

**NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION**

Notice [00-028]

**NASA Advisory Council (NAC), Aero-Space Technology Advisory Committee (ASTAC); Meeting****AGENCY:** National Aeronautics and Space Administration.**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Public Law 92-463, as amended, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration announces a forthcoming meeting of the NASA Advisory Council, Aero-Space Technology Advisory Committee.**DATES:** Wednesday, April 12, 2000, 1 p.m. to 5 p.m.; and Thursday, April 13, 2000, 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.**ADDRESSES:** Holiday Inn, Rosslyn Westpark Hotel, 1900 North Fort Myer Drive, Arlington, Virginia 22209; and National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Room 6H46, 300 E Street, SW, Washington, DC 20546.**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Mary-Ellen McGrath, Office of Aero-Space Technology, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Washington, DC 20546 (202/358-4729).**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The April 12, 2000, meeting will be a Joint Aero-Space Technology Advisory Committee (ASTAC) and Research, Engineering and Development (R,E&D) Advisory Committee session. The meeting will be open to the public up to the seating capacity of the room.

The agenda for the meeting is as follows:

*Wednesday, April 12—Holiday Inn, Rosslyn Westpark Hotel*

- Opening Comments for Joint Aero-Space Technology Advisory Committee (ASTAC) and Research, Engineering and Development (R,E&D) Advisory Committee
- Icing Research Overview
- Small Aircraft Transportation System (SATS) Report
- Air Traffic Management Steering Committee Report
- Aviation Systems After Next R&D Planning

*Thursday, April 13—National Aeronautics and Space Administration*

- Aero-Space Technology Overview
- Intelligent Synthesis Environment (ISE) Briefing
- Revolutionary Concepts (REVCON) Selection Report
- High-Speed Research Program Status
- University Strategy Update

—Subcommittee Reports

It is imperative that the meeting be held on these dates to accommodate the scheduling priorities of the key participants.

Dated: March 21, 2000.

**Matthew M. Crouch,***Advisory Committee Management Officer, National Aeronautics and Space Administration.*

[FR Doc. 00-7414 Filed 3-24-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7510-01-U****NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION****[Notice (00-029)]****Notice of Prospective Patent License****AGENCY:** National Aeronautics and Space Administration.**ACTION:** Notice of prospective patent license.**SUMMARY:** NASA hereby gives notice that Horton's Orthotic Lab, Inc., of Little Rock, Arkansas, has applied for an exclusive license for the field of use in orthotics and prosthetics of the invention disclosed in NASA Case No. MFS-31258 entitled "Releasable Conical Roller Clutch" which has been assigned to the United States of America as represented by the Administrator of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. Written objections to the prospective grant of a license should be sent to Mr. James J. McGroary, Patent Counsel/LS01, Marshall Space Flight Center, Huntsville, AL 35812.**DATES:** Responses to this notice must be received by May 26, 2000.**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Caroline Wang, Mail Code CD30, Marshall Space Flight Center, Huntsville, AL 35812; telephone 256-544-3887.

Dated: March 21, 2000.

**Edward A. Frankle,***General Counsel.*

[FR Doc. 00-7415 Filed 3-24-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7510-01-U****NATIONAL ARCHIVES AND RECORDS ADMINISTRATION****NARA Scheduling and Appraisal Review****AGENCY:** National Archives and Records Administration (NARA).**ACTION:** Notice; request for comment.**SUMMARY:** The National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) is

conducting a review of its records scheduling and appraisal policies and process. NARA invites comment on a proposed Work Plan for Stage I supporting this Scheduling and Appraisal Project. Work under this proposed Plan includes:

1. Developing a methodology for gathering information from customers (Federal agencies, including NARA staff, and the public);

2. Developing data gathering tools such as customer surveys and focus group sessions;

3. Performing the information collections;

4. Analyzing the data gathered; and,

5. Analyzing a number of policy issues relating to scheduling and appraising Federal records.

The Draft Work Plan is available at <http://www.nara.gov/records/sap/>**DATES:** Comments must be received by April 11, 2000.**ADDRESSES:** Paper copies of the document are also available from the contact person in **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this notice.Send comments electronically in the body of the message to [comments@nara.gov](mailto:comments@nara.gov). Comments may also be mailed to Scheduling and Appraisal Project Comments, Rm 4100, National Archives at College Park, 8601 Adelphi Road, College Park, MD 20740-6001 or faxed to 301 713-7270.**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Susan Cummings by email at [susan.cummings@arch2.nara.gov](mailto:susan.cummings@arch2.nara.gov) or by telephone at 301 713-7360, ext. 238.**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This notice is also published in the Commerce Business Daily.**Lewis J. Bellardo,***Deputy Archivist of the United States.*

[FR Doc. 00-7438 Filed 3-24-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7515-01-P****NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION****[Docket No. 50-247]****Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.; Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing**

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. DPR-26 issued to Consolidated Edison

Company of New York, Inc. (the licensee) for operation of the Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit No. 2, located in Westchester County, New York.

The proposed amendment would revise Technical Specifications (TSs) associated with probes used in steam generator tube inspections, specifically TS Section 4.13.A.3.f. The proposed change would provide more flexibility in the type of probe used and to reflect current technological advances in inspection equipment, while still maintaining the current 610-mil diameter probe restriction.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

The proposed changes do not involve a significant hazards consideration because:

1. Does the proposed license amendment involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated?

No. The proposed changes facilitate the application of current diagnostic techniques. The changes involve updating Section 4.13.A.3.f, to permit more flexibility in the eddy current probes used in steam generator tube inspection and to reflect current technological advances in inspection equipment, while still maintaining the 610-mil diameter probe restriction. These changes do not affect possible initiating events for accidents previously evaluated or alter the configuration or operation of the facility. The Limiting Safety System Settings and Safety Limits specified in the current Technical Specifications remain unchanged. Therefore, the proposed changes would not involve a significant increase in the probability or

[\* \* \*] consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

2. Does the proposed amendment create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated?

No. The proposed changes facilitate the application of current diagnostic techniques. The safety analysis of the facility remains complete and accurate. There are no physical changes to the facility and the plant conditions for which the design basis accidents have been evaluated are still valid. The operating procedures and emergency procedures are unaffected. Consequently no new failure modes are introduced as a result of the proposed change. Therefore, the proposed changes would not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

3. Does the proposed amendment involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety?

No. The proposed changes facilitate the application of current diagnostic techniques. Since there are no changes to the operation of the facility or the physical design, the Updated Final Safety Analysis Report (UFSAR) design basis, accident assumptions, or Technical Specification Bases are not affected. Therefore, the proposed changes do not involve a significant reduction in margin of safety.

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis and, based on this review, it appears that the three standards of 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

The Commission is seeking public comments on this proposed determination. Any comments received within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice will be considered in making any final determination.

Normally, the Commission will not issue the amendment until the expiration of the 30-day notice period. However, should circumstances change during the notice period such that failure to act in a timely way would result, for example, in derating or shutdown of the facility, the Commission may issue the license amendment before the expiration of the 30-day notice period, provided that its final determination is that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will consider all public and State comments received. Should the Commission take this action, it will publish in the **Federal Register** a notice

of issuance and provide for opportunity for a hearing after issuance. The Commission expects that the need to take this action will occur very infrequently.

Written comments may be submitted by mail to the Chief, Rules and Directives Branch, Division of Administrative Services, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, and should cite the publication date and page number of this **Federal Register** notice. Written comments may also be delivered to Room 6D59, Two White Flint North, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland, from 7:30 a.m. to 4:15 p.m. Federal workdays. Copies of written comments received may be examined at the NRC Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC.

The filing of requests for hearing and petitions for leave to intervene is discussed below.

By April 26, 2000, the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to issuance of the amendment to the subject facility operating license and any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding and who wishes to participate as a party in the proceeding must file a written request for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene. Requests for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene shall be filed in accordance with the Commission's "Rules of Practice for Domestic Licensing Proceedings" in 10 CFR Part 2. Interested persons should consult a current copy of 10 CFR 2.714 which is available at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and accessible electronically through the ADAMS Public Electronic Reading Room link at the NRC Web site (<http://www.nrc.gov>). If a request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene is filed by the above date, the Commission or an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, designated by the Commission or by the Chairman of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, will rule on the request and/or petition; and the Secretary or the designated Atomic Safety and Licensing Board will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

As required by 10 CFR 2.714, a petition for leave to intervene shall set forth with particularity the interest of the petitioner in the proceeding, and how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding. The petition should specifically explain the reasons why intervention should be permitted with particular reference to the following factors: (1) The nature of the

petitioner's right under the Act to be made party to the proceeding; (2) the nature and extent of the petitioner's property, financial, or other interest in the proceeding; and (3) the possible effect of any order which may be entered in the proceeding on the petitioner's interest. The petition should also identify the specific aspect(s) of the subject matter of the proceeding as to which petitioner wishes to intervene. Any person who has filed a petition for leave to intervene or who has been admitted as a party may amend the petition without requesting leave of the Board up to 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, but such an amended petition must satisfy the specificity requirements described above.

Not later than 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, a petitioner shall file a supplement to the petition to intervene which must include a list of the contentions which are sought to be litigated in the matter. Each contention must consist of a specific statement of the issue of law or fact to be raised or controverted. In addition, the petitioner shall provide a brief explanation of the bases of the contention and a concise statement of the alleged facts or expert opinion which support the contention and on which the petitioner intends to rely in proving the contention at the hearing. The petitioner must also provide references to those specific sources and documents of which the petitioner is aware and on which the petitioner intends to rely to establish those facts or expert opinion. Petitioner must provide sufficient information to show that a genuine dispute exists with the applicant on a material issue of law or fact. Contentions shall be limited to matters within the scope of the amendment under consideration. The contention must be one which, if proven, would entitle the petitioner to relief. A petitioner who fails to file such a supplement which satisfies these requirements with respect to at least one contention will not be permitted to participate as a party.

Those permitted to intervene become parties to the proceeding, subject to any limitations in the order granting leave to intervene, and have the opportunity to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing, including the opportunity to present evidence and cross-examine witnesses.

If a hearing is requested, the Commission will make a final determination on the issue of no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will serve to decide when the hearing is held.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration, the Commission may issue the amendment and make it immediately effective, notwithstanding the request for a hearing. Any hearing held would take place after issuance of the amendment.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves a significant hazards consideration, any hearing held would take place before the issuance of any amendment.

A request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, Attention: Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff, or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, by the above date. A copy of the petition should also be sent to the Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, and to Mr. Brent L. Brandenburg, Assistant General Counsel, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., 4 Irving Place-1822, New York, NY 10003, attorney for the licensee.

Nontimely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions and/or requests for hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer or the presiding Atomic Safety and Licensing Board that the petition and/or request should be granted based upon a balancing of the factors.

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated March 17, 2000, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and accessible electronically through the ADAMS Public Electronic Reading Room link at the NRC Web site (<http://www.nrc.gov>).

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 22nd day of March 2000.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Jeffrey F. Harold,**

*Project Manager, Section 1, Project Directorate I, Division of Licensing Project Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. 00-7430 Filed 3-24-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590-01-P**

## OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

[RI 34-16]

### Proposed Collection; Comment Request for Review of a New Information Collection

**AGENCY:** Office of Personnel Management.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, May 22, 1995), this notice announces that the Office of Personnel Management (OPM) intends to submit to the Office of Management and Budget a request for a new information collection. RI 34-16, Information for Individuals Who Have Received an Overpayment From the Civil Service Retirement and Disability Fund (CSRDF), will be used to submit a lump-sum payment to settle an overpayment from the CSRDF, request an installment repayment agreement, or request reconsideration, waiver or compromise.

Comments are particularly invited on:

- Whether this collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of functions of the Office of Personnel Management, and whether it will have practical utility;
- Whether our estimate of the public burden of this collection is accurate, and based on valid assumptions and methodology; and
- Ways in which we can minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, through use of the appropriate technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Approximately 1,000 RI 34-16 forms are completed per year. Each form will take approximately 1 hour to complete. The annual estimated burden will be 1,000 hours.

For copies of this proposal, contact Mary Beth Smith-Toomey on (202) 606-8358, or E-mail to [mbtoomey@opm.gov](mailto:mbtoomey@opm.gov).

**DATES:** Comments on this proposal should be received on or before May 26, 2000.

**ADDRESSES:** Send or deliver comments to—William J. Washington, Chief, Financial Management Division, Retirement and Insurance Service, U.S. Office of Personnel Management, 1900 E Street, NW, Room 3H19, Washington, DC 20415.

**FOR INFORMATION REGARDING ADMINISTRATIVE COORDINATION—**  
**CONTACT:** Phyllis R. Pinkney, Management Analyst, Budget &