

comments on specific aspects of the proposed information collection as described below.

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before May 16, 1999.

ADDRESSES: EPA Office of Site Remediation Enforcement, 401 M Street, SW (MC 2273A), Washington, DC 20460. Interested persons may obtain a copy of the ICR by contacting Jack Jojokian at this address or by calling (202) 564-6058 or by e-mailing jojokian.jack@epamail.epa.gov. Electronic access to the ICR is available at <http://www.epa.gov/icr>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jack Jojokian, (202) 564-6058, (202) 564-0074 (fax), jojokian.jack@epamail.epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Affected entities: Entities potentially affected by this action are those which have been parties at Superfund sites where Superfund administrative reforms have been tested or implemented.

Title: EPA Office of Site Remediation Enforcement Program Evaluation ICR, EPA ICR Number 1890.01.

Abstract: During the last 3-5 years, EPA's Office of Site Remediation Enforcement (OSRE), in conjunction with EPA's Office of Emergency and Remedial Response (OERR), has been implementing a series of Administrative Reforms in the Superfund program. These reforms are an effort to make Superfund a faster, fairer, and more efficient program for all parties involved. With 3-5 years of implementation past for a number of these reforms, OSRE is interested to learn how well these Administrative Reforms have worked and whether they have achieved their stated intentions in the eyes of the external stakeholders whom the reforms were intended to impact. The purpose of this ICR is to enable OSRE to collect data on the effectiveness of Superfund Administrative Reforms so that we can understand which of the reforms are most effective, as well as to obtain anecdotal and statistically valid information on the outcomes of the reforms.

With each of the information collections described in this ICR, OSRE will be measuring whether or not the Administrative Reform is meeting its intended goal, such as speeding site study and cleanup and reducing private party transaction costs. Typical goals of the Administrative Reforms include: increasing the efficiency of reaching settlements with parties at Superfund sites; reducing transaction costs for parties at Superfund sites; increasing

the fairness of enforcement actions at Superfund sites; and facilitating the reuse of Superfund sites.

OSRE is planning to conduct program evaluations of up to 15 Superfund policies and Superfund reform initiatives. Eight of these reform initiatives are known and listed below. An additional seven program evaluations will take place as part of these information collections, but the exact topics are not known at this time. The eight known program evaluations are:

- (1) Orphan Share Compensation
- (2) Unilateral Administrative Order Administrative Reform
- (3) Effective Oversight Management Administrative Reform
- (4) Expedited Settlements Reform
- (5) De Minimis Settlements
- (6) PRP Response Costs and PRP Transaction Costs
- (7) Reuse of Superfund and Brownfield Sites
- (8) Disbursement of Response Costs to PRPs Performing Work from Special Accounts

Information will be collected through a series of mail, telephone, and on-line survey questionnaires. Responses to these information collection requests are voluntary and one-time efforts.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations are listed in 40 CFR part 9 and 48 CFR Chapter 15.

The EPA would like to solicit comments to:

(i) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(ii) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(iii) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(iv) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Burden Statement: This ICR has an estimated respondent burden of 27,132 hours and \$594,333. EPA estimates that

9,520 respondents will participate, with an average respondent burden of 2.84 hours and \$62.43. Responses will be one-time and voluntary, and no capital or start-up expenses will be required. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Dated: March 11, 1999.

Jack Jojokian,

*Program Planning and Evaluation Division,
Office of Site Remediation Enforcement.*

[FR Doc. 99-6779 Filed 3-18-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6312-3]

Microbial Disinfectants/Disinfection Byproducts Advisory Committee; Notice of Charter Renewal

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of charter renewal.

SUMMARY: The Charter for the Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) Microbial Disinfectants/Disinfection Byproducts Advisory Committee (MDBPAC) will be renewed for an additional two-year period, in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA), 5 U.S.C. Appl. section 9(c). MDBPAC is a necessary committee which is in the public interest. The purpose of MDBPAC is to provide advice and recommendations to the Administrator of EPA on issues associated with the development of regulations to address microorganisms and disinfectants/disinfection byproducts in public water supplies. It is determined that MDBPAC is in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Agency by law.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Inquiries may be directed to Martha Kucera, Designated Federal Officer, MDBPAC, U.S. EPA, (mail code 4607), 401 M Street, SW, Washington, DC 20460.

Dated: March 12, 1999.

J. Charles Fox,

Assistant Administrator, Office of Water.

[FR Doc. 99-6763 Filed 3-18-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6240-8]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

RESPONSIBLE AGENCY: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564-7167 or (202) 564-7153.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements

Filed March 08, 1999 Through March 12, 1999

Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9

EIS No. 990071, DRAFT EIS, FHW, CT, CT 82/85/11 Corridor Transportation Improvements, Funding and COE Section 404 Permit, In the Towns of Salem, Montville, East Lyme and Waterford, CT, Due: May 07, 1999, Contact: Donald J. West (860) 659-6703.

EIS No. 990072, FINAL EIS, FHW, OR, Mount Hood Corridor Study, US 26 Rhododendron to OR-35 Junction, Improvements, Funding, Clackamas County, OR, Due: April 19, 1999, Contact: John H. Gernhauser (503) 399-5749.

EIS No. 990073, DRAFT EIS, AFS, AK, Luck Lake Timber Sales Project, Implementation, Tongass National Forest, Thorne Bay Ranger District, Prince of Wales Island, AK, Due: May 03, 1999, Contact: Steve Kimball (907) 828-3304.

EIS No. 990074, FINAL EIS, IBR, NV, Clark County Wetlands Park Master Plan, Construction and Operation, Erosion Control Structures in Las Vegas Wash, COE Section 404 Permit, Right-of-Way Permit and Endangered Species Act Section 4, Clark County, NV, Due: April 19, 1999, Contact: Del Kidd (702) 293-8698.

EIS No. 990075, FINAL EIS, COE, TX, Dallas Floodway Extension, Implementation, Trinity River Basin, Flood Damage Reduction and Environmental Restoration, Dallas County, TX, Due: April 19, 1999, Contact: Gene T. Rice, Jr. (817) 978-2110.

EIS No. 990076, DRAFT EIS, FHW, MS, Airport Parking/Mississippi 25 Connectors, Construction at Intersection of High Street/ Interstate 55 (I-55) in the City of Jackson, Hinds and Rankin Counties, MS, Due: April 30, 1999, Contact: Cecil W. Vick, Jr. (601) 965-4217.

EIS No. 990077, FINAL SUPPLEMENT, EPA, CA, International Wastewater Treatment Plant and South Bay Ocean Outfall, Preferred Alternative Selected the Completely Mixed Aerated (CMA) System at Hofer Site, Interim Operation, Tijuana River, San Diego, CA, Due: April 19, 1999, Contact: Elizabeth Borowiec (415) 744-1165.

EIS No. 990078, DRAFT EIS, FHW, WV, Coalfields Expressway Transportation Improvements, Funding, NPDES and COE Section 404 Permits, McDowell, Wyoming and Raleigh Counties, WV, Due: May 14, 1999, Contact: David E. Bender (304) 347-5928.

EIS No. 990079, DRAFT EIS, AFS, OR, Wolfmann Projects, Implementation, Blue River Landscape Strategy, Central Cascades Adaptive Management Area, Blue River Ranger District, Willamette National Forest, Lane County, OR, Due: May 03, 1999, Contact: Karen Geary (541) 822-3317.

EIS No. 990080, DRAFT SUPPLEMENT, BLM, CA, Imperial Project, Open-Pit Precious Metal Mining Operation Utilizing Heap Leach Processes, Updated Information, Plan of Operations, Right-of-Way, Conditional Use Permit, US COE Permit and Reclamation Plan Approvals, El Centro Resource Area, California Area District, Imperial County, CA, Due: May 19, 1999, Contact: Glen Miller (760) 337-4400.

EIS No. 990081, DRAFT EIS, UAF, LA, TX, NM, Realistic Bomber Training Initiative, Improve the B-52 and B-1 Aircrews Mission Training and Maximize Combat Training Time, Barksdale Air Force Base, La, NM and TX, Due: May 03, 1999, Contact: Major Brent Adams (915) 696-2863.

EIS No. 990082, FINAL EIS, NOA, Atlantic Tunas, Swordfish and Sharks, Highly Migratory Species Fishery Management Plan, Due: April 19, 1999, Contact: Rebecca Lent (301) 713-2347.

Amended Notices

EIS No. 990068, FINAL EIS, DOE, SC, Accelerator for Production of Tritium at the Savannah River Site (DOE/EIS-0270), Site Specific, Construction and Operation, Aiken and Barnwell Counties, SC, Due: April 12, 1999, Contact: Andrew Grainger
Published FR-03-12-99
Correction to Title.

EIS No. 990069, FINAL EIS, DOE, SC, Tritium Extraction Facility (TEF), Construction and Operation near the Center of Savannah River Site at H Area, (DOE/EIS-0271), Aiken and Barnwell Counties, SC, Due: April 12, 1999, Contact: Andrew R. Grainger (800) 881-7292.

Published FR-03-12-99

Correction to Title.

EIS No. 990070, FINAL EIS, DOE, TN, AL, Commercial Light Water Reactor for the Production of Tritium at one or more Facilities: Watt Bar 1. Spring City, TN; Sequoyah 1 and 2 Soddy Daisy, TN; Bellefonte Unit 1 and 2, Hollywood, AL, Approval of Permits and Licenses, (DOE/EIS-0288) TN and AL, Due: April 12, 1999, Contact: Jay Rose (202) 586-5484.

Published FR-03-12-99

Correction to Title.

Dated: March 16, 1999.

William D. Dickerson,

Director, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 99-6803 Filed 3-18-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6240-9]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared February 22, 1999 Through February 26, 1999 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564-7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 10, 1998 (63 FR 17856).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-COE-E34030-FL, Rating EC2, Programmatic EIS—Central and Southern Florida Multi-Purpose Project, Comprehensive Review Study, Everglades National Park, Orlando to Florida Bay, FL.

SUMMARY: EPA supports the restoration concept and encourages their proper implementation. EPA believes that improving water quantity delivery alone will not restore the Everglades and the South Florida ecosystem; instead, both water quantity and water quality components are needed to provide the clean water volumes