

**PART 20—COMMERCIAL MOBILE RADIO SERVICES**

1. The authority citation for part 20 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 47 U.S.C. 154, 160, 251–254, 303, and 332 unless otherwise noted.

2. Section 20.18 is amended by revising paragraphs (d)(1) and (j) to read as follows:

**§ 20.18 911 Service.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(d) *Phase I enhanced 911 services.* (1) As of April 1, 1998, or within six months of a request by the designated Public Safety Answering Point as set forth in paragraph (j) of this section, whichever is later, licensees subject to this section must provide the telephone number of the originator of a 911 call and the location of the cell site or base station receiving a 911 call from any mobile handset accessing their systems to the designated Public Safety Answering Point through the use of ANI and Pseudo-ANI.

\* \* \* \* \*

(j) *Conditions for enhanced 911 services.* The requirements set forth in paragraphs (d) through (h) of this section shall be applicable only if the administrator of the designated Public Safety Answering Point has requested the services required under those paragraphs and is capable of receiving and utilizing the data elements associated with the service, and a mechanism for recovering the Public Safety Answering Point's costs of the enhanced 911 service is in place.

\* \* \* \* \*

[FR Doc. 99–33391 Filed 12–28–99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION****47 CFR Parts 36 and 54**

[CC Docket No. 96–45; FCC 99–306]

**Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service**

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Final rule; announcement of effective date.

**SUMMARY:** This document announces the effective date of the rules governing its existing support mechanism to ensure that support for rural carriers is not substantially changed when non-rural carriers are removed from that mechanism and transitioned to the new forward-looking support mechanism.

The document was published in the **Federal Register** on December 1, 1999. Some of the rules contained information collection requirements.

**DATES:** The amendments to 47 CFR 36.611(h), 36.612, 54.307(b), (c), 54.309(c), 54.311(c), and 54.313 published at 64 FR 67416 (December 1, 1999) became effective on December 15, 1999.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jack Zinman, Attorney, Common Carrier Bureau, Accounting Policy Division, (202) 418–7400.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On October 21, 1999, the Commission adopted an order adopting a new specific and predictable forward-looking mechanism that will provide sufficient support to enable affordable, reasonably comparable intrastate rates for customers served by non-rural carriers. That document also addressed specific methodological issues relating to the calculation of forward-looking support, including the area over which costs should be averaged; the level of the national benchmark; the amount of support to be provided for costs above the national benchmark; the elimination of the state share requirement; and the targeting of the statewide support amount. A summary was published in the **Federal Register**. See 64 FR 67416, December 1, 1999. Some of the rules contained information collection requirements. We stated that the “rules contain information collections that have not been approved by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). The Commission will publish a document in the **Federal Register** announcing the effective date of these rules.” The information collections were approved by OMB on December 2, 1999. See OMB Nos. 3060–0233, 3060–0774 and 3060–0894. This publication satisfies our statement that the Commission would publish a document announcing the effective date of the rules. It also modifies the rules governing our existing support mechanism to ensure that support for rural carriers is not substantially changed when non-rural carriers are removed from that mechanism and transitioned to the new forward-looking support mechanism.

**List of Subjects**

47 CFR Part 36

Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Telephone.

47 CFR Part 54

Universal service.

Federal Communications Commission.

**William F. Caton,**

*Deputy Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 99–33767 Filed 12–28–99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712–01–U

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION****47 CFR Part 95**

[WT Docket No. 98–169; FCC 99–372]

**Rules to Provide Regulatory Flexibility in the 218–219 MHz Service**

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission modifies the restructuring plan adopted in the 218–219 MHz final rule document. The purpose of the modification is to remove a provision whereby an eligible licensee participating in the restructuring plan can obtain a seventy-percent credit on its down payment and forego, for a period of two years, eligibility to acquire the surrendered licenses. It was not the Commission's intent to adopt the seventy-percent credit and the intended effect is to correct the prior error.

**DATES:** Effective December 29, 1999.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Andrea Kelly, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, Auctions and Industry Analysis Division (202) 418–0660.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** 1. This *Order on Reconsideration* in WT Docket 98–169 was adopted November 24, 1999 and released November 30, 1999. The document is available, in its entirety, for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Center, (Room CY–A257), 445 12th Street, SW, Washington, DC 20554. It may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, International Transcription Services, Inc. (ITS, Inc.), 1231 20th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036, (202) 857–3800. In addition, it is available on the Commission's website at <http://www.fcc.gov/Bureaus/Wireless/Orders>.

**Synopsis***I. Background*

2. In the *218–219 MHz Report and Order* 64 FR 59656 (November 3, 1999), the Commission adopted a restructuring plan for existing 218–219 MHz licensees. These licensees were current in installment payments (*i.e.* less than ninety days delinquent) as of March 16, 1998, or those licensees that had properly filed grace period requests