

information and argument to the contrary.

The Department agrees with the domestic industry, in part, concerning the choice of the "all others" rate. We have no basis for applying the Department's early all others rate policy to the Treasury investigation. In fact, the Department itself abandoned the practice of applying the highest rate for responding firms as the all others rate. Currently, the all others rate is the weighted-average of the individual dumping margins calculated for those exporters and producers that are individually investigated. Therefore, we agree with the domestic industry that the weighted-average dumping margin for all sales of the subject merchandise, as calculated by Treasury and published by the Commission in its final injury determination for this proceeding, is an appropriate measure of the first "all others" rate. Thus, the Department will report to the Commission the company-specific and all others rates from the original investigation as contained in the Final Results of Review section of this notice.

**Final Results of Review**

As a result of this review, the Department finds that revocation of the antidumping finding would likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the margins listed below:

Manufacturer/exporter	Margin (percent)
Kawasaki Steel Techno-Wire Co, Ltd. (formerly Kawatetsu Wire Products Co., Ltd.)	Investigation
Shinko Wire Co., Ltd .....	Discontinued
Sumitomo Electric Industries, Ltd. (and exported by Sumitomo Corp.).	13.3 Revoked
Suzuki Metal Industry Co., Ltd.	6.9
Tokyo Rope Manufacturing Co., Ltd.	4.5
All Others .....	9.76

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305 of the Department's regulations. Timely notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This five-year ("sunset") review and notice are in accordance with sections 751(c), 752, and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: December 30, 1998.

**Richard W. Moreland,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 99-247 Filed 1-5-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**International Trade Administration**

[A-583-008]

**Certain Welded Carbon Steel Pipe and Tube From Taiwan; Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of extension of time limit.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) is extending the time limit for the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on circular welded carbon steel pipe and tube from Taiwan. The review covers two manufacturers/exporters of the subject merchandise and the period May 1, 1997 through April 30, 1998.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** January 6, 1999.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Martin Odenyo or John Kugelmann, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement, Group III, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482-5254 or 482-0649, respectively.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On June 29, 1998, the Department initiated this administrative review of the antidumping duty order on circular welded carbon steel pipe and tube from Taiwan (62 FR 40258). The current deadline for the preliminary results is January 30, 1999. We determined that it is not practicable to complete this review within the original time frame. (See Memorandum to Robert S. LaRussa dated December 30, 1998.)

Accordingly, the deadline for issuing the preliminary results of this review is now May 28, 1999. The deadline for issuing the final results of this review will be no later than 120 days from the publication of the preliminary results.

This extension is in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(3)(A)).

Dated: December 30, 1998.

**Joseph A. Spetrini,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for AD/CVD Enforcement, Group III.*

[FR Doc. 99-243 Filed 1-5-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-M

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**International Trade Administration**

[C-533-816]

**Alignment of Final Countervailing Duty Determination with Final Antidumping Duty Determination: Elastic Rubber Tape from India**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** January 6, 1999.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Vincent Kane or Suresh Maniam, Office I, AD/CVD Enforcement, Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC. 20230; telephone (202) 482-2815 or 482-0176, respectively.

**Applicable Statute:**

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act effective January 1, 1995 (the Act).

**Supplementary Information:**

On November 30, 1998, we completed the preliminary negative countervailing duty determination pertaining to elastic rubber tape from India. On December 4, 1998, the petitioners submitted a letter requesting alignment of the final determination in this investigation with the final determination in the companion antidumping duty investigation. Therefore, in accordance with section 705(a)(1) of the Act, we are aligning the final determination in this investigation with the final antidumping duty determination in the antidumping investigation of elastic rubber tape from India. See *Notice of Initiation of Countervailing Duty Investigation: Elastic Rubber Tape from India*, 63 FR 49549 (September 16, 1998). The final antidumping duty determination is currently due on April 12, 1999.

This notice is published in accordance with section 705(a)(1) of the Act.