

events. The licensee responded on October 8 and 12, 1998, listing adequate actions to prevent recurrence of similar events.

* * * * *

Agreement State Licensees

AS 98-1 Medical Brachytherapy Misadministration at Tuomey Regional Medical Center in Sumter, SC

One of the AO criteria notes that any unintended radiation exposure to an adult (any individual 18 years of age or older) resulting in an annual total effective dose equivalent (TEDE) of 250 millisievert (mSv) (25 rem) or more; or an annual sum of the deep dose equivalent and committed dose equivalent to any individual organ or tissue other than the lens of the eye, bone marrow, and the gonads of 2500 mSv (250 rem) or more will be considered for reporting as an AO.

Date and Place—September 23, 1997; Tuomey Regional Medical Center; Sumter, South Carolina.

Nature and Probable Consequences—On September 23, 1997, a patient was scheduled by a referring physician (urologist) for a palladium-103 (Pd-103) permanent prostate seed implant via transrectal ultrasound guidance.

However, the referring physician had two patients with identical names and the wrong individual got the orders for the Pd-103 treatment. The patient was identified at the Medical Center by verbal means (asking the patient's name) and by checking the name on the patient's wristband. In addition, the patient had signed a consent in the chart stating he was at the hospital for seed implant for treatment of prostate cancer. The patient received 67 seeds of Pd-103 at 37 megabecquerel (MBq) (1 millicurie (mCi)) per seed, thus a total implant activity of 2479 MBq (67 mCi). On the basis of pre-implant dosimetry, the periphery of the prostate was to receive a maximum dose of 9000 centigray (cGy) (9000 rad). The posterior wall of the bladder and anterior wall of the rectum would receive approximately 4000 cGy (4000 rad) and the whole-body dose would be less than 1 cGy (1 rad). The procedure was performed without complication.

On September 25, 1997, the referring physician notified Tuomey Regional Medical Center that he had two patients with identical names and that the wrong individual had received the implant. On September 29, 1997, the authorized user met with the individual who had received the Pd-103 treatment and discussed the potential early and late side effects, and all necessary precautions.

The licensee stated that the early consequences from this type of implant usually are dysuria and possible hematuria, which, if they occur, resolve in several days. Late consequences could be an approximately 25 percent chance of impotence. Damage to the bladder and rectum occurs in fewer than 1 percent of patients.

Cause or Causes—The referring physician had two patients with identical names. The wrong individual arrived at Tuomey Regional Medical Center with orders from the referring physician for the Pd-103 seed implant. The patient who should have had these orders had been to Tuomey Regional Medical Center for a pre-operative interview. When the wrong individual presented for treatment at Tuomey Regional Medical Center with orders for the Pd-103 seed implant, the registration process failed to note that he was not the same individual who had undergone the pre-operative interview.

Actions Taken To Prevent Recurrence

Licensee—The licensee performed a comprehensive review of the patient identification process once the incident occurred. As a result, the patient identification system was revised on a hospital-wide basis in order to prevent recurrence of this type of event.

State Agency—The State agency investigated the event and a Notice of Violation and Enforcement Conference was held on February 10, 1998. A Notice of Noncompliance was issued for failure to meet the objective that each administration is done in accordance with a written directive. The licensee responded in writing and no additional actions were required.

* * * * *

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 2nd day of June, 1999.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Annette L. Vietti-Cook,

Secretary of the Commission.

[FR Doc. 99-14468 Filed 6-7-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

RAILROAD RETIREMENT BOARD

Actuarial Advisory Committee With Respect to the Railroad Retirement Account; Notice of Public Meeting

Notice is hereby given in accordance with Public Law 92-463 that the Actuarial Advisory Committee will hold a meeting on June 15, 1999, at 10:30 a.m. at the office of the Chief Actuary of the U.S. Railroad Retirement Board, 844 North Rush Street, Chicago, Illinois, on the conduct of the 21st Actuarial Valuation of the Railroad Retirement

System. The agenda for this meeting will include a discussion of the assumptions to be used in the 21st Actuarial Valuation. A report containing recommended assumptions and the experience on which the recommendations are based will have been sent by the Chief Actuary to the Committee before the meeting.

The meeting will be open to the public. Persons wishing to submit written statements or make oral presentations should address their communications or notices to the RRB Actuarial Advisory Committee, c/o Chief Actuary, U.S. Railroad Retirement Board, 844 North Rush Street, Chicago, Illinois 60611-2092.

Dated: May 26, 1999.

Beatrice Ezerski,

Secretary to the Board.

[FR Doc. 99-14323 Filed 6-7-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7905-01-M

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Proposed Collection; Comment Request

Upon Written Request, Copies Available

From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Filings and Information Services, Washington, DC 20549

Form F-6, SEC File No. 270-270, OMB Control No. 3235-0292

Regulation S-T, SEC File No. 270-375, OMB Control No. 3235-0424

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.), the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") is soliciting comments on the collection of information summarized below. The Commission plans to submit these existing collections of information to the Office of Management and Budget for extension and approval.

The Commission under Section 19 of the Securities Act of 1933 established Form F-6 for registration of American Depositary Receipts (ADRs) of foreign companies. Form F-6 requires disclosure of information regarding the terms of the depository bank, fees charged, and a description of the ADRs. No special information regarding the foreign company is required to be prepared or disclosed, although the foreign company must be one which periodically furnishes information to the Commission. Such information is available to the public for inspection. The information is needed to ensure that investors in ADRs have full

disclosure of information concerning the deposit agreement and the foreign company. It has been estimated that there are 339 respondents annually resulting in an estimated annual total burden of 306 hours.

Regulation S-T sets forth the general rules and regulations for electronic filings. Registrants who file electronically are the likely respondents. Regulation S-T is only assigned one burden hour for administrative convenience because it does not directly impose any information collection requirements.

Written comments are invited on: (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Consideration will be given to comments and suggestions submitted in writing within 60 days of this publication.

Please direct your written comments to Michael E. Bartell, Associate Executive Director, Office of Information Technology, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 5th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20549.

Dated: June 1, 1999.

Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99-14415 Filed 6-7-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Issuer Delisting; Notice of Application to Withdraw from Listing and Registration; (e4L, Inc. (Formerly National Media Corporation), Common Stock, Par Value \$.01) File No. 1-6715

June 1, 1999.

e4L, Inc., formerly National Media Corporation ("Company"), has filed an application with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to Section 12(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act") and Rule 12d2-2(d) promulgated thereunder, to withdraw the above specified security ("Security") from listing and registration on the Philadelphia Stock Exchange, Inc. ("PHLX" or "Exchange").

The reasons cited in the application for withdrawing the Security from listing and registration include the following:

The Security has been listed for trading on the PHLX and on the New York Stock Exchange, Inc. ("NYSE") The Company's headquarters were recently relocated from Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, to Los Angeles, California, following the consummation of a transaction in which an investor group purchased an aggregate amount of \$30 million of the Company's securities and assumed operational control of the Company. In light of the fact that the Company no longer has any geographical ties to the Philadelphia metropolitan area, and having weighed the additional costs incurred by maintaining listing of the Security on both the PHLX and the NYSE against the additional value derived from such dual listings, the Board of Directors of the Company has determined it would be prudent to discontinue listing the Security on the PHLX.

The Company has complied with Rule 809 of the Exchange by filing with the Exchange a certified copy of the resolutions adopted by the Company's Board of Directors authorizing the withdrawal of its Security from listing on the PHLX and by setting forth in detail to the Exchange the reasons for the proposed withdrawal, and the facts in support thereof. The Exchange has informed the Company that it has no objection to the withdrawal of the Company's Security from listing on the Exchange.

The application refers solely to the withdrawal of the Security from listing on the PHLX and shall have no effect upon the continued listing of such Security on the NYSE. By reason of Section 12(b) of the Act and the rules and regulations of the Commission thereunder, the Company shall continue to be obligated to file reports under Section 13 of the Act with the Commission and with the NYSE.

Any interested person may, on or before June 22, 1999, submit by letter to the Secretary of the Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20549-0609, facts bearing upon whether the application has been made in accordance with the rules of the Exchange and what terms, if any, should be imposed by the Commission for the protection of investors. The Commission, based on the information submitted to it, will issue an order granting the application after the date mentioned above, unless the Commission determines to order a hearing on the matter.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

Jonathan G. Katz,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99-14414 Filed 6-7-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Office of the Secretary

Aviation Proceedings, Agreements filed during the week ending May 28, 1999

The following Agreements were filed with the Department of Transportation under the provisions of 49 U.S.C. Sections 412 and 414. Answers may be filed within 21 days of date of filing.

Docket Number: OST-99-5738.

Date Filed: May 27, 1999.

Parties: Members of the International Air Transport Association.

Subject: PTC2 AFR 0056 dated 1 June 1999, Mail Vote 006—Resolution 010m, TC2 Within Africa Special Passenger Amending Resolution from Mozambique, Intended effective date: 1 June 1999.

Docket Number: OST-99-5741.

Date Filed: May 28, 1999.

Parties: Members of the International Air Transport Association

Subject: PTC31 S/CIRC 0067 dated 25 May 1999, Expedited South Pacific Resolutions r1-r5, Intended effective date: 15 July 1999.

Dorothy W. Walker,

Federal Register Liaison.

[FR Doc. 99-14506 Filed 6-7-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-62-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Amtrak Reform Council; Notice of Meeting

AGENCY: Amtrak Reform Council.

ACTION: Notice of special meeting with southeast states and business meeting.

SUMMARY: As provided in Section 203 of the Amtrak Reform and Accountability Act of 1997, the Amtrak Reform Council (ARC) gives notice of a business meeting of the Council, preceded by a special meeting with southeast state representatives. At the special meeting, the Council will hear from, among others, the representatives of the Commonwealth of Virginia and the states of North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia and Florida on all aspects of intercity railroad passenger service, including corridor service, in the