

clearance package by following the directions for electronic access provided in the preamble to the titled rulemaking. Comments and questions should be directed to the OMB reviewer by March 22, 1999: Erik Godwin, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (3150-), NEOB-10202, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503.

Comments can also be submitted by telephone at (202) 395-3084.

The NRC Clearance Officer is Brenda Jo. Shelton, (301) 415-7233.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 4th day of February 1999.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Brenda Jo. Shelton,

NRC Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 99-4107 Filed 2-18-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Revision of Date for Public Workshop To Develop a Standard Review Plan for Decommissioning

SUMMARY: This notice provides the revised date for one of the Public Workshops the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is sponsoring to solicit input from stakeholders during the development of a Standard Review Plan (SRP) and other guidance for decommissioning nuclear facilities.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On October 21, 1998, NRC announced that it was sponsoring a series of public workshops to support the staff's development of an SRP and other guidance for the decommissioning of nuclear facilities. On November 18, 1998, NRC published the schedule for these workshops and indicated that a workshop would be held on June 16-17, 1999, at NRC Headquarters at Two White Flint North, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD. Because of scheduling conflicts, NRC staff is rescheduling the June 16-17 workshop. The new date for the workshop is June 23-24, 1999. The workshop will be held at NRC Headquarters at Two White Flint North, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD. Future workshop dates remain as summarized in the November **Federal Register** notice, namely on August 18-19, 1999, and October 20-21, 1999. These workshops will be held at NRC Headquarters at Two White Flint North, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dominick A. Orlando, Low-Level Waste and Decommissioning Projects Branch,

Division of Waste Management, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, at (301) 415-6749.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 12th day of February 1999.

For the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

John W.N. Hickey,

Chief, Low-Level Waste and Decommissioning Projects Branch, Division of Waste Management, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.

[FR Doc. 99-4105 Filed 2-18-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[NUREG-1671]

Standard Review Plan for the Recertification of the Gaseous Diffusion Plants; Notice of Availability

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) has issued a draft report NUREG-1671 entitled "Standard Review Plan for the Recertification of the Gaseous Diffusion Plants" (GDPs) for review and comment.

DATES: Submit comments by May 20, 1999. Comments received after this date will be considered if it is practical to do so, but the Commission is able to ensure consideration only for comments received on or before this date.

ADDRESSES: Mail written comments to: Chief, Rules and Directives Branch, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001. Hand deliver comments to 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852, between 7:45 am and 4:15 pm during Federal workdays.

Draft NUREG-1671 is available for inspection and copying for a fee at the NRC Public Document Room (PDR), 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC 20555-0001, and at the Local Public Document Rooms (LPDRs), under Docket No. 70-7001, at the Paducah Public Library, 555 Washington Street, Paducah, Kentucky 42003; and under Docket No. 70-7002, at the Portsmouth Public Library, 1220 Gallia Street, Portsmouth, Ohio 45662.

A free single copy of draft NUREG-1671, to the extent of supply, may be requested by writing to U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Distribution Services, Washington, DC 20555-0001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Charles Cox, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, U.S. Nuclear

Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, telephone (301) 415-6755.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On March 3, 1997, NRC assumed regulatory jurisdiction over the GDPs from the U.S. Department of Energy. The GDPs are regulated under 10 CFR Part 76 of the Commission's regulations. NRC staff has developed NUREG-1671, "Standard Review Plan for the Recertification of the Gaseous Diffusion Plants," to provide guidance for the review and approval of the applications for recertification of the GDPs under Section 10 CFR 76.31 for review and comment.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 12th day of February 1999.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Elizabeth Q. Ten Eyck,

Director, Division of Fuel Cycle Safety and Safeguards, NMSS.

[FR Doc. 99-4106 Filed 2-18-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

Proposed Collection; Comment Request for Review of a Revised Information Collection: RI 34-1 and RI 34-3

AGENCY: Office of Personnel Management.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, May 22, 1995), this notice announces that the Office of Personnel Management (OPM) intends to submit to the Office of Management and Budget a request for review of a revised information collection. RI 34-1, Financial Resources Questionnaire, collects detailed financial information for use by OPM in determining whether to agree to a waiver, compromise, or adjustment of the collection of erroneous payments from the Civil Service Retirement and Disability Fund. RI 34-3, Notice of Amount Due Because of Annuity Overpayment, informs the annuitant that payment is due, describes the cause for the overpayment, and collects information from the annuitant about repayment amounts.

Approximately 520 RI 34-1 and 1,561 RI 34-3 forms will be completed per year. Each form requires approximately 1 hour to complete. The annual burden is 520 hours and 1,561 hours respectively.

Comments are particularly invited on:—Whether this collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of functions of the Office of