Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

David P. Boergers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98–30819 Filed 11-17-98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. SA99-1-000]

Burlington Resources Oil & Gas Company; Notice of Petition for Adjustment

November 12, 1998.

Take notice that on October 30, 1998, Burlington Resources Oil & Gas Company (Burlington), filed a petition for adjustment under section 502(c) of the Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978 (NGPA), requesting an order from the Commission finding that Burlington has no Kansas ad valorem tax refund liability to Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company (Panhandle), under the Commission's September 10, 1997 order in Docket No. RP97–369–000 *et al.*¹ Burlington's petition is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

The Commission's September 10 order on remand from the D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals ² directed First Sellers under the NGPA to make Kansas ad valorem tax refunds, with interest, for the period from 1983 to 1988.

Panhandle served Southland Royalty Company (Southland) with a Kansas ad valorem tax refund claim. Burlington states that Southland was merged into Burlington on January 1, 1996, i.e., Burlington is Southland's successor.

Burlington further states that
Southland entered into a November 24,
1992 Letter Agreement with Panhandle,
which terminated the applicable Gas
Purchase Agreements giving rise to
Southland's refund obligation to
Panhandle. Burlington adds that
Paragraph 7 of that Letter Agreement
released the parties from any claims
under those contracts. In view of this,
Burlington contends that Panhandle's
Kansas ad valorem tax refund claim is

a claim against Southland that relates to the Southland-Panhandle contracts and that, as such, Panhandle assumed the risk of and liability for the subject refunds. Accordingly, Burlington contends that it does not owe any refunds to Panhandle.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said petition should on or before 15 days after the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of this notice, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214, 385.211, 385.1105, and 385.1106). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

David P. Boergers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98–30818 Filed 11–17–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP99-141-000]

KN Wattenberg Transmission Limited Liability Co.; Notice of Tariff Filing

November 12, 1998.

Take notice that on November 5, 1998, KN Wattenberg Transmission Limited Liability Co. (KNW) tendered for filing to become a part of KNW's FERC Gas Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1, the following revised tariff sheets, to be effective December 5, 1998:

First Revised Sheet No. 0
First Revised Sheet No. 16
First Revised Sheet No. 77
First Revised Sheet No. 80
First Revised Sheet No. 81
First Revised Sheet No. 82
First Revised Sheet No. 88
First Revised Sheet No. 91
First Revised Sheet No. 91
First Revised Sheet No. 92
First Revised Sheet No. 93
First Revised Sheet No. 94
First Revised Sheet No. 95
First Revised Sheet No. 95
First Revised Sheet No. 99

KNW is making this housekeeping filing as an effort to clarify and correct various sections of KNW's FERC Tariff. KNW states that copies of the filing were served upon all affected firm customers of KNW and applicable state agencies.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Sections 385.214 or 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests must be filed in accordance with Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings.

Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

David P. Boergers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98–30820 Filed 11–17–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket Nos. RP98-259-001 and TM99-2-31-001 (not consolidated)]

NorAm Gas Transmission Company; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

November 12, 1998.

Take notice that on November 6, 1998, NorAm Gas Transmission Company (NGT) tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Fourth Revised volume No. 1, the following revised tariff sheets to be effective November 1, 1998:

Docket No. RP98-259-000

Substitute Thirteenth Revised Sheet No. 5 Substitute Thirteenth Revised Sheet No. 6

Docket No. TM99-2-31-000

Substitute Fourteenth Revised Sheet No. 5 Substitute Fourteenth Revised Sheet No. 6

NGT states that this filing is being submitted to reflect administrative corrections related to NGT's approved Electric Power Costs (EPC) surcharge with respect to its inclusion in the Maximum and Minimum Commodity and Overrun Rates.

Any person desiring to protest this filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C.

¹ See 80 FERC ¶ 61,264 (1997); order denying rehearing issued January 28, 1998, 82 FERC ¶ 61,058 (1998).

² Public Service Company of Colorado v. FERC, 91 F.3d 1478 (D.C. 1996), cert. denied, Nos. 96–954 and 96–1230 (65 U.S.L.W. 3751 and 3754, May 12, 1997) (Public Service).