

Classified as Class I, Zone 0, Zone 1, and Zone 2.

\* \* \* \* \*

5. In § 250.802, paragraph (e)(4)(i) introductory text is revised to read as follows:

**§ 250.802 Design, installation, and operation of surface production-safety systems.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(e) \* \* \*

(4) \* \* \*

(i) A plan for each platform deck outlining all hazardous areas classified in accordance with API RP 500, Recommended Practice for Classification of Locations for Electrical Installations at Petroleum Facilities Classified as Class I, Division 1 and Division 2, or API RP 505, Recommended Practice for Classification of Locations for Electrical Installations at Petroleum Facilities Classified as Class I, Zone 0, Zone 1, and Zone 2, and outlining areas in which potential ignition sources, other than electrical, are to be installed. The area outlined will include the following information:

\* \* \* \* \*

6. In § 250.803, the last sentence of paragraph (b)(9)(i) is revised to read as follows:

**§ 250.803 Additional production system requirements.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(b) \* \* \*

(9) \* \* \*

(i) \* \* \* A classified area is any area classified Class I, Group D, Division 1 or 2, following the guidelines of API RP 500, or any area classified Class I, Zone 0, Zone 1, or Zone 2, following the guidelines of API RP 505.

\* \* \* \* \*

7. In § 250.1628, paragraphs (b)(3) and (d)(4)(i) are revised to read as follows:

**§ 250.1628 Design, installation, and operation of production systems.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(b) \* \* \*

(3) Electrical system information including a plan of each platform deck, outlining all hazardous areas classified in accordance with API RP 500, Recommended Practice for Classification of Locations for Electrical Installations at Petroleum Facilities Classified as Class I, Division 1 and Division 2, or API RP 505, Recommended Practice for Classification of Locations for Electrical Installations at Petroleum Facilities Classified as Class I, Zone 0, Zone 1, and Zone 2, and outlining areas in

which potential ignition sources are to be installed;

\* \* \* \* \*

(d) \* \* \*

(4) \* \* \*

(i) A plan of each platform deck, outlining all hazardous areas classified in accordance with API RP 500, Recommended Practice for Classification of Locations for Electrical Installations at Petroleum Facilities Classified as Class I, Division 1 and Division 2, or API RP 505, Recommended Practice for Classification of Locations for Electrical Installations at Petroleum Facilities Classified as Class I, Zone 0, Zone 1, and Zone 2, and outlining areas in which potential ignition sources are to be installed;

\* \* \* \* \*

8. In § 250.1629, the last sentence of paragraph (b)(4)(i) is revised to read as follows:

**§ 250.1629 Additional production and fuel gas system requirements.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(b) \* \* \*

(4) \* \* \*

(i) \* \* \* A classified area is any area classified Class I, Group D, Division 1 or 2, following the guidelines of API RP 500, or any area classified Class I, Zone 0, Zone 1, or Zone 2, following the guidelines of API RP 505.

\* \* \* \* \*

[FR Doc. 99-6791 Filed 3-18-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MR-P

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

**40 CFR Part 52**

[CA 207-0136b; FRL-6239-9]

**Approval and Promulgation of State Implementation Plans; California State Implementation Plan Revision, Sacramento Metropolitan and South Coast Air Quality Management District and San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is approving revisions to the California State Implementation Plan (SIP). This action is an administrative change which revises the definitions in Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management (SMAQMD), San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District (SJVUAPCD), and South Coast Air Quality

Management District (SCAQMD). The intended effect of approving this action is to incorporate changes to the definitions for clarity and consistency and to update the Exempt Compound list in SMAQMD, SJVUAPCD, and SCAQMD rules to be consistent with the revised federal and state VOC definitions.

The intended effect of proposing approval of this action is to incorporate changes to the definition of VOC and to update the Exempt Compound list in SMAQMD, SJVUAPCD, and SCAQMD rules to be consistent with the revised federal and state VOC definitions. EPA is proposing approval of these revisions to be incorporated into the California SIP for the attainment of the national ambient air quality standards (NAAQS) for ozone under title I of the Clean Air Act (CAA or the Act). In the Final Rules Section of this **Federal Register**, the EPA is approving the state's SIP submittal as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for this approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received, no further activity is contemplated. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period. Any parties interested in commenting should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Written comments must be received by April 19, 1999.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments should be addressed to: Andrew Steckel, Chief, Rulemaking Office (AIR-4), Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901.

Copies of the rule revisions and EPA's evaluation report of each rule are available for public inspection at EPA's Region 9 office during normal business hours. Copies of the submitted rule revisions are also available for inspection at the following locations:

California Air Resources Board, Stationary Source Division, Rule Evaluation Section, 2020 "L" Street, Sacramento, CA 95812.

Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District, 8411 Jackson Rd., Sacramento, CA 95826

San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District, 1990 E. Gettysburg Ave., Fresno, CA 93726

South Coast Air Quality Management District, 21865 E. Copley Dr., Diamond Bar, CA 91765-4182.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Cynthia G. Allen, Rulemaking Office [Air-4], Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901, Telephone: (415) 744-1189)

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This document concerns Sacramento

Metropolitan Air Quality Management Rule 101, General Provisions and Definitions, San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District Rule 1020, Definitions, and South Coast Air Quality Management District Rule 1302, Definitions (New Source Review). These rules were submitted by the California Air Resources Board to EPA on October 27, 1998 (Sacramento); May 18, 1998 (San Joaquin); and March 10, 1998 (South Coast). For further information, please see the information provided in the direct final action that is located in the rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: March 5, 1999.

**Laura Yoshii,**

*Deputy Regional Administrator, Region IX.*  
[FR Doc. 99-6651 Filed 3-18-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

**40 CFR Part 62**

[OK-18-1-7415a; FRL-6312-6]

**Approval and Promulgation of State Plans for Designated Facilities and Pollutants: Oklahoma**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** We propose to approve the section 111(d) Plan submitted by Oklahoma on December 18, 1998, to implement and enforce the Emissions Guidelines (EG) for existing Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) Landfills. The EG require States to develop plans to collect landfill gas from large MSW landfills. In the final rules section of this **Federal Register**, we are approving the State Plan as a direct final rule without prior proposal because we view this as a noncontroversial amendment and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this action, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If we receive adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn, and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. We will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time. Please see the direct final rule located

elsewhere in today's **Federal Register** for a detailed description of the Texas State Plan.

**DATES:** Comments on this action must be postmarked by April 19, 1999. If no adverse comments are received, then the direct final rule is effective on May 18, 1999.

**ADDRESSES:** You should address comments on this action to Lt. Mick Cote, EPA Region 6, Air Planning Section (6PD-L), 1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 1200, Dallas, Texas 75202.

Copies of all materials considered in this rulemaking may be examined during normal business hours at the following locations: EPA Region 6 offices, 1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 700, Dallas, Texas 75202, and at the Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality offices, 707 North Robinson Avenue, Oklahoma City, OK 73101-1677.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Lt. Mick Cote at (214) 665-7219.

**List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 62**

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Air pollution control, Intergovernmental relations, Methane, Municipal solid waste landfills, Nonmethane organic compounds, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

Dated: March 11, 1999.

**William B. Hathaway,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region 6.*  
[FR Doc. 99-6778 Filed 3-18-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P