

is corrected to read "which Employee F has a legally binding right".

Cynthia E. Grigsby,

Chief, Regulations Unit, Assistant Chief Counsel (Corporate).

[FR Doc. 99-7791 Filed 3-31-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4830-01-U

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Internal Revenue Service

26 CFR Part 602

[TD 8011]

OMB Control Numbers Assigned Pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act; Correction

AGENCY: Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Treasury.

ACTION: Correcting amendment.

SUMMARY: This document contains corrections to final regulations (TD 8011), which were published in the **Federal Register** on Thursday, March 14, 1985 (50 FR 10221) relating to the displaying of OMB control numbers on this agency's regulations that solicit or obtain information from the public.

DATES: This correction is effective November 12, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Marshall Feiring, (202) 622-3940, (not a toll-free number).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The final regulations that are the subject of these corrections displays this agency's control numbers and implemented requirements of regulations promulgated by the Office of Management and Budget pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980.

Need for Correction

As published, final regulations (TD 8011) contain errors which may prove to be misleading and are in need of clarification.

List of Subjects in 26 CFR Part 602

Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Correcting Amendment to Regulations

Accordingly, 26 CFR part 602 is corrected by making the following correcting amendments:

PART 602—OMB CONTROL NUMBERS UNDER THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT

Paragraph 1. The authority citation for part 602 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 26 U.S.C. 7805.

§ 602.101 [Corrected]

Par. 2. In § 602.101, paragraph (a), second sentence, the language "(together with 26 CFR 601.9000)" is removed.

Par. 3. In § 602.101, paragraph (b) is removed and paragraph (c) is redesignated as paragraph (b).

Cynthia E. Grigsby,

Chief, Regulations Unit, Assistant Chief Counsel (Corporate).

[FR Doc. 99-7823 Filed 3-31-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4830-01-U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[MO 067-1067a; FRL-6315-9]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; State of Missouri

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Direct final rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is announcing direct final approval of revisions to Missouri's Open Burning Rule (10 CSR 10-3.030) and Sampling Methods Rule (10 CSR 10-6.030) as an amendment to the Missouri State Implementation Plan (SIP). This action will update the SIP rules to include revisions which add sampling methods and otherwise improve the clarity of the rules.

DATES: This direct final rule is effective on June 1, 1999 without further notice, unless EPA receives adverse comment by May 3, 1999. If adverse comment is received, EPA will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule in the **Federal Register** and inform the public that the rule will not take effect.

ADDRESSES: All comments should be addressed to Joshua A. Tapp at the Environmental Protection Agency, Air Planning and Development Branch, 726 Minnesota Avenue, Kansas City, Kansas 66101.

Copies of the state submittals are available at the following addresses for inspection during normal business hours: Environmental Protection Agency, Air Planning and Development Branch, 726 Minnesota Avenue, Kansas City, Kansas 66101; and the Environmental Protection Agency, Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center, Air Docket (6102), 401 M Street, SW, Washington, D.C. 20460.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Joshua A. Tapp at (913) 551-7606.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

What Is an SIP?

Section 110 of the Clean Air Act (CAA) requires states to develop air pollution regulations and control strategies to ensure that state air quality meets the national ambient air quality standards established by EPA. These ambient standards are established under section 109 of the CAA, and they currently address six criteria pollutants. These pollutants are: carbon monoxide, nitrogen dioxide, ozone, lead, particulate matter, and sulfur dioxide.

Each state must submit these regulations and control strategies to EPA for approval and incorporation into the Federally enforceable SIP.

The CAA requires each state to have a Federally approved SIP which protects air quality primarily by addressing air pollution at its point of origin. These SIPs can be extensive, containing state regulations or other enforceable documents and supporting information such as emission inventories, monitoring networks, and modeling demonstrations.

What Is the Federal Approval Process for an SIP?

In order for state regulations to be incorporated into the Federally enforceable SIP, states must formally adopt the regulations and control strategies consistent with state and Federal requirements. This process generally includes a public notice, public hearing, public comment period, and a formal adoption by a state-authorized rulemaking body.

Once a state rule, regulation, or control strategy is adopted, the state submits it to EPA for inclusion into the SIP. EPA must provide public notice and seek additional public comment regarding the proposed Federal action on the state submission. If adverse comments are received, they must be addressed prior to any final Federal action by EPA.

All state regulations and supporting information approved by EPA under section 110 of the CAA are incorporated into the Federally approved SIP. Records of such SIP actions are maintained in the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) at Title 40, Part 52 entitled "Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans." The actual state regulations which are approved are not reproduced in their entirety in the CFR but are incorporated by reference, which means that EPA has approved a given state regulation with a specific effective date.

What Does Federal Approval of a State Regulation Mean to Me?

Enforcement of the state regulation before and after it is incorporated into the Federally approved SIP is primarily a state responsibility. However, after the regulation is Federally approved, EPA is authorized to take enforcement action against violators. Citizens are also offered legal recourse to address violators as described in the CAA.

What Is Being Addressed in This Document?

On November 13, 1998, the Missouri Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) submitted revisions to rule 10 CSR 10-3.030 entitled "Open Burning Restrictions." A public hearing was held on the revisions to this rule on March 26, 1998. Following a response to comments, the Missouri Air Conservation Commission (MACC) adopted these revisions on April 30, 1998, and they became effective on August 30, 1998.

On December 7, 1998, the MDNR submitted revisions to rule 10 CSR 10-6.030 entitled "Sampling Methods for Air Pollution Sources." A public hearing was held on the revisions to this rule on June 25, 1998. No comments were submitted. Consequently, on July 30, 1998, the MACC adopted these revisions, and on November 30, 1998, they became effective.

In each of its submittal letters, MDNR has requested that EPA revise the Missouri SIP to include the changes incorporated into these rules.

The three most significant revisions incorporated by MDNR into rule 10 CSR 10-3.030 include: (1) A consolidation of the open burning restriction provisions into one section; (2) a new provision that requires certain sources which obtain a permit to conduct open burning to utilize an air curtain destructor; and (3) revisions which allow open burning during emergency response situations, to protect human health or for authorized natural resource management. It should be noted that this rule pertains to out-state Missouri only. It does not include Kansas City, St. Louis, or Springfield.

Missouri has made two basic types of revisions to rule 10 CSR 10-6.030 relating to reference sampling methods. The first type of revision is to clarify the meaning and intent of the reference method citations by making non-substantive word changes. The second type of revision that was made was to add certain Federal reference sampling methods to the Missouri rule.

Specifically, two test methods were added to the rule during this revision.

MDNR has added the Federal reference test method for condensable particulate matter (method 202) to Subsection (5)(E). MDNR has also added the Federal reference test method for visible emissions (method 22) to Subsection (9)(B).

What Action Is Being Taken by EPA?

MDNR submitted the Out-State Open Burning Rule (10 CSR 10-3.030) and the Sampling Methods Rule (10 CSR 10-6.030) for incorporation into the Federally approved SIP on November 13, 1998, and on December 7, 1998, respectively.

EPA has reviewed these submittals which consolidate rule language, clarify rule language, and add Federal reference sampling methods. These submittals meet applicable statutory, regulatory, and policy guidelines.

EPA is therefore taking direct final action to approve these rule revisions as amendments to the Missouri SIP.

EPA is publishing this rule without prior proposal, because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial submittal and anticipates no adverse comments. However, in the proposed rules section of this **Federal Register** publication, EPA is publishing a separate document that will serve as the proposal to approve the SIP revision should adverse comments be filed. This rule will be effective June 1, 1999 without further notice unless the Agency receives adverse comments by May 3, 1999.

If EPA receives such comments, then EPA will publish a document withdrawing the final rule and informing the public that the rule will not take effect. All public comments received will then be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on the proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period. Parties interested in commenting should do so at this time. If no such comments are received, the public is advised that this rule will be effective on June 1, 1999 and no further action will be taken on the proposed rule.

Administrative Requirements

A. Executive Order (E.O.) 12866

The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has exempted this regulatory action from E.O. 12866, entitled "Regulatory Planning and Review."

B. E.O. 12875

Under E.O. 12875, Enhancing the Intergovernmental Partnership, EPA may not issue a regulation that is not required by statute and that creates a mandate upon a state, local, or tribal

government unless the Federal government provides the funds necessary to pay the direct compliance costs incurred by those governments or EPA consults with those governments. If EPA complies by consulting, E.O. 12875 requires EPA to provide to the OMB a description of the extent of EPA's prior consultation with representatives of affected state, local, and tribal governments, the nature of their concerns, copies of any written communications from the governments, and a statement supporting the need to issue the regulation. In addition, E.O. 12875 requires EPA to develop an effective process permitting elected officials and other representatives of state, local, and tribal governments "to provide meaningful and timely input in the development of regulatory proposals containing significant unfunded mandates."

Today's rule does not create a mandate on state, local, or tribal governments. The rule does not impose any enforceable duties on these entities. Accordingly, the requirements of section 1(a) of E.O. 12875 do not apply to this rule.

C. E.O. 13045

Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997) applies to any rule that: (1) Is determined to be "economically significant" as defined under E.O. 12866 and (2) concerns an environmental health or safety risk that EPA has reason to believe may have a disproportionate effect on children. If the regulatory action meets both criteria, the Agency must evaluate the environmental health or safety effects of the planned rule on children and explain why the planned regulation is preferable to other potentially effective and reasonably feasible alternatives considered by the Agency.

This rule is not subject to E.O. 13045, because it is not an economically significant regulatory action as defined by E.O. 12866 and does not concern an environmental health or safety risk that EPA has reason to believe may have a disproportionate effect on children.

D. E.O. 13084

Under E.O. 13084, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, EPA may not issue a regulation that is not required by statute, that significantly or uniquely affects the communities of Indian tribal governments, and that imposes substantial direct compliance costs on those communities, unless the Federal government provides the funds

necessary to pay the direct compliance costs incurred by the tribal governments or EPA consults with those governments. If EPA complies by consulting, E.O. 13084 requires EPA to provide to the OMB, in a separately identified section of the preamble to the rule, a description of the extent of EPA's prior consultation with representatives of affected tribal governments, a summary of the nature of their concerns, and a statement supporting the need to issue the regulation. In addition, E.O. 13084 requires EPA to develop an effective process permitting elected officials and other representatives of Indian tribal governments "to provide meaningful and timely input in the development of regulatory policies on matters that significantly or uniquely affect their communities." This action does not significantly or uniquely affect tribal communities, so E.O. 13084 does not apply.

E. Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Regulatory Flexibility Act generally requires an agency to conduct a regulatory flexibility analysis of any rule subject to notice and comment rulemaking requirements, unless the agency certifies that the rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Small entities include small businesses, small not-for-profit enterprises, and small governmental jurisdictions. This final rule will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities, because SIP approvals under section 110 and Subchapter I, Part D of the CAA do not create any new requirements but simply approve requirements that the state is already imposing. Therefore, because the Federal SIP approval does not create any new requirements, I certify that this action will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Moreover, due to the nature of the Federal-state relationship under the CAA, preparation of flexibility analysis would constitute Federal inquiry into the economic reasonableness of state action. The CAA forbids EPA to base its actions concerning SIPs on such grounds. *Union Electric Co., v. U.S. EPA*, 427 U.S. 246, 255-66 (1976); 42 U.S.C. 7410(a)(2).

F. Unfunded Mandates

Under section 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 ("Unfunded Mandates Act"), signed into law on March 22, 1995, EPA must prepare a budgetary impact statement to accompany any proposed or final rule that includes a Federal mandate that

may result in estimated annual costs to state, local, or tribal governments in the aggregate; or to private sector, of \$100 million or more. Under section 205, EPA must select the most cost-effective and least burdensome alternative that achieves the objectives of the rule and is consistent with statutory requirements. Section 203 requires EPA to establish a plan for informing and advising any small governments that may be significantly or uniquely impacted by the rule.

EPA has determined that the approval action promulgated does not include a Federal mandate that may result in estimated annual costs of \$100 million or more to either state, local, or tribal governments in the aggregate, or to the private sector. This Federal action approves pre-existing requirements under state or local law and imposes no new requirements. Accordingly, no additional costs to state, local, or tribal governments, or to the private sector, result from this action.

G. Submission to Congress and the Comptroller General

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*, as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the U.S. Comptroller General prior to publication of the rule in the **Federal Register**. This rule is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

H. Petitions for Judicial Review

Under section 307(b)(1) of the CAA, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by June 1, 1999. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this rule for the purposes of judicial review, nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. [See section 307(b)(2).]

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Carbon monoxide, Hydrocarbons, Incorporation by

reference, Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone, Particulate matter, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Volatile organic compounds.

Dated: March 16, 1999.

Dennis Grams,

Regional Administrator, Region VII.

Part 52, Chapter I, Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

PART 52—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for Part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 USC 7401-7671q.

Subpart AA—Missouri

2. Section 52.1320 is amended by adding new paragraph (c)(112) to read as follows:

§ 52.1320 Identification of plan.

* * * * *

(c) * * *

(112) Revisions submitted on November 13, 1998, and December 7, 1998, by the MDNR that modify Missouri's Out-state Open Burning Rule and add sampling methods to Missouri's Sampling Method Rule, respectively.

(i) Incorporation by reference:

(A) Revisions to Missouri rule 10 CSR 10-3.030 entitled "Open Burning Restrictions," effective August 30, 1998.

(B) Revisions to Missouri rule 10 CSR 10-6.030 entitled "Sampling Methods for Air Pollution Sources," effective November 30, 1998.

[FR Doc. 99-7905 Filed 3-31-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs

41 CFR Parts 60-250 and 60-999

Affirmative Action and Nondiscrimination Obligations of Contractors and Subcontractors Regarding Special Disabled Veterans and Vietnam Era Veterans; OMB Control Numbers for OFCCP Information Collection Requirements

AGENCY: Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs (OFCCP), Labor.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This rule informs the public that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has approved, under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA), the collection of information requirements contained in the OFCCP