

Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3814 or (202) 482-5346, respectively.

#### POSTPONEMENT OF PRELIMINARY

**DETERMINATION:** On November 18, 1998, the Department initiated the antidumping duty investigation of imports of DRAMs from Taiwan. The notice of initiation stated that we would issue our preliminary determination by April 1, 1999 (63 FR 60404, November 18, 1998).

On February 18, 1999, petitioner, Micron Technology, Inc., made a timely request pursuant to 19 CFR 351.205(e) of the Department's regulations for a postponement of the preliminary determination, pursuant to section 733(c)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). Petitioner requested a postponement in order to allow additional time for the Department to analyze the anticipated voluminous, and unusually complex, sales and cost of production issues in this investigation.

For the reasons identified by petitioner, we are postponing the preliminary determination under section 733(c)(1)(A) of the Act (See memorandum from Holly Kuga to Robert LaRussa, dated February 26, 1999). We will make our preliminary determination no later than May 21, 1999.

This notice is published pursuant to section 733(c)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(f).

Dated: February 26, 1999.

**Holly Kuga,**

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary, Group II, AD/CVD Enforcement, Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 99-5394 Filed 3-3-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-588-837]

#### Large Newspaper Printing Presses and Components Thereof, Whether Assembled or Unassembled, From Japan: Postponement of Preliminary Results of the First and Second Administrative Reviews of Antidumping Duty Order

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of extension of the time limit for the preliminary results in the first and second administrative reviews of the antidumping duty order on large newspaper printing presses from Japan.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce ("the Department") is extending the time limit for the preliminary results of the first and second administrative reviews of the antidumping duty order on large newspaper printing presses from Japan. These reviews cover the period September 5, 1996, through August 31, 1998 for Mitsubishi Heavy Industries ("MHI")<sup>1</sup> and for the period September 1, 1997, through August 31, 1998 for Tokyo Kikai Seisakusho ("TKS").<sup>2</sup>

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** March 4, 1999.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Kate Johnson, at (202) 482-4929, or Dinah McDougall, at (202) 482-3773, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C., 20230.

**POSTPONEMENT OF PRELIMINARY RESULTS OF ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW:** The Department initiated reviews of the antidumping duty order on LNPP from Japan on October 29, 1998 (63 FR 58009) for MHI, and on November 30, 1998 (63 FR 6548) for TKS. The current deadline for the preliminary results in these reviews is June 2, 1999. In accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, the Department finds that it is not practicable to complete the first and second administrative reviews of the antidumping order on large newspaper printing presses from Japan within this time limit. Specifically, the Department finds that additional time is needed to adequately consider the complexity of the issues involved in these reviews. (See memorandum from Holly Kuga to Robert LaRussa, dated February 26, 1999). Thus the Department is extending the time limit for completion of the preliminary results of these reviews until September 30, 1999, which is 365 days after the last day of the anniversary month of the order. The final determination will occur within

<sup>1</sup> The initiation of the first administrative review of this antidumping duty order on LNPPs from Japan with respect to MHI (covering the period September 5, 1996 through August 31, 1997) was deferred at the request of the petitioner, until the initiation of the second administrative review (covering the period September 1, 1997 through August 31, 1998). Thus both reviews with respect to MHI are being conducted concurrently.

<sup>2</sup> There was no request for an administrative review of the LNPP order with respect to TKS for the period September 5, 1996 through August 31, 1997.

120 days of the publication of the preliminary results.

Dated: February 26, 1999.

**Holly A. Kuga,**

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 99-5395 Filed 3-3-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-570-847]

#### Persulfates From the People's Republic of China: Postponement of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce

**ACTION:** Extension of time limits for preliminary results of antidumping duty administrative review.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce is extending by 120 days the time limit of the preliminary results of the antidumping duty administrative review of the antidumping duty order on persulfates from the People's Republic of China (PRC) covering the period December 27, 1996, through June 30, 1998, since it is not practicable to complete this review within the time limits mandated by the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** March 4, 1999.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Sunkyu Kim, at (202) 482-2613; or James M. Nunno II, at (202) 482-0783, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230.

**POSTPONEMENT OF PRELIMINARY RESULTS OF REVIEW:** Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act requires the Department to make a preliminary determination in an administrative review within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order for which a review is requested and a final determination within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary determination is published. However, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act provides that when it is not practicable to complete the review within the specified time period, the Department may extend this time period by 120 days. We determine that it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results of this review within the original time frame. See Decision Memorandum from Holly A. Kuga,