

Affected Public: Individuals or households.

Frequency: One time.

Respondent's Obligation: Voluntary.

Legal Authority: Title 13 USC, Sections 141 and 193.

OMB Desk Officer: Susan Schechter, (202) 395-5103.

Copies of the above information collection proposal can be obtained by calling or writing Linda Engelmeier, DOC Forms Clearance Officer, (202) 482-3272, Department of Commerce, room 5027, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at LEngelme@doc.gov).

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to Susan Schechter, OMB Desk Officer, room 10201, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

Dated: March 27, 2000.

Linda Engelmeier,

Departmental Forms Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 00-8003 Filed 3-30-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-07-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-201-805]

Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe From Mexico: Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 31, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Drury (202) 482-0195 or Charles Rast at (202) 482-1324, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Ave, NW, Washington, D.C. 20230.

Time Limits

Statutory Time Limits

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department to make a preliminary determination within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order/finding for which a review is requested and a final determination within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary determination is published. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within these time periods, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the

Department to extend the time limit for the preliminary determination to a maximum of 365 days and for the final determination to 180 days (or 300 days if the Department does not extend the time limit for the preliminary determination) from the date of publication of the preliminary determination.

Background

On December 23, 1998, the Department published a notice of initiation of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe from Mexico covering the period November 1, 1997 through October 31, 1998 (63 FR 71091). On August 12, 1999, the Department published a notice of extension of the time limit for the preliminary results in this case to November 30, 1999 (64 FR 43982). On December 9, 1999, the Department published the preliminary results of review (64 FR 68995). The final results are currently due no later than April 7, 2000.

Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Review

We determine that it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results of this review within the original time limit. Therefore the Department is extending the time limit for completion of the final results until no later than June 6, 2000. See Decision Memorandum from Richard O. Weible to Joseph A. Spetrini, dated March 23, 2000, which is on file in the Central Records Unit, Room B-099 of the main Commerce building.

This extension is in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Dated: March 23, 2000.

Richard O. Weible,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary, AD/CVD Enforcement, Group III.

[FR Doc. 00-8013 Filed 3-30-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-583-826]

Collated Roofing Nails From Taiwan: Rescission of Second Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Rescission of the Second Antidumping Duty Administrative Review.

SUMMARY: On December 28, 1999, in response to a November 29, 1999, request made by Dinsen Fastening System, Inc., a producer/exporter of collated roofing nails from Taiwan, the Department of Commerce published the initiation of an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on collated roofing nails from Taiwan, covering the period November 1, 1998 through October 31, 1999. Since no other party requested a review, the Department is rescinding this review as a result of the timely withdrawal of the request for review by Dinsen Fastening System, Inc.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 31, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Brian Smith or Brian Ledgerwood, AD/CVD Enforcement, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482-1766 and (202) 482-3836, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act") by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce's ("the Department's") regulations refer to 19 CFR part 351 (1999).

Background

On November 19, 1997, the Department published an antidumping duty order on collated roofing nails from Taiwan (62 FR 61730). On November 29, 1999, the above-mentioned producer/exporter requested an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on collated roofing nails from Taiwan covering the period of November 1, 1998, through October 31, 1999. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i), we published the initiation of the review on December 28, 1999 (64 FR 72644). On February 3, 2000, Dinsen Fastening System, Inc. ("Dinsen") withdrew its request for review.

Rescission of Review

The Department's regulations, at 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), provide that the Department will rescind an administrative review if a party that requested the review withdraws its request within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of

the requested review. Dinsen withdrew its request for an administrative review on February 3, 2000, which is within the 90-day deadline. Therefore, the Department has determined to rescind this administrative review with respect to Dinsen.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This determination is issued and published in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4) and section 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: March 23, 2000.

Richard W. Moreland,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 00-8014 Filed 3-30-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-851]

Certain Preserved Mushrooms From the People's Republic of China: Initiation of New Shipper Antidumping Duty Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce has received a request to conduct a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on certain preserved mushrooms from the People's Republic of China. In accordance with 19 C.F.R. 351.214(d), we are initiating this review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 31, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David J. Goldberger or Katherine

Johnson, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone (202) 482-4136 or 482-4929, respectively.

Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce ("the Department") regulations are to the provisions codified at 19 CFR part 351 (1999).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Department has received a timely request from Raoping Xingyu Foods Co., Ltd. ("Raoping Xingyu"), in accordance with 19 CFR 351.214(c), for a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on certain preserved mushrooms from the People's Republic of China ("PRC"), which has a February anniversary date. As required by 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(i) and (iii)(A), Raoping Xingyu ("the respondent") has certified that it did not export certain preserved mushrooms to the United States during the period of investigation ("POI"), and that it has never been affiliated with any exporter or producer which exported certain preserved mushrooms during the POI. Raoping Xingyu further certified that its export activities are not controlled by the central government of the PRC, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(iii)(B). Pursuant to the Department's regulations at 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(iv), Raoping Xingyu submitted documentation establishing the date on which it first shipped the subject merchandise to the United States, the volume of that first shipment, and the date of its first sale to an unaffiliated customer in the United States.

In accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.214(b), and based on information on the record, we are initiating the new shipper review as requested.

It is the Department's usual practice in cases involving non-market economies to require that a company seeking to establish eligibility for an antidumping duty rate separate from the country-wide rate provide *de jure* and *de facto* evidence of an absence of government control over the company's export activities. Accordingly we will issue a separate rates questionnaire to the above-named respondent. If respondent Raoping Xingyu provides sufficient evidence that it is not subject to either *de jure* or *de facto* government control with respect to its exports of certain preserved mushrooms, this review will proceed. If, on the other hand, Raoping Xingyu does not meet its burden to demonstrate its eligibility for a separate rate, then Raoping Xingyu will be deemed to be affiliated with other companies that exported during the POI and that did not establish entitlement to a separate rate. This review will then be terminated due to failure of the exporter or producer to meet the requirements of section 751(a)(2)(B)(i)(II) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(iii)(B).

Initiation of Review

In accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B)(ii) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(d)(1), we are initiating a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on certain preserved mushrooms from the PRC. On March 17, 2000, Raoping Xingyu agreed to waive the time limits in order that the Department, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.214(j)(3), may conduct this review concurrently with the first annual administrative review of this order, that is being conducted pursuant to section 751(a)(1) of the Act. Therefore, we intend to issue the final results of this review not later than 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month.

Antidumping duty proceeding	Period to be reviewed
PRC: Certain Preserved Mushrooms, A-570-851: Raoping Xingyu Foods Co., Ltd.	08/05/1998-01/31/2000

Based upon the receipt of an adequate separate rates questionnaire response from the respondent, we will instruct the U.S. Customs Service to allow, at the option of the importer, the posting of a bond or security in lieu of a cash deposit for each entry of the

merchandise exported by the above-listed company until the completion of the review. This action is in accordance with 19 CFR 351.214(e) and (j)(3).

Interested parties that need access to the proprietary information in this new shipper review should submit

applications for disclosure under administrative protective orders in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305 and 351.306.

This initiation and this notice are in accordance with section 751(a) of the