980, Reg.; Nov. 20, 1998, Susp.do	Do.
Texas:				
Gonzales County, unincorporated areas	480253	Nov. 8, 1973, Emerg.; Aug. 15, 1978, Reg.; Nov. 20, 1998, Susp.do	Do.
Guadalupe County, unincorporated areas.	480266	Sept. 22, 1972, Emerg.; March 1, 1979, Reg.; Nov. 20, 1998, Susp.do	Do.
Victoria County, unincorporated areas ..	480637	April 16, 1979, Emerg.; Sept. 18, 1987, Reg.; Nov. 20, 1998, Susp.do	Do.
Region VII				
Iowa:				
Carlisle, city of, Warren County	190274	December 17, 1974, Emerg.; Aug. 4, 1987, Reg.; Nov. 20, 1998, Susp.do	Do.
Indianola, city of, Warren County	190275	June 1, 1977, Emerg.; July 31, 1979, Reg.; Nov. 20, 1998, Susp.do	Do.
Norwalk, city of, Warren County	190631	March 3, 1993, Emerg.; Nov. 20, 1998, Reg.; Nov. 20, 1998, Susp.do	Do.
Warren County, unincorporated areas ..	190912	Nov. 19, 1990, Emerg.; July 1, 1991, Reg.; Nov. 20, 1998, Susp.do	Do.
Region IX				
California:				
Firebaugh, city of, Fresno and Madera Counties.	060046	June 20, 1975, Emerg.; Aug. 23, 1982, Reg.; Nov. 20, 1998, Susp.do	Do.
Fresno County, unincorporated areas ...	065029	June 30, 1970, Emerg.; December 1, 1982, Reg.; Nov. 20, 1998, Susp.do	Do.
Madera County, unincorporated areas ..	060170	March 3, 1972, Emerg.; Aug. 4, 1987, Reg.; Nov. 20, 1998, Susp.do	Do.
Winters, city of, Yolo County	060425	April 11, 1975, Emerg.; Dec. 1, 1978, Reg.; Nov. 20, 1998, Susp.do	Do.
Nevada: Lyon County, unincorporated areas	320029	April 20, 1982, Emerg.; Sept. 30, 1982, Reg.; Nov. 20, 1998, Susp.do	Do.

Code for reading third column: Emerg.-Emergency; Reg.-Regular; Rein.-Reinstatement; Susp.-Suspension.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No. 83.100, "Flood Insurance")

Issued: November 3, 1998.

Michael J. Armstrong,

Associate Director for Mitigation.

[FR Doc. 98-30707 Filed 11-16-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6718-05-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Coast Guard

46 CFR Part 199

[CGD 84-069]

RIN 2115-AB72

Lifesaving Equipment; Correction

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DOT.

ACTION: Correcting amendments.

SUMMARY: This document corrects the regulations [CGD 84-069], which the Coast Guard published Thursday, October 1, 1998 (63 FR 52802). The regulations revised the lifesaving equipment requirements for U.S. inspected vessels.

DATES: Effective on November 17, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mr. Robert Markle, Chief, Lifesaving and Fire Safety Standards Division (G-MSE-4), U.S. Coast Guard Headquarters, by e-mail at RMarkle@comdt.uscg.mil, telephone at 202-267-1444, or fax at 202-267-1069.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

This project is part of the President's Regulatory Review Initiative to remove or revise unnecessary government regulations. This project removed numerous obsolete sections from the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) and eliminated others by consolidating the lifesaving requirements for most U.S. inspected vessels in the new subchapter W in 46 CFR chapter I. Subchapter W also replaced many prescriptive regulations with performance-based alternatives.

You can find more detailed background information in the preamble of the final rule published on October 1, 1998 (63 FR 52802), under

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION.

Need for Correction

As published, the final regulations contain typographical errors that may mislead the reader and need to be corrected.

List of Subjects in 46 CFR Part 199

Cargo vessels, Incorporation by reference, Marine safety, Oil and gas

exploration, Passenger vessels, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Vessels.

Accordingly, 46 CFR part 199 is corrected by making the following amendments:

PART 199—LIFESAVING SYSTEMS FOR CERTAIN INSPECTED VESSELS

1. The authority citation for part 199 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 46 U.S.C. 3306, 3703; 46 CFR 1.46.

§ 199.10 [Amended]

2. In § 199.10(A) amend Table 199.10(a) by, in the first entry for "D" and in the first entry for "I", under the column heading "Vessel Type", removing the symbol ">" and adding, in its place, the symbol "≥"; and in the second entry for "D", under the column heading "Vessel Type", removing the symbol ">" and adding, in its place, the symbol "<".

§ 199.630 [Amended]

3. In § 199.630(a) amend Table 199.630(a) by, in the entry for "199.201(b)", under the column heading "Lakes, bays, and sounds", removing "199.630(g)^{2 3}" and adding, in its place, "199.630(g)".

Dated: November 10, 1998.

Joseph J. Angelo,

Director of Standards, Marine Safety and Environmental Protection.

[FR Doc. 98-30726 Filed 11-16-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-15-M

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 2

[ET Docket No. 97-157, FCC 98-261]

Reallocation of Television Channels 60-69, the 746-806 MHz Band

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: By this *Memorandum Opinion and Order*, the Commission reconsiders its decisions in the *Report and Order* in this proceeding, and declines to change its decision to allow no new television (TV) permittees to operate in channels 60-69. The Commission also declines to change the status of low-power TV and TV translators in channels 60-69.

DATES: Effective November 17, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Sean White, Office of Engineering and Technology, (202) 418-2453.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Commission's *Memorandum Opinion and Order*, adopted October 5, 1998, and released October 9, 1998. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during regular business hours in the FCC Reference Center (Room CY-C404), 445 Twelfth Street, SW, Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision also may be purchased from the Commission's duplication contractor, International Transcription Service, Inc., (202) 857-3800, 2100 M Street, N.W., Suite 140, Washington, D.C. 20037.

Summary of Memorandum Opinion and Order

1. In this *Memorandum Opinion and Order*, the Commission addresses four petitions for reconsideration of the *Report and Order*, 63 FR 6669, February 10, 1998, in this proceeding. In the *Report and Order*, the Commission reallocated TV channels 63, 64, 68, and 69 to the fixed and mobile services, and designated them for the exclusive use of public safety, and channels 60-62 and 65-67 for commercial use pursuant to a future auction. The Commission also declined to adopt additional protections for low-power TV and TV translator stations beyond those adopted in its *DTV Proceeding*. See *In re Advanced Television Systems and Their Impact upon the Existing Television Broadcast Service* (DTV Proceeding), MM Docket No. 87-268, *Fifth Report and Order*, 62 FR 26996, May 16, 1997, (on reconsideration, 63 FR 13546, March 20, 1998); *Sixth Report and Order*, 62 FR 26684, May 14, 1997, (on reconsideration, 63 FR 15774, April 1998), and stated that no new applications will be considered for the provision of analog TV service in channels 60-69, but that current applicants would, at a later date, be afforded an opportunity to amend their applications to seek channels below 60 upon which to provide service. The Commission received petitions for reconsideration of the *Report and Order* from four parties. Three of these parties requested reconsideration of the decision to consider granting no new applications in channels 60-69, and one requested reconsideration of the decision to provide no additional protection to low-power TV and TV translator stations.

2. The Commission found that it had the authority to dismiss license applications when the public interest so demands. The Commission also found that it was the intention of Congress in the Balanced Budget Act of 1997 that channels 60-69 were to be reallocated