

the 4th administrative review of the antidumping duty order on aramid fiber formed of poly para-phenylene terephthalamide from the Netherlands. This review covers one manufacturer and the period June 1, 1997, to May 31, 1998. This extension is made pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 17, 1999.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Eva Temkin or Javier Barrientos, Office of CVD/AD Enforcement VI, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1767 or (202) 482-2849, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Because it is not practicable to complete this review within the initial time limits established by section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act") (i.e., March 1, 1999), the Department is extending the time limits for completion of the preliminary results until no later than June 30, 1999. See Decision Memorandum to Robert S. LaRussa, dated November 13, 1998, which is a public document on file in the Central Records Unit.

This extension is in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(3)(A)).

Dated: February 8, 1999.

Holly A. Kuga,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 99-3872 Filed 2-16-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-580-825]

Notice of Extension of Time Limit for Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Oil Country Tubular Goods From Korea

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 17, 1999.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is extending the time limit for the preliminary results of the antidumping duty administrative review of the antidumping order on oil country tubular goods from Korea, covering the period August 1, 1997 through July 31, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Doug Campau or Steve Bezirgianian, AD/CVD Enforcement Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482-3964 or (202) 482-0162, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act, as amended (the Act), the Department may extend the deadline for completion of an administrative review if it determines that it is not practicable to complete the review within the statutory time limit of 365 days after the last day of the anniversary month for the relevant order. In the instant case, the Department has determined that it is not practicable to complete the review within the statutory time limit. See Memorandum from Joseph A. Spetrini to Robert S. LaRussa (January 27, 1999). Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department is extending the time limit for the preliminary results until August 13, 1999.

Dated: February 5, 1999.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Deputy Assistant Secretary, Enforcement Group III.

[FR Doc. 99-3871 Filed 2-16-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

[A-580-807]

Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip From the Republic of Korea; Notice of Final Court Decision and Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of final court decision and amended final results of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: On November 23, 1998, in the case of *E.I. DuPont de Nemours & Company v. United States*, the United States Court of International Trade (the Court) affirmed the Department of Commerce's (the Department) redetermination for Cheil Synthetic Corporation (Cheil) and SKC Corporation (SKC) arising out of the first review of polyethylene terephthalate film, sheet, and strip (PET film) from the Republic of Korea. The review covers the period November 30, 1990 through

May 31, 1992. As there is now a final and conclusive court decision in this action, we are amending the final results of review with respect to sales by Cheil and SKC during the review period. We will instruct the U.S. Customs Service to liquidate Cheil and SKC's entries accordingly.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 17, 1999.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael J. Heaney or John Kugelmann, AD/CVD Enforcement Group III, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482-4475 or 0649, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On March 26, 1998, the Court issued an order remanding in part the amended final results issued on February 12, 1996. See *E.I. Dupont de Nemours v. United States*, 4 F. Supp. 2d 1248 (CIT 1998). In its March 26, 1998 order the Court directed the Department to (1) determine whether, in light of SKC's U.S. customer's financial condition, SKC's reported short-term interest rate is consistent with the Federal Circuit's decision in *LMI-LaMetalli Industriale S.p.A. v. United States*, 912 F. 2d 455 (Fed. Cir. 1990) (*LMI*) and (2) reconsider its decision to deduct Cheil's inventory carrying costs (ICC) from foreign market value (FMV).

As directed by the Court, on remand we examined whether, in light of SKC's U.S. customer's financial condition, SKC's reported short-term interest rate was consistent with the *LMI* decision. In *LMI* the Federal Circuit held that the Department's use of higher home market borrowing rates did not reflect the respondent's actual borrowing experience because the respondent was able to secure financing in the United States at a lower rate. In the instant case, the Department determined that SKC's U.S. customer's financial condition was not determinative of SKC's borrowing costs in the United States. Furthermore, we found that because SKC's sales were denominated in Korean won, SKC had appropriately based its credit expense upon its borrowings in Korea. This is consistent with the Department's practice since the *LMI* decision of using the short-term interest rate tied to the currency in which the sales are denominated. See e.g., *Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Oil Country Tubular Goods from Austria*, 60 FR 33551, 33555 (June 28, 1995); see also Import Administration Policy Bulletin No. 98.2,