

Officer, Suite 850, 1001 G Street, NW, Washington, DC, 20530. Additionally, comments may be submitted to DOJ via facsimile to 202-514-1534. Written comments may also be submitted to the COPS Office, PPSE Division, 1100 Vermont Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20530, or via facsimile at (202) 633-1386.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies should address one or more of the following points:

(1) evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency/component, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) evaluate the accuracy of the agency's/component's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(3) enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

The proposed collection is listed below:

(1) *Type of information collection.* New collection.

(2) *The title of the form/collection.* Regional Community Policing Institute Surveys: Pre-test and Post-test.

(3) *The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection.*

Form: COPS 30/01. Office of Community Oriented Policing Services, United States Department of Justice.

(4) *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract.* A sample of local law enforcement officers and community members receiving training on community policing from a COPS funded RCPI will be surveyed regarding their attitudes toward the RCPI training experience and the impact of training on the delivery of police services and police-citizen relations. The surveys will also capture information on the respondents' training histories, including training taken prior to RCPI participation and a description of the RCPI training program in which they enrolled.

To uphold its mandate to enhance and advance community policing and to foster training and education on

community policing, the COPS Office has provided continued funding to 30 Regional Community Policing Institutes (RCPI). The RCPIs are a mechanism to provide training and technical assistance on community policing to law enforcement agencies and the communities they serve. RCPIs are charged with providing comprehensive and innovative education, training, and technical assistance to COPS grantees and other departments throughout a designated region. The geographic distribution of RCPIs has resulted in the availability of training to law enforcement agencies and communities throughout the nation.

Innovations in traditional training methods are necessary to continue the advancement of community policing in law enforcement agencies throughout the United States. In turn, it is necessary to understand and document the impact of these innovative training programs. The evaluation of the RCPI program will provide vital information on the impact of these training endeavors by closely examining the outcomes of training programs and by assessing police officer and community members' attitudes and behaviors related to the training opportunities. The Regional Community Policing Institute Surveys: Pre-test and Post-test will provide essential information on the impact of training on the behavior and attitudes of police officers and a sample of citizen trainees. The pre-test survey will be administered to officers and community members prior to receiving training from a RCPI and the post-test will be administered to the same group of trainees three months after they receive training.

(5) *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond:* This collection includes pre- and post-test surveys. Approximately 3,000 respondents will be surveyed pre and post. Estimated time to complete each survey is 45 minutes with no preparation time.

(6) *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection.* Approximately 4,500 hours.

Public comment on this proposed information collection is strongly encouraged.

**Robert B. Briggs,**

*Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice.*

[FR Doc. 99-2130 Filed 1-28-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-AT-M

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

In accordance with Department policy, 28 CFR 50.7, notice is hereby given that a proposed Consent Decree in *United States and State of Vermont v. Bennington Potters, Inc., et al.*, Civil Action Nos. 2:98-CV-421 and 2:98-CV-422 was lodged on December 31, 1998, with the United States District Court for the District of Vermont.

The complaint in this action seeks to recover, pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. 9601, *et al.*, response costs incurred and to be incurred by EPA at the Bennington Landfill Superfund Site located in the Town of Bennington, Vermont ("Site"). The defendants are Bennington Potters, Inc., EHH Realty Corp., Graphitek of Vermont, Inc. and Lauzon Machine & Engineering, Inc.

The proposed Consent Decree embodies an agreement with four potentially responsible parties ("PRPs") at the Site pursuant to Section 122(g) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9622(g), to pay \$175,000, in aggregate, in settlement of claims for past and future response costs at the Site and claims for natural resource damages. Of this total, \$36,750 will be paid to the United States and \$138,250 will be paid to five parties who are performing a remedial action at the Site. The monies paid to the five performing parties will be used to partially fund the remedial action and a natural resource damages restoration project being performed by the five performing parties.

The Consent Decree provides the settling defendants with a release for civil liability for EPA's and the State of Vermont's ("State's") past and future CERCLA response costs and natural resource damages at the Site for resources under the trusteeship of the Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of Commerce, through the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, and under the trusteeship of the State.

The Department of Justice will receive, for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication, comments relating to the proposed Consent Decree.

Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General for the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, P.O. Box 7611, Ben Franklin Station,

Washington, D.C. 20044, and should refer to *United States and State of Vermont v. Bennington Potters, Inc., et al.*, DOJ Ref. No. 90-11-3-868A/1.

The proposed consent decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, 11 Elmwood Avenue, Burlington Vermont 05401; the Region I Office of the Environmental Protection Agency, Region I Records Center, 90 Canal Street, First Floor, Boston, MA 02203; and at the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street NW, Fourth Floor, Washington, DC 20005, (202) 624-0892. A copy of the proposed consent decree may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library 1120 G Street NW, Fourth Floor, Washington, DC 20005. In requesting a copy, please refer to the referenced case and enclose a check in the amount of \$5.25 (25 cents per page reproduction costs), payable to the Consent Decree Library.

**Bruce Gelber,**

*Deputy Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.*

[FR Doc. 99-2078 Filed 1-28-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-M

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act

Notice is hereby given that on January 7, 1999 a proposed Consent Decree ("Decree") in *United States v. Anne Zabel, et al*, Civil Action No. CIV98-4162, was lodged with the United States District Court for the District of South Dakota. The United States filed this action pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act, as amended ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. 9601, et seq., to recover the past response costs incurred at or in connection with the Zabel Battery Site in Sioux Falls, South Dakota.

The proposed Consent Decree resolves claims against Anne Zabel and the City of Sioux Falls. The proposed Consent Decree will recover response costs of \$201,350.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication comments relating to the Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General of the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20530, and should refer to, *United States v. Anne*

*Zabel* Civil Action No. CIV98-4162, and D.J. Ref. #90-11-2-1205.

The Decree may be examined at the United States Department of Justice, Environment and Natural Resources Division, Denver Field Office, 999 18th Street, North Tower Suite 945, Denver, Colorado, 80202 and the U.S. EPA Region VIII, 999 18th Street, Superfund Records Center, Suite 500, Denver, CO 80202, and at the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, NW., 3rd Floor, Washington, DC 20005, (202) 624-0892. A copy of the Decree may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, NW., 3rd Floor, Washington, DC 20005. In requesting a copy, please enclose a check in the amount of \$4.75 for the Decrees (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the Consent Decree Library.

**Joel M. Gross,**

*Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.*

[FR Doc. 99-2077 Filed 1-28-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-M

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

#### Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Advanced Lead-Acid Battery Consortium ("ALABC")

Notice is hereby given that, on October 13, 1998, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), Advanced Lead-Acid Battery Consortium ("ALABC") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership status. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Matsushita Battery Industrial Co., Ltd., Osaka, JAPAN has been added as a party to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and Advanced Lead-Acid Battery Consortium ("ALABC") intends to file additional written notification disclosing all changes in membership.

On June 15, 1992, Advanced Lead-Aid battery Consortium ("ALABC") filed its original notification pursuant to Section

6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on July 29, 1992 (57 FR 33522).

The last notification was filed with the Department on July 13, 1998. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on September 29, 1998 (63 FR 51952).

**Constance K. Robinson,**

*Director of Operations Antitrust Division.*

[FR Doc. 99-2085 Filed 1-28-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

#### Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Biotechnology Research and Development Corporation ("BRDC")

Notice is hereby given that, on November 5, 1998, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), Biotechnology Research and Development Corporation ("BRDC") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership status. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Maxygen, Inc., Santa Clara, CA has been added as a party to this venture.

On September 18, 1998, BRDC issued to Maxygen, Inc. ("Maxygen") and Maxygen purchased from BRDC, 746 $\frac{2}{3}$  shares of common stock, without par value, of BRDC. Simultaneously, with the issuance and purchase of the shares of the common stock, BRDC and Maxygen entered into an Agreement to be bound by BRDC Master Agreement effective as of June 10, 1988, by and among BRDC and its common stockholders. Maxygen has the rights set forth in the BRDC Master Agreement in all project technology made, discovered, conceived, developed, learned or acquired by or on behalf of BRDC in connection with, or arising out of, or as the result of, a research project in existence while Maxygen is a common stockholder of BRDC.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research