Imputed Credit Expenses and Interest Rates, Feb. 23, 1998. Based upon the foregoing, we determined that SKC's calculation was consistent with *LMI*.

We also determined that because Cheil's sales in the United States were purchase price (PP) transactions, no deduction for inventory carrying costs is warranted from either FMV or PP. We revised our margin calculations for Cheil accordingly. This determination is consistent with our long-standing practice of deducting indirect selling expenses from USP only with respect to ESP transactions. See e.g., Frozen Concentrated Orange Juice from Brazil; Final Results and Termination in Part of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 61 FR 47502, 47503 (November 14, 1990.)

On November 23, 1998, the Court issued a final and conclusive ruling affirming our results of redetermination.

Amendment to Final Results of Review

Pursuant to section 516A(e) of the Act, we are now amending the final results for SKC and Cheil for the period November 30, 1990 through May 31, 1992. The recalculated margins for Cheil and SKC are outlined below:

Company	Margin (percent)
Cheil	0.07
SKC	0.11

The Department shall determine, and the Customs Service shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Individual differences between U.S. price and FMV may vary from the percentage stated above. The Department will issue appraisement instructions directly to the Customs Service.

We note that the Department has revoked the order with respect to Saehan Industries, Inc., the successor company to Cheil Synthetics, and that the current cash deposit rate for SKC is based upon an administrative review conducted subsequent to this segment of the proceeding. Therefore, these amended final results do not affect current cash deposit rates.

This notice is published pursuant to section 751(A) of the Act.

Dated: February 9, 1999.

Richard W. Moreland,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 99–3867 Filed 2–16–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-412-811]

Certain Hot-Rolled Lead and Bismuth Carbon Steel Products From the United Kingdom; Amended Final Countervailing Duty Determination and Order in Accordance With Decision Upon Remand

AGENCY: International Trade Administration, Import Administration, Department of Commerce. ACTION: Notice of amendment to final countervailing duty determination and order in accordance with decision upon remand.

SUMMARY: On December 18, 1998, pursuant to a remand by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit in Inland Steel Bar Co. v. United States, 155 F.3d 1370, (September 18, 1998), and in response to a consent motion, the United States Court of International Trade (CIT) affirmed the Department of Commerce's (the Department's) redetermination on remand (October 12, 1993) regarding the final affirmative countervailing duty determination (U.K. lead bar final determination) in Certain Hot-Rolled Lead and Bismuth Carbon Steel Products from the United Kingdom, 58 FR 6237 (January 27, 1993). The final countervailing duty rates for the U.K. lead bar final determination are listed below in the Results of Remand section.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 17, 1999. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Gayle Longest or Christopher Cassel, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement VI, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th & Constitution Avenue, N.W., Room 4012, Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone (202) 482–2786.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On January 27, 1993, the Department published in the Federal Register (58 FR 6237) the final affirmative determination of its countervailing duty investigation on certain hot-rolled lead and bismuth carbon steel products from the United Kingdom (U.K. lead bar final determination). Subsequently, the Department modified the privatization methodology used in the U.K. lead bar final determination as a result of the final countervailing duty determination in Final Countervailing Duty Determination; Certain Steel Products from the United Kingdom.¹ The

Department requested, and the court granted, a remand to apply the methodology set out in the General Issues appendix to the privatization in the U.K. lead bar final determination. The Department filed its redetermination on remand in the U.K. lead bar final determination with the CIT on October 12, 1993. The *ad valorem* rate calculated for United Engineering Steel (UES) was 4.59 percent.

Results of Remand

On December 18, 1998, in response to a consent motion, the CIT affirmed the Department's final affirmative determination as revised by the October 12, 1993 remand determination. Therefore, in accordance with the results of remand affirmed by the CIT, we are amending the final countervailing duty determination and order. The final countervailing duty rates for the U.K. lead bar final determination and order are the following:

ASW Limited—20.33% UES—4.59%

All Others—4.59%

The above rates will not affect the cash deposit requirements currently in effect, which will continue to be based on the rates found to exist in the most recently completed administrative review.

This amendment to the final countervailing duty determination notice and order is in accordance with sections 705(d) and 706(a) of the Tariff Act, as amended. (19 U.S.C. 1671d(d) and 1671e(a)) and §§ 351.210 and 351.211 of the Department's regulations (19 CFR 351.210 and 351.211 (1998)).

Dated: February 10, 1999.

Richard W. Moreland,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration. [FR Doc. 99–3873 Filed 2–16–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

AGENCY: International Trade Administration, DOC. ACTION: Notice of a closed meeting of the U.S. Automotive Parts Advisory Committee (APAC).

SUMMARY: The APAC will have a closed meeting on February 25, 1999 at the U.S. Department of Commerce to

¹ See the sections of the General Issues Appendix, which are appended to the *Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination: Certain Steel*

Products from Austria, 58 FR 37062, 37217 (July 9, 1993), entitled Privatization, id. at 37259, and Restructuring, id. at 37265.