

3. Develop, demonstrate, and test effective ways to change law enforcement or correctional agency policies, practices, and organizational culture to ameliorate stress experienced by law enforcement and correctional officers and their families.

Grants totaling approximately \$830,000 will be made available under this solicitation for periods of generally 18 months, although longer award periods may be considered. The Act specifies that a grant to a State or local law enforcement agency may not exceed \$100,000 and that a grant to an organization representing law enforcement or correctional personnel may not exceed \$250,000. Funds under this program may be used to supplement existing stress-reduction or employee assistance programs.

Interested organizations should call the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS) at 1-800-851-3420 to obtain a copy of "Corrections and Law Enforcement Family Support Solicitation for Research, Evaluation, Development, and Demonstration Projects" (refer to document no. SL000329). For World Wide Web access, connect to either NIJ at <http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/nij/funding.htm>, or the NCJRS Justice Information Center at <http://www.ncjrs.org/fedgrant.htm#nij>.

Jeremy Travis,

Director, National Institute of Justice.

[FR Doc. 99-6049 Filed 3-10-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-18-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Mine Safety and Health Administration

Petitions for Modification

The following parties have filed petitions to modify the application of mandatory safety standards under section 101(c) of the Federal Mine Safety and Health Act of 1977.

1. Mountain Coal Company

[Docket No. M-1999-001-C]

Mountain Coal Company, P.O. Box 591, 5174 Highway 133, Somerset, Colorado 81434 has filed a petition to modify the application of 30 CFR 75.701 (grounding metallic frames, casings, and other enclosures of electric equipment) to its West Elk Mine (I.D. No. 05-03672) located in Gunnison County, Colorado. The petitioner proposes to use portable diesel generators to move and operate electric powered mobile equipment and pumps throughout the mine. The petitioner has outlined in this petition specific requirements that would be followed as an alternative for existing

and future generators. The petitioner asserts that the proposed alternative method would provide at least the same measure of protection as would the mandatory standard.

2. Mountain Coal Company

[Docket No. M-1999-002-C]

Mountain Coal Company, P.O. Box 591, 5174 Highway 133, Somerset, Colorado 81434 has filed a petition to modify the application of 30 CFR 75.901 (protection of low- and medium-voltage three-phase circuits used underground) to its West Elk Mine (I.D. No. 05-03672) located in Gunnison County, Colorado. The petitioner proposes to use portable diesel generators to move and operate electric powered mobile equipment and pumps throughout the mine. The petitioner has outlined in this petition specific requirements that would be followed as an alternative for existing and future generators. The petitioner asserts that the proposed alternative method would provide at least the same measure of protection as would the mandatory standard.

3. Peabody Coal Company

[Docket No. M-1999-003-C]

Peabody Coal Company, 1951 Barrett Court, P.O. Box 1990, Henderson, Kentucky 42420 has filed a petition to modify the application of 30 CFR 75.364(b)(4) (weekly examination) to its Camp No. 1 Mine (I.D. No. 15-02709) located in Union County, Kentucky. Due to hazardous conditions near the return air course inby and outby the seals, traveling the area to conduct weekly examinations would create a diminution of safety to the miners. The petitioner proposes to establish evaluation points to monitor the affected area and have a certified person monitor the evaluation points on a weekly basis to determine the volume of air, and the methane and oxygen concentrations; and to record all examination results in a book maintained on the surface of the mine. The petitioner states that monitoring of these evaluation points would determine the atmosphere immediately prior to up-wind and immediately after down-wind the seals. The petitioner asserts that the proposed alternative method would provide at least the same measure of protection as would the mandatory standard.

4. Peabody Coal Company

[Docket No. M-1999-004-C]

Peabody Coal Company, 1951 Barrett Court, P.O. Box 1990, Henderson, Kentucky 42420 has filed a petition to modify the application of 30 CFR 75.364(b)(2) (weekly examination) to its

Camp No. 1 Mine (I.D. No. 15-02709) located in Union County, Kentucky. Due to hazardous conditions near the return air course inby and outby the seals, traveling the area to conduct weekly examinations would create a diminution of safety to the miners. The petitioner proposes to establish evaluation points to monitor the affected area and have a certified person monitor the evaluation points on a weekly basis to determine the volume of air, and the methane and oxygen concentrations; and to record all examination results in a book maintained on the surface of the mine. The petitioner asserts that the proposed alternative method would provide at least the same measure of protection as would the mandatory standard.

5. Canyon Fuel Company, LLC

[Docket No. M-1999-005-C]

Canyon Fuel Company, LLC, P.O. Box 1029, Wellington, Utah 84542 has filed a petition to modify the application of 30 CFR 75.1101-8 (water sprinkler systems; arrangement of sprinklers) to its Dugout Canyon Mine (I.D. No. 42-01890) located in Carbon County, Utah. The petitioner proposes to use an alternative method of arranging its sprinkler system. The petitioner proposes a modification based on the following terms: (i) Each water sprinkler system would consist of a single overhead pipe water sprinkler system with automatic sprinklers located not more than 10 feet apart for the water discharged from the sprinklers to cover the 50 feet of fire-resistant belt or 150 feet of non fire-resistant belt adjacent to the belt drive and one or more automatic sprinklers located 10 feet apart for water discharged from the sprinkler(s) to cover the drive motor(s), belt takeup, electrical controls, and gear reducing unit for each belt drive; (ii) Each water sprinkler would be in installed for the clearance between the center of the top belt and the roof to permit the single overhead pipe system to be installed in accordance with adequate height, and where the clearance between the center of the top belt and the roof does not permit the installation of the single overhead pipe system directly over the belt, the single overhead pipe system would be installed in accordance with restricted height; (iii) The residual pressure in each sprinkler system would not be less than 10 psi with any three sprinklers open, and an adequate supply of water to provide a constant flow for at least 10 minutes with all sprinklers functioning; (iv) Each water sprinkler system would be equipped with a flush-out connection and a manual shut-off valve; and (v)

Each automatic sprinkler would be a standard 1/2-inch orifice pendant-type with fusible link actuation temperature for each sprinkler between 200 and 230 degrees Fahrenheit. The petitioner proposes to conduct a functional test annually for each water sprinkler system. The petitioner asserts that the proposed alternative method would provide at least the same measure of protection as would the mandatory standard.

Request for Comments

Persons interested in these petitions are encouraged to submit comments via e-mail to "comments@msha.gov", or on a computer disk along with an original hard copy to the Office of Standards, Regulations, and Variances, Mine Safety and Health Administration, 4015 Wilson Boulevard, Room 627, Arlington, Virginia 22203. All comments must be postmarked or received in that office on or before April 12, 1999. Copies of these petitions are available for inspection at that address.

Dated: March 5, 1999.

Carol J. Jones,

Acting Director, Office of Standards, Regulations, and Variances.

[FR Doc. 99-6038 Filed 3-10-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-43-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

AGENCY: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC).

ACTION: Notice of pending NRC action to submit an information collection request to OMB and solicitation of public comment.

SUMMARY: The NRC is preparing a submittal to OMB for review of continued approval of information collections under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Information pertaining to the requirement to be submitted:

1. *The title of the information collection:* 10 CFR Part 32—Specific Domestic Licenses to Manufacture or Transfer Certain Items Containing Byproduct Material.

2. *Current OMB Approval Number:* 3150-0001.

3. *How often the collection is required:* There is a one-time submittal of information to receive a license. Renewal applications are submitted every 10 years. In addition,

recordkeeping must be performed on an on-going basis, and reports of transfer of byproduct material must be reported every 10 years.

4. *Who is required or asked to report:* All specific licensees who manufacture or initially transfer items containing byproduct material for sale or distribution to general licensees or persons exempt from licensing.

5. *The number of annual respondents:* 265 NRC licensees and 333 Agreement State licensees.

6. *The number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request:* 53,333 hours or 201.26 hours per NRC licensee and 95,306.9 hours or 286.21 hours per Agreement State licensee.

7. *Abstract:* 10 CFR Part 32 establishes requirements for specific licenses for the introduction of byproduct material into products or materials and transfer of the products or materials to general licensees or persons exempt from licensing. It also prescribes requirements governing holders of the specific licenses. Some of the requirements are information which must be submitted in an application for a specific license, records which must be kept, reports which must be submitted, and information which must be forwarded to general licensees and persons exempt from licensing. In addition, 10 CFR Part 32 prescribes requirements for the issuance of certificates of registration (concerning radiation safety information about a product) to manufacturers or initial transferors of sealed sources and devices. Submission or retention of the information is mandatory for persons subject to the 10 CFR Part 32 requirements. The information is used by NRC to make licensing and other regulatory determinations concerning the use of radioactive byproduct material in products and devices.

Submit, by May 10, 1999, comments that address the following questions:

1. Is the proposed collection of information necessary for the NRC to properly perform its functions? Does the information have practical utility?

2. Is the burden estimate accurate?

3. Is there a way to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected?

4. How can the burden of the information collection be minimized, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology?

A copy of the draft supporting statement may be viewed free of charge at the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, NW (Lower Level), Washington, DC. OMB clearance

requests are available at the NRC worldwide web site (<http://www.nrc.gov/NRC/NEWS/OMB/index.html>). The document will be available on the NRC home page site for 60 days after the signature date of this notice.

Comments and questions about the information collection requirements may be directed to the NRC Clearance Officer, Brenda Jo. Shelton, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, T-6 F33, Washington, DC 20555-0001, or by telephone at 301-415-7233, or by Internet electronic mail at BJS1@NRC.GOV.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 5th day of March 1999.

For the U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Brenda Jo. Shelton,

NRC Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 99-6060 Filed 3-10-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket 70-7002]

Amendment to Certificate of Compliance GDP-2 for the U.S. Enrichment Corporation, Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Piketon, OH

The Director, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, has made a determination that the following amendment request is not significant in accordance with 10 CFR 76.45. In making that determination, the staff concluded that: (1) There is no change in the types or significant increase in the amounts of any effluents that may be released offsite; (2) there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure; (3) there is no significant construction impact; (4) there is no significant increase in the potential for, or radiological or chemical consequences from, previously analyzed accidents; (5) the proposed changes do not result in the possibility of a new or different kind of accident; (6) there is no significant reduction in any margin of safety; and (7) the proposed changes will not result in an overall decrease in the effectiveness of the plant's safety, safeguards or security programs. The basis for this determination for the amendment request is shown below.

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff has reviewed the certificate amendment application and concluded that it provides reasonable assurance of adequate safety, safeguards, and