DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decrees Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

Notice is hereby given that on March 14, 2000, a complaint and a proposed consent decree in *United States and the State of Colorado* v. *Beazer East, Inc. and Butala Construction Company,* Civil Action No. 00–D–561, were lodged with the United States District Court for the District of Colorado.

In this action the United States seeks recovery of approximately \$631,000 in unreimbursed response costs incurred in relation to Operable Unit #2 of the Smeltertown Superfund Site, located near Salida, Colorado, under Section 107(a) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act. The State of Colorado seeks recovery of response costs to be incurred at the Site. Under the proposed decree, the defendants implement a remedial action selected by the United States Environmental Protection Agency, which is designed to prevent the further migration of hazardous substances at Operable Unit #2, and will reimburse all of EPA's past costs, as well as all of EPA's and the State of Colorado's future response costs incurred at Operable Unit #2.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication comments relating to the proposed consent decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General of the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20530, and should refer to United States and State of Colorado, v. Beazer East, Inc. and Butala Construction Company, D.J. Ref. 90–11– 3–1522.

The proposed consent decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, 1961 Stout Street, 11th Floor, Drawer 3608, Denver, CO 80294 and at U.S. EPA Region VIII, 999 18th Street, Denver, Colorado 8020. A copy of the Decree may be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044–7611. In requesting a copy, please enclose a check in the amount of \$20.00 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the Consent Decree Library.

Joel M. Gross,

Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division. [FR Doc. 00–9427 Filed 4–14410–15–M BILLING CODE 4410–15–M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Under Sections 107 and 113 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

Notice is hereby given that on March 14, 2000, a complaint and proposed Consent Decree ("Decree") in *United States* v. *the Lockheed Martin Corporation* (D. CO) Civil Action No. 00–562, was lodged with the United States District Court for the District of Colorado.

The United States filed this action under Sections 107 and 113 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. §§ 9607 and 9613, and RCRA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 6901 et seq. In the complaint, the United States Air Force ("USAF") seeks, among other things, contribution from Lockheed Martin Corporation ("LMC") for costs incurred and to be incurred by the USAF for response actions as the PJKS National Priorities List site in Jefferson County, Colorado ("Site").

The proposed consent decree resolves the USAF's CERCLA Sections 107 and 113 claims against LMC and the contribution claims LMC could bring against the USAF under Section 113(f)(1) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9613(f)(1). The proposed decree provides for a cash payment of \$3.5 million over 10 years from LMC to the USAF and clean up services from LMC, specified under separate agreement with the USAF, that could ultimately reduce total clean up costs to the USAF by as much as \$35.25 million.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication comments relating to the Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General of the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, D.C. 20530, and should refer to, *United States v. The Lockheed Martin Corporation* (D. CO), and D.J. Ref. #90–11–3–925/1.

The Decree may be examined at the office of the U.S. Attorneys Office for the District of Colorado, 1961 Stout Street, Suite 1200, Denver, CO 80294. A copy of the Decree may be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, D.C. 20044–7611. In requesting a copy, please enclose a check in the amount of \$15.75 for the Decree or (25 cents per page

reproduction cost) payable to the Consent Decree Library.

Joel M. Gross,

Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division. [FR Doc. 00–9428 Filed 4–14–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–15–M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Office of the Secretary

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

April 7, 2000.

The Department of Labor (DOL) has submitted the following public information collection requests (ICRs) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–13, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). A copy of each individual ICR, with applicable supporting documentation may be obtained by calling the Department of Labor. To obtain documentation for BLS, ETA, PWBA, and OASAM contact Karin Kurz ((202) 219-5096 ext. 159 or by E-mail to Kurz-Karin@dol.gov). To obtain documentation for ESA, MSHA, OSHA, and VETS contact Darrin King ((202) 219-5096 ext. 151 or by E-Mail to King-Darrin@dol.gov).

Comments should be send to Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: OMB Desk Officer for BLS, DM, ESA, ETA, MSHA, OSHA, PWBA, or VETS, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503 ((202) 395–7316), on or before May 17, 2000.

The OMB is particularly interested in comments which:

* Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

* Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

* Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected: and

* Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.*, permitting electronic submission of responses