

STATUS:**Agenda**

- I. Approval of Agenda
- II. Approval of Minutes of January 22, 1999 Meeting
- III. Announcements
- IV. Staff Director's Report
- V. State Advisory Committee's Follow-up to the Report "Police-Community Relations in Reno, Nevada" (May 1992)
- VI. Future Agenda Items
 - 10:15 a.m. Briefing on the 2000 Census Debate

CONTACT PERSON FOR FURTHER

INFORMATION: David Aronson, Press and Communications (202) 376-8312.

Stephanie Y. Moore,

General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 99-2833 Filed 2-2-99; 2:41 pm]

BILLING CODE 6335-0-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**Submission for OMB Review;
Comment Request**

DOC has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. chapter 35).

AGENCY: Bureau of the Census.

Title: Census 2000—Island Areas.

Form Number(s): Forms: D-1(F) PI, D-1(F) VI, D-2(E) AS, D-2(E) CNMI, D-2(E) G, D-2(E) VI, D-2(E) SUPP AS, D-2(E) SUPP CNMI, D-2(E) SUPP G, D-2(E) SUPP VI, D-10 AS, D-10 CNMI, D-10 G, D-10 VI, D-13 AS, D-13 CNMI, D-13 G, D-13 VI, D-20B PI, D-20B VI, D-21 PI, D-806 IA

Letters and Envelopes: D-5(L) AS, D-5(L) CNMI, D-5(L) G, D-5(L) VI, D-5 PI, D-5 VI, D-7 AS, D-7 CNMI, D-7 G, D-7 VI, D-12 AS, D-12 CNMI, D-12 G, D-12A VI, D-12B VI, D-13(L) AS, D-13(L) CNMI, D-13(L) G, D-13(L) VI, D-14 AS, D-14 CNMI, D-14 G, D-14A VI, D-14B VI, D-26 PI, D-26 VI, D-31 PI, D-31 VI, D-40 PI, D-40 VI.

Agency Approval Number: None.

Type of Request: New collection.

Burden: 72,621 hours in Fiscal Year 2000.

Number of Respondents: 114,000.

Avg Hours Per Response: Interview—47 minutes; Reinterview—6 minutes.

Needs and Uses: The United States Constitution mandates that a census of the Nation's population and housing be taken every 10 years. Title 13 of the United States Code specifies that in addition to the 50 states and the District of Columbia, the census should include Puerto Rico, the U.S. Virgin Islands,

American Samoa, Guam, and the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands. These areas, with the exception of Puerto Rico, are collectively referred to as the Island Areas. This OMB submission covers the Island Areas only. The forms for Puerto Rico have been submitted separately. The Census Bureau's goal in Census 2000 is to take the most accurate and cost-effective census possible. The importance of an accurate decennial census cannot be overstated. Island Areas census data will be used by Federal agencies to fulfill many statutory data requirements and by the Island Areas to administer governmental programs.

Two questionnaires will be used in the Island Areas enumeration—one for the U.S. Virgin Islands, and one for the remaining Island Areas. The content of the questionnaires was developed in consultation with the Interagency Committees of the various Island Areas. Many of the questions are the same as those on the stateside questionnaires; others have been modified as recommended by the Island Areas Interagency Committees to reflect the unique social, economic, and climatic characteristics of these areas. The U.S. Virgin Islands questionnaire is more similar to the stateside forms. Only long form questionnaires will be administered in the Island Areas. A short reinterview will be administered to a small sample of respondents to assure data quality.

Affected Public: Individuals or households.

Frequency: One-time.

Respondent's Obligation: Mandatory.

Legal Authority: Title 13 U.S.C., Sections 141 and 191.

OMB Desk Officer: Nancy Kirkendall, (202) 395-7313.

Copies of the above information collection proposal can be obtained by calling or writing Linda Engelmeier, DOC Forms Clearance Officer, (202) 482-3272, Department of Commerce, room 5327, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to Nancy Kirkendall, OMB Desk Officer, room 10201, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

Dated: January 29, 1999.

Linda Engelmeier,

Departmental Forms Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 99-2575 Filed 2-3-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-07-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**Bureau of Export Administration****India and Pakistan Sanctions**

ACTION: Proposed collection; Comment request.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Pub. L. 104-13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)).

DATES: Written comments must be submitted on or before April 5, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to Linda Engelmeier, Departmental Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 5327, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument(s) and instructions should be directed to Ms. Dawnielle Battle, Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW, Room 6881, Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**I. Abstract**

The Bureau of Export Administration (BXA) has taken a number of sanction measures against India and Pakistan consistent with President Clinton's directive. BXA revised the Export Administration Regulations to implement sanctions by setting forth a licensing policy of denial for exports and reexports of items controlled for nuclear nonproliferation and missile technology reasons to these countries, with limited exceptions for the preservation of safety of civil aircraft. Information needs to be provided through the license application form to support such shipments.

II. Method of Collection

Submitted, as required, on form BXA 748-P.

III. Data

OMB Number: 0694-0111.

Form Number: BXA 748P.

Type of Review: Regular submission for extension of a currently approved collection.

Affected Public: Individuals, businesses or other for-profit and not-for-profit institutions.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 57.

Estimated Time Per Response: 40 to 45 minutes per response.

Estimated Total Annual Burden

Hours: 52 hours.

Estimated Total Annual Cost: \$0 (no capital expenditures).

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they will also become a matter of public record.

Dated: January 29, 1999.

Linda Engelmeier,

Departmental Forms Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 99-2576 Filed 2-3-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DT-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-427-098]

Final Result of Expedited Sunset Review: Anhydrous Sodium Metasilicate From France

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of final result of expedited sunset review: Anhydrous sodium metasilicate from France.

SUMMARY: On October 1, 1998, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") initiated sunset review of the antidumping duty order on anhydrous sodium metasilicate from France (63 FR 52683) pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"). On the bases of the notice of intent to participate and substantive comments filed on behalf of the domestic industry, and inadequate responses (in this case, no response) from respondent interested parties, the Department determined to conduct an

expedited review. As a result of this review, the Department finds that revocation of the antidumping duty order would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the levels indicated in the Final Results of Review section of this notice.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Scott E. Smith or Melissa G. Skinner, Office of Policy for Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-6397 or (202) 482-1560, respectively.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 4, 1999.

Statute and Regulations: This review was conducted pursuant to sections 751(c) and 752 of the Act. The Department's procedures for the conduct of sunset reviews are set forth in *Procedures for Conducting Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders*, 63 FR 13516 (March 20, 1998) ("*Sunset Regulations*"). Guidance on methodological or analytical issues relevant to the Department's conduct of sunset reviews is set forth in the Department's Policy Bulletin 98:3—*Policies Regarding the Conduct of Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders; Policy Bulletin*, 63 FR 18871 (April 16, 1998) ("*Sunset Policy Bulletin*").

Scope: The merchandise subject to this antidumping duty order is anhydrous sodium metasilicate ("ASM"), a crystallized silicate (Na₂SiO₃) which is alkaline and readily soluble in water. Applications include waste paper de-inking, ore-flotation, bleach stabilization, clay processing, medium or heavy duty cleaning, and compounding into other detergent formulations. The Department determined that ASM mixed with caustic soda beads or with sodium tripolyphosphate is within the scope of the order.¹ This merchandise is currently classifiable under the following Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) item numbers 2839.11.00 and 2839.19.00. The HTSUS item numbers are provided for convenience and customs purposes only. They are not determinative of the products subject to the order. The written description remains dispositive.

This review covers all manufacturers and exporters of ASM from France.

¹ See *Anhydrous Sodium Metasilicate From France; Final Results of Administrative Review of Antidumping Duty Order*, 47 FR 15620 (April 12, 1982).

Background: On October 1, 1998, the Department initiated a sunset review of the antidumping duty order on ASM from France (63 FR 52683), pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act. The Department received a Notice of Intent to Participate on behalf of PQ Corporation ("PQ") within the deadline specified in section 351.218(d)(1)(i) of the *Sunset Regulations*. PQ claimed interested-party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act, section 19 U.S.C. 1677(9)(E), as a manufacturer, producer, or wholesaler in the United States of a domestic like product. On October 29, 1998, PQ Corporation requested an extension of time for submission of its substantive response to the notice of initiation and was granted an extension until November 3, 1998 (see October 30, 1998, letter from Acting Director, Office of Policy). On October 30, 1998, we received a Notice of Intent to Participate on behalf of Occidental Chemical Corporation ("Occidental"), which claimed interested party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act, 19 U.S.C. 1677(9)(E), as a manufacturer, producer, or wholesaler in the United States of a domestic like product. We received a complete substantive response from PQ on November 3, 1998, within the extended deadline. PQ's substantive response contained a letter of support from Occidental. We did not receive a substantive response from any respondent interested party to this sunset proceeding. As a result, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and our regulations (19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2)), we determined to conduct an expedited review.

Determination: In accordance with section 751(c)(1) of the Act, the Department conducted this review to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty order would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping. Section 752(c) of the Act provides that, in making this determination, the Department shall consider the weighted-average dumping margins determined in the investigation and subsequent reviews and the volume of imports of the subject merchandise for the period before and the period after the issuance of the antidumping duty order, and it shall provide to the International Trade Commission ("the Commission") the magnitude of the margin of dumping likely to prevail if the order is revoked.

The Department's determinations concerning continuation or recurrence of dumping and magnitude of margin are discussed below. In addition, parties' comments with respect to continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of margin are