

if any, and a brief outline of the presentation. The Chair of ACCSH may grant the request at his/her discretion and as time permits.

**Authority:** Charles N. Jeffress, Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health, directed the preparation of this notice under the authority granted by section 7 of the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 (29 U.S.C. 656), section 107 of the Contract Work Hours and Safety Standards Act (Construction Safety Act) (40 U.S.C. 333), and Secretary of Labor's Order No. 6-96 (62 FR 181).

Signed at Washington, DC on April 13, 2000.

**Charles N. Jeffress,**

*Assistant Secretary of Labor.*

[FR Doc. 00-9645 Filed 4-17-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4516-26-M**

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-344]

### Portland General Electric, Trojan Nuclear Plant; Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. NPF-1 issued to Portland General Electric Company (PGE), the licensee, for the Trojan Nuclear Plant, a permanently shutdown nuclear reactor facility located in Prescott, Oregon.

#### Environmental Assessment

##### *Identification of Proposed Action*

The proposed action would revise the Trojan Nuclear Plant (TNP) Permanently Defueled Technical Specifications (PDTS) by removing Figure 4.1-1, "Site and Exclusion Area Boundaries," from Section 4.0, "Design Features," and incorporate the applicable portion of this figure in the Trojan Nuclear Plant Defueled Safety Analysis Report (DSAR). The proposed action would also make other associated administrative changes resulting from the deletion of Figure 4.1-1, as well as an editorial change to the table of contents. The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's application for amendment dated November 16, 1999.

##### *The Need for the Proposed Action*

The licensee proposed to remove the Trojan site map from the PDTS and incorporate the applicable portion of this figure in the TNP DSAR as part of the NRC's technical specification (TS)

improvement initiative which encourages licensees to request removal of inappropriate and/or unnecessary information from their TSs. This type of site-specific information is not required to be in TSs under 10 CFR 50.36 requirements. Furthermore, this proposed change is consistent with NRC guidance in draft NUREG-1625, "Proposed Standard Technical Specifications (TS) for Permanently Defueled Westinghouse Plants." In concert with Section 50.36 requirements, NUREG-1625 provides guidance in determining a minimum set of standard requirements for permanently shutdown reactor facilities. Additional editorial and administrative changes were also proposed for consistency with the change discussed above.

##### *Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action*

The Commission has completed its evaluation of the proposed amendment to the TNP TSs and concludes that issuance of the proposed amendment will not have an environmental impact. The proposed change in TS site-specific information is consistent with the regulations and regulatory guidance and is considered editorial and administrative in nature. The licensee does not propose any disposal or relocation of nuclear fuel or any changes to structures, systems, components, or site boundaries.

The proposed action will not increase the probability or consequences of accidents, no changes are being made in the types of any effluents that may be released off site, and there is no significant increase in occupational or public radiation exposure. Therefore, there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential non-radiological impacts, the proposed action does not involve any historical sites. It does not affect non-radiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Therefore, there are no significant non-radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

##### *Alternatives to the Proposed Action*

As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action (*i.e.*, the "no-action" alternative). Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The

environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

##### *Alternative Use of Resources*

This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement for the Trojan plant.

##### *Agencies and Persons Contacted*

In accordance with its stated policy, on March 13, 2000, the staff consulted with the State of Oregon official, Mr. Adam Bless of the Oregon Office of Energy, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

##### **Finding of No Significant Impact**

On the basis of the environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's letter dated November 16, 1999, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, The Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC. Publicly available records will be accessible electronically from the ADAMS Public Library component on the NRC Web site, <http://www.nrc.gov> (the Electronic Reading Room).

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 7th day of April 2000.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**John B. Hickman,**

*Project Manager, Decommissioning Section, Project Directorate IV & Decommissioning, Division of Licensing Project Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. 00-9625 Filed 4-17-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590-01-P**

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

### Workshop Concerning the Revision of the Oversight Program for Nuclear Fuel Cycle Facilities

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC).

**ACTION:** Notice of public workshop.

**SUMMARY:** NRC will hold a public workshop at the Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI), 1776 I Street, NW (Republic Place), in Washington, DC to provide the public, those regulated by the NRC, and other stakeholders, with information about and an opportunity to

provide views on how NRC plans to revise its oversight program for nuclear fuel cycle facilities. This public workshop follows the recent public stakeholder workshop held on March 22–23, 2000. Presentations and other documents provided at each workshop are placed on the NRC Internet web page (<http://www.nrc.gov/NMSS/FCSS/FCOB/INSP/REVISED/fcindex.htm>).

Similar to the revision of the oversight program for commercial nuclear power plants, NRC initiated an effort to improve its oversight program for nuclear fuel cycle facilities. This is described in SECY–99–188 titled, “Evaluation and Proposed Revision of the Nuclear Fuel Cycle Facility Safety Inspection Program.” SECY–99–188 is available in the Public Document Room and on the NRC Web Page at <http://www.nrc.gov/NRC/COMMISSION/SECYS/index.html>.

#### Purpose of Workshop

To obtain stakeholder views for improving the NRC oversight program for ensuring licensee and certificate holders maintain protection of worker and public health and safety, protection of the environment, and safeguards for special nuclear material and sensitive information and material in the interest of national security. The oversight program applies to nuclear fuel cycle facilities regulated under 10 CFR parts 40, 70, and 76.

The facilities currently include gaseous diffusion plants, highly enriched uranium fuel fabrication facilities, low-enriched uranium fuel fabrication facilities, and a uranium hexafluoride (UF<sub>6</sub>) production facility. These facilities possess large quantities of materials that are potentially hazardous (i.e., radioactive, toxic, and/or flammable) to the workers, public, and environment. In revising the oversight program, the goal is to have an oversight program that: (1) provides earlier and more objective indications of acceptable and changing safety and national security related performance, (2) increases stakeholder confidence in the NRC, and (3) increases regulatory effectiveness, efficiency, and realism. In this regard, the NRC desires the revised oversight program to be more risk-informed and performance-based and more focused on significant risks and poorer performers.

The public workshop will focus on:

- Plans for communicating revision of the oversight program with stakeholders internal and external to the NRC.
- Cornerstones of safety and national security for meeting the NRC mission.
- Performance indicators for monitoring licensee/certificatee

performance within each cornerstone area.

**DATES:** Members of the public and other stakeholders are invited to attend and participate in the workshop, which is scheduled for 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. on Wednesday, May 24, and Thursday, May 25, 2000.

**ADDRESSES:** NEI, 1776 I Street (Republic Place), Washington, DC. Visitor parking around NEI is limited; however, the public meeting site may be reached from Rockville by taking the Red line metro to Farragut North, and exiting at K Street. Farragut Park will be in front of you. Notice that 17th Street is parallel to the park. Walk one block along 17th Street to I Street, turn right, walk one more block. NEI is on the left on corner of 18th and I Streets, NW From Reagan National Airport, NEI may be reached by taking the Blue line train towards Addison Road to Farragut West. Exit to 18th Street, and NEI is diagonally across the street on the corner of 18th and I Streets, NW.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Walter Schwink, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, telephone (301) 415–7156, e-mail [wss@nrc.gov](mailto:wss@nrc.gov).

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 13th day of April 2000.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

#### Philip Ting,

*Chief, Operations Branch, Division of Fuel Cycle Safety and Safeguards.*

[FR Doc. 00–9627 Filed 4–17–00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590–01–P**

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

### Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards and Advisory

#### Committee on Nuclear Waste Joint Subcommittee Meeting; Notice of Meeting

The Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards (ACRS) and the Advisory Committee on Nuclear Waste (ACNW) Joint Subcommittee will hold a meeting on May 4, 2000, Room T–2B3, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland.

The meeting will be open to public attendance.

The agenda for the subject meeting shall be as follows:

*Thursday, May 4, 2000—8:30 a.m. until the conclusion of business*

The ACRS and ACNW Joint Subcommittee will discuss the development of risk-informed regulation in the Office of Nuclear Material Safety

and Safeguards, including risk-informing fuel cycle programs, integrated safety assessments, byproduct material risk analysis, dry cask storage risk analysis, the results of a public workshop on the use of risk information in regulating the use of nuclear materials, and related matters. The purpose of this meeting is to gather information, analyze relevant issues and facts, and formulate proposed positions and actions, as appropriate, for deliberation by the ACRS and ACNW full Committees.

Oral statements may be presented by members of the public with the concurrence of the Subcommittee Chairman; written statements will be accepted and made available to the ACRS and ACNW full Committees. Electronic recordings will be permitted only during those portions of the meeting that are open to the public, and questions may be asked only by members of the Subcommittee, its consultants, and staff. Persons desiring to make oral statements should notify the cognizant ACRS/ACNW staff members named below five days prior to the meeting, if possible, so that appropriate arrangements can be made.

During the initial portion of the meeting, the Subcommittee, along with any consultants who may be present, may exchange preliminary views regarding matters to be considered during the balance of the meeting.

The Subcommittee will then hear presentations by and hold discussions with representatives of the NRC staff, its consultants, and other interested persons regarding these matters.

Further information regarding topics to be discussed, whether the meeting has been canceled or rescheduled, the Subcommittee’s ruling on requests for the opportunity to present oral statements and the time allotted therefor can be obtained by contacting the cognizant senior fellow, John N. Sorensen (telephone 301/415–7372) between 8:00 a.m. and 5:45 p.m. (EDT) or by e-mail [JNS@NRC.gov](mailto:JNS@NRC.gov) or senior staff engineer, Michael T. Markley (telephone: 301–415–6885). Persons planning to attend this meeting are urged to contact the above-named individuals one to two working days prior to the meeting to be advised of any potential changes in the proposed agenda, etc., that may have occurred.

Dated: April 11, 2000.

#### Sam Duraiswamy,

*Acting Associate Director for Technical Support, ACRS/ACNW.*

[FR Doc. 00–9621 Filed 4–17–00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590–01–P**