

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**  
Mark Struble, Public Affairs Officer,  
Carson City Field Office, 5665 Morgan  
Mill Road, Carson City, NV 89701.  
Telephone (775) 885-6107.

Date: April 3, 2000.

**John O. Singlaub,**

*Manager, Carson City Field Office.*

[FR Doc. 00-9225 Filed 4-12-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-HC-U**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Bureau of Land Management

[AZ-952-09-1420-00]

**Arizona State Office, 222 North Central  
Avenue, Phoenix, Arizona 85004,  
Arizona; Notice of Filing of Plats of  
Survey**

April 4, 2000.

1. The plats of survey of the following described land were officially filed in the Arizona State Office, Phoenix, Arizona on the dates indicated:

A plat, in two sheets, representing the dependent resurvey of a portion of the south boundary and a portion of the subdivisional lines, and the subdivision of sections 28 and 29, Township 18 North, Range 9 East, of the Gila and Salt River Meridian, Arizona, accepted February 3, 2000 and officially filed February 16, 2000.

This plat was prepared at the request of the United States Forest Service.

A plat representing the corrective survey of a portion of the Hopi and Navajo Partition line in unsurveyed Township 31 North, Range 12 East, of the Gila and Salt River Meridian, Arizona, accepted March 30, 2000 and officially filed April 7, 2000.

This plat was prepared at the request of the Bureau of Indian Affairs, Phoenix Area Office.

A plat, in ten sheets, representing the survey of the legal descriptive boundary of the Table Top Wilderness Area in Townships 7 and 8 South, Ranges 1, 2, and 3 East, of the Gila and Salt River Meridian, Arizona, accepted March 1, 2000 and officially filed March 16, 2000.

This plat was prepared at the request of the Bureau of Land Management, Arizona State Office.

A plat representing the dependent resurvey of portions of the west boundary and subdivisional lines, the subdivision of section 19, and a metes-and-bounds survey in section 19, Township 18 South, Range 14 East, of the Gila and Salt River Meridian, Arizona, accepted February 16, 2000 and officially filed February 25, 2000.

This plat was prepared at the request of the Bureau of Land Management, Arizona State Office.

These plats will immediately become the basic records for describing the land for all authorized purposes. These plats have been placed in the open files and are available to the public for information only.

2. All inquires relation to these lands should be sent to the Arizona State Office, Bureau of Land Management, 222 N. Central Avenue, P.O. Box 1552, Phoenix, Arizona 85001-1552.

**Kenny D. Ravnikar,**

*Chief Cadastral Surveyor of Arizona.*

[FR Doc. 00-9226 Filed 4-12-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-32-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Bureau of Land Management

[CO-956-99-1420-00]

#### Colorado: Filing of Plats of Survey

March 30, 2000.

The plats of survey of the following described land will be officially filed in the Colorado State Office, Bureau of Land Management, Lakewood, Colorado, effective 10:00 am., March 30, 2000. All inquiries should be sent to the Colorado State Office, Bureau of Land Management, 2850 Youngfield Street, Lakewood, Colorado 80215-7093.

The supplemental plat creating new lot 12 in the NW $\frac{1}{4}$  section 32, T. 6 S., R. 77 W., Sixth Principal Meridian, Colorado, Group 1258, was accepted January 21, 2000.

The supplemental plat creating new lots 28 thru 34 in T. 1 N., R. 96 W., Sixth Principal Meridian, Colorado, was accepted February 22, 2000.

The plat representing the subdivision of a portion of section 26 and a metes-and-bounds survey of a portion of the west right-of-way of Colorado State Highway No. 9 in section 26, T. 4 S., R. 78 W., Sixth Principal Meridian, Colorado, Group 1258, was accepted March 23, 2000.

The plat representing a metes-and-bounds survey in the southwest quarter of section 36, T. 5 S., R. 78 W., Sixth Principal Meridian, Colorado, Group 1258, was accepted March 23, 2000.

The plat representing a metes-and-bounds survey of a portion of the east right-of-way of Summit County Road No. 5, in the northwest quarter of section 36, T. 6 S., R. 78 W., Sixth Principal Meridian, Colorado, Group 1258, was accepted March 23, 2000.

The plat representing the dependent resurvey of a portion of H.E.S. No. 305,

and a metes-and-bounds survey of Tracts 38 and 39, and an informative traverse along the center line of a dirt road in partially surveyed T. 11 S., R. 84 W., Sixth Principal Meridian, Colorado, Group 1262, was accepted February 22, 2000.

The plat representing the entire record of the dependent resurvey of a portion of the subdivisional lines and metes-and-bounds surveys in section 9., T. 46 N., R. 10 W., New Mexico Principal Meridian, Colorado, Group 1264, was accepted February 1, 2000.

These surveys were requested by the Forest Service for administrative purposes.

The remonumentation of certain corners in T. 11 S., R. 72 W. and T. 4 S., R. 74 W., Sixth Principal Meridian, Colorado, Group 750, was accepted March 22, 2000.

This remonumentation was requested by the Federal Highway Administration.

The supplemental plat creating new lot 3 from a portion of the canceled claim Last Chance of the Terrible Mine Lode in the NW $\frac{1}{4}$  of section 20, T. 21 S., R. 70 W., Sixth Principal Meridian, Colorado, was accepted January 10, 2000.

The supplemental plat creating new lots 16, 17, 18, and 19 from previous lots 6 and 11 in section 5., T. 13 S., R. 90 W., Sixth Principal Meridian, Colorado, was accepted January 31, 2000.

The supplemental plat correcting the lot numbers in sections 12 and 13, T. 51 N., R. 5 E., and sections 7 and 18, T. 51 N., R. 6 E., New Mexico Principal Meridian, Colorado, Group 1022, was accepted February 22, 2000.

The plat (in three sheets) representing the dependent resurvey of a portion of the east boundary, portions of the subdivisional lines and certain mineral claims, the subdivision of sections 9 and 10, and the metes-and-bounds survey of Tract 37, T. 49 N., R. 9 E., New Mexico Principal Meridian, Colorado, Group 994, was accepted December 22, 1999.

The plat representing the dependent resurvey of certain mineral claims in T. 15 S., R. 70 W., Sixth Principal Meridian, Colorado, Group 1202, was accepted December 14, 1999.

The plat representing the dependent resurvey of a portion of the west boundary and portions of the subdivisional lines and a metes-and-bounds survey in section 7, T. 49 N., R. 8 W., New Mexico Principal Meridian, Colorado, Group 1234, was accepted February 23, 2000.

The plat representing the dependent resurvey of a portion of the subdivisional lines and a metes-and-bounds survey in section 12, T. 49 N.,

R. 9 W., New Mexico Principal Meridian, Colorado, Group 1234, was accepted February 23, 2000.

These surveys were requested by the Bureau of Land Management for administrative purposes.

**Darryl A. Wilson,**

*Chief Cadastral Surveyor for Colorado.*

[FR Doc. 00-9224 Filed 4-12-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-JB-U

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### National Park Service

#### **Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement for Yosemite Valley Plan, Yosemite National Park, Madera, Mono, Tuolumne, and Mariposa Counties, California; Notice of Availability**

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to § 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (Pub. L. 91-190, as amended), and the Council on Environmental Quality regulations (40 CFR Part 1500-1508), the National Park Service, Department of the Interior, has prepared a Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement identifying and evaluating five alternatives for a Yosemite Valley Plan within Yosemite National Park, California. Potential impacts, and appropriate mitigations, are assessed for each alternative. When approved, the plan will guide management actions during the next 15-20 years.

#### **Proposal**

The proposed Yosemite Valley Plan (Alternative 2—Preferred) would restore approximately 180 acres to natural conditions. It would consolidate parking for day visitors at Yosemite Village, where a new Valley Visitor Center would be located, and in parking areas outside Yosemite Valley. There would be fewer campsites and lodging units than there are now. This alternative would result in a major reduction in vehicle travel in the eastern portion of Yosemite Valley during summer months. The area of the former Upper and Lower River Campgrounds would be restored to a mosaic of meadow, riparian, and oak woodland communities, roads would be removed from Ahwahnee and Stoneman Meadows, and parking would be removed from Curry Orchard. Southside Drive would be converted to two-way traffic from El Capitan crossover to Curry Village, and Northside Drive would be converted to a multi-use (bicycle and pedestrian) paved trail from El Capitan crossover to Yosemite Lodge.

#### **Alternatives**

Alternative 1 maintains the status quo in Yosemite Valley, as described in Chapter 3, Affected Environment. It provides a baseline from which to compare other alternatives, to evaluate the magnitude of proposed changes, and to measure the environmental effects of those changes. This no-action concept follows the guidance of the Council on Environmental Quality, which describes the no-action alternative as no change from the current management direction or level of management intensity.

Alternative 3 would restore approximately 200 acres to natural conditions. It would consolidate parking for day visitors in the Taft Toe area in mid Yosemite Valley. A new Valley Visitor Center would also be constructed there. There would be fewer campsites and lodging units than there are now. The area of the former Upper and Lower River Campgrounds and the Camp 6 parking area near Yosemite Village would be restored to riparian habitat, roads would be removed from Ahwahnee and Stoneman Meadows, and parking and the historic fruit trees would be removed from Curry Orchard. Northside Drive would be converted to a trail for pedestrians and bicyclists, without the immediate presence of motor vehicles, from Yosemite Lodge to El Capitan Bridge. Southside Drive would be converted to two-way traffic from Taft Toe to Curry Village.

Alternative 4 would restore approximately 190 acres to natural conditions. It would consolidate parking for day visitors in the Taft Toe area in mid Yosemite Valley and in three parking areas outside the Valley. A new Valley Visitor Center would also be constructed at Taft Toe. There would be fewer campsites and lodging units than there are now. The area of former Upper and Lower River Campgrounds and the Camp 6 parking area near Yosemite Village would be restored to riparian communities; roads would be removed from Ahwahnee and Stoneman Meadows; and parking would be removed from Curry Orchard. Northside Drive would be converted to a multi-use paved trail for hikers and bicyclists, without the immediate presence of motor vehicles, from Yosemite Lodge to El Capitan crossover. Southside Drive would be converted to two-way traffic from Taft Toe to Curry Village.

Alternative 5 would restore approximately 120 acres to natural conditions. It would designate parking for day visitors at Yosemite Village and Curry Village, and in parking areas outside of Yosemite Valley. There would be more campsites and fewer

lodging units than there are now. Traffic circulation would remain the same as at present; however, one lane of Northside and Southside Drives would be converted to multi-use paved trails. There would be minimal new development in mid and west Yosemite Valley, other than a traffic check station.

#### **Planning Background**

The draft Yosemite Valley Plan/SEIS was prepared pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act. A Scoping Notice was published in the **Federal Register** on December 16, 1998. Lists of general issues already raised during the previous planning processes were provided to the public. Scoping comments were to be received by January 15, 1999, however based on requests from the public; the scoping comment period was extended through February 1, 1999.

During this comment period, the NPS facilitated over 100 discussions and briefings to park staff, congressional delegations, elected officials, public service organizations, educational institutions, and other interested members of the public. Nearly 600 letters concerning the Draft YVP SEIS planning process were received. The major issues raised during this period are summarized in Chapter 1, Purpose of and Need for the Action.

#### **Public Meetings**

In order to facilitate public review and comment on the draft Yosemite Valley Plan/SEIS, the Superintendent has scheduled public meetings in the following California cities: San Francisco—May 22; Sacramento—May 23; Merced—May 24; Oakland—May 25; Yosemite—May 30; Oakhurst—May 31; Mariposa—June 1; Sonora—June 2; Costa Mesa—June 5; Los Angeles—June 6; San Diego—June 7; Mammoth—June 9; Fresno—June 15; San Jose—June 17. The following times are for all venues except San Jose. An open house will be conducted from 4 p.m. to 9:30 p.m. and a public hearing will be held simultaneously from 6:30 p.m. to 9 p.m. A brief presentation from 6 p.m. to 6:30 p.m. will precede the public hearing. The San Jose open house will be from 11:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., the presentation will be from 1:30 p.m. to 2 p.m. and the public hearing will be from 2 p.m. until 4:30 p.m.

Participants are encouraged to review the document prior to attending a meeting. Detailed information on location and times for each of the public meetings will be published in local and regional newspapers several weeks in advance, broadcast via radio and television stations, and listed on the