(2) Section (Rule) 1150, adopted on December 6, 1988.

* * * * * * * (179) * * * (i) * * * (F) * * * (2) Section (Rule) 43

(2) Section (Rule) 431.5, adopted on June 13, 1989.

* * * * : (199) * * * (i) * * * (D) * * *

(7) Rule 4202, adopted on December 17, 1992.

* * * * * * (254) * * * (i) * * *

(2) Sections (Rules) 433, adopted on July 15, 1997.

* * * * * * (268) * * * (i) * * *

(Ć) Lake County Air Quality Management District.

(1) Section (Rule) 226.5, adopted on September 13, 1988.

* * * * *

[FR Doc. 00–9650 Filed 4–20–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[SIP NOS. MT-001-0012; MT-001-0013; MT-001-0014; MT-001-0015 FRL-6582-4]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Montana; Emergency Episode Plan, Columbia Falls, Butte and Missoula Particulate Matter State Implementation Plans, Missoula Carbon Monoxide State Implementation Plan; Correction

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Direct final rule; correction.

SUMMARY: The EPA published in the Federal Register on December 6, 1999, a document that, among other things, approved updates to Montana's State Implementation Plan (SIP) relating to the Emergency Episode Plan; Columbia Falls, Butte and Missoula Particulate Matter [particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter less than or equal to a nominal 10 micrometers (PM-10)] SIPS; and the Missoula Carbon Monoxide (CO) Plan. In the December 6, 1999, rule, EPA inadvertently referenced an incorrect citation to Missouri's SIP in the Code of Federal Regulations. EPA is correcting the citation with this document.

EFFECTIVE DATE: This rule is effective on April 21, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Laurie Ostrand, EPA, Region VIII, (303)

312–6437.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Throughout this document wherever "we," or "our" are used we mean EPA.

Our December 6, 1999 (64 FR 68034) rulemaking indicated that on November 3, 1995 (60 FR 55792) we approvedrevisions to Montana's prevention of significant deterioration (PSD) regulations. With the November 3, 1995 document we inadvertently codified the revisions into 40 CFR 52.1320(c)(42) in lieu of CFR 52.1370(c)(42). Our December 6, 1999 document indicated that we were removing these revisions from 40 CFR 52.1320(c)(42) and adding them to 40 CFR 52.1370(c)(42). However, when we published the December 6, 1999 rule, we did not realize that on June 29, 1999 (64 FR 34717) 40 CFR 52.1320 had been redesignated as 40 CFR 52.1322. Therefore, our December 6, 1999 document should have removed 40 CFR 52.1322(c)(42) and not 40 CFR 52.1320(c)(42).

Section 553 of the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B), provides that, when an agency for good cause finds that notice and public procedure are impracticable, unnecessary or contrary to the public interest, the agency may issue a rule without providing notice and an opportunity for public comment. We have determined that there is good cause for making today's rule final without prior proposal and opportunity for comment because we are merely correcting an incorrect citation in a previous action. Thus, notice and public procedure are unnecessary. We find that this constitutes good cause under 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B).

Administrative Requirements

Under Executive Order (E.O.) 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993), this action is not a "significant regulatory action" and is therefore not subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget. Because the agency has made a "good cause" finding that this action is not subject to notice-and-comment requirements under the Administrative Procedure Act or any other statute as indicated in the Supplementary Information section above, it is not subject to the regulatory flexibility provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C 601 et seq.), or to sections 202 and 205 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (UMRA) (Pub. L. 104-4). In addition, this action does not

significantly or uniquely affect small governments or impose a significant intergovernmental mandate, as described in sections 203 and 204 of UMRA. This rule also does not significantly or uniquely affect the communities of tribal governments, as specified by Executive Order 13084 (63 FR 27655, May 10, 1998). This rule will not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of governments, as specified by Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999). This rule also is not subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997), because it is not economically significant.

This technical correction action does not involve technical standards; thus the requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) do not apply. The rule also does not involve special consideration of environmental justice related issues as required by Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994). In issuing this rule, EPA has taken the necessary steps to eliminate drafting errors and ambiguity, minimize potential litigation, and provide a clear legal standard for affected conduct, as required by section 3 of Executive Order 12988 (61 FR 4729, February 7, 1996). EPA has complied with Executive Order 12630 (53 FR 8859, March 15, 1998) by examining the takings implications of the rule in accordance with the "Attorney General's Supplemental Guidelines for the Evaluation of Risk and Avoidance of Unanticipated Takings" issued under the executive order. This rule does not impose an information collection burden under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.).

The Congressional Review Act (5 U.S.C. 801 et seq.), as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. Section 808 allows the issuing agency to make a rule effective sooner than otherwise provided by the CRA if the agency makes a good cause finding that notice and public procedure is impracticable, unnecessary or contrary to the public interest. This determination must be supported by a brief statement. 5 U.S.C. 808(2). As stated previously, EPA had made such a good cause finding,

including the reasons therefore, and established an effective date of April 21, 2000. EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the **Federal Register**. This correction to the identification of plan for Missouri is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

April 7, 2000.

Patricia D. Hull,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region VIII.

In rule FR Doc. 99–31536, published on December 6, 1999 (64 FR 68034), make the following corrections:

PART 52—[CORRECTED]

1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

Subpart AA—Missouri [Corrected]

- 2. On page 68038, in the third column, 3 lines from the top of the column, correct "§ 52.1320" to read "§ 52.1322".
- 3. On page 68038, in the third column, in amendatory instruction 2, correct "52.1320(c)(42)" to read "52.1322(c)(42)".

[FR Doc. 00–9926 Filed 4–20–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[IN99-1a; FRL-6573-7]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plan; Indiana

AGENCY: Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Direct final rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is approving revisions to particulate matter (PM) emissions regulations for Dubois County, Indiana, which the Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM) submitted to EPA on February 3, 1999, as amendments to its State Implementation Plan (SIP). The revisions include relaxation of some PM limits, elimination of limits for boilers which are no longer operating, updating facility names, and changing some boiler fuel types.

DATES: This rule is effective on June 20, 2000, unless EPA receives adverse written comments by May 22, 2000. If

adverse comment is received, EPA will publish a timely withdrawal of the rule in the **Federal Register** and inform the public that the rule will not take effect.

ADDRESSES: You should mail written comments to: J. Elmer Bortzer, Chief, Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR–18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

You may inspect copies of the State submittal and EPA's analysis of it at: Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR–18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

David Pohlman, Environmental Scientist, Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR–18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 886–3299.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document wherever "we," "us," or "our" are used we mean EPA.

Table of Contents

- I. What is the EPA Approving?
- II. What Are the Changes From Current Rules?
 - A. Sources Eliminated From the Rules
 - B. Source Name Revisions
 - C. Fuel Usage and Heat Input Changes
 - D. Revised or Added Limits
- III. Air Quality Modeling Analysis
- IV. What Are the Environmental Effects of This Action?
- V. EPA Rulemaking Action
- VI. Administrative Requirements
 - A. Executive Order 12866
 - B. Executive Order 13045 C. Executive Order 13084
 - D. Executive Order 13132
 - E. Regulatory Flexibility
 - F. Unfunded Mandates
 - G. Submission to Congress and the Comptroller General
 - H. National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act
 - I. Petitions for Judicial Review

I. What Is the EPA Approving?

We are approving revised PM rules for Dubois County, Indiana, which the Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM) submitted to EPA on February 3, 1999. The revisions include relaxation of some PM limits, elimination of limits for boilers which are no longer operating, updating facility names, and changing some boiler fuel types. The submitted revisions are contained in Title 326 Indiana Administrative Code, Article 6, Rule 1, Section 9 (326 IAC 6–1–9).

II. What Are the Changes From Current Rules?

A. Sources Eliminated From the Rules

IDEM eliminated Indiana Cabinet, Dolly Madison Plant No. 3, Jasper Table, Hoosier Desk, Jasper Turning boilers No. 1 and No. 2, Jasper Novelty Furniture Plant No. 1, Jasper Novelty Furniture Plant No. 2, Jasper Novelty Furniture Plant No. 3 wood boiler, Jasper Cabinet coal and wood boiler, and Jasper Veneer boiler No. 3 from rule 326 IAC 6–1–9. These sources have shut down.

B. Source Name Revisions

Indiana Chair is changed to Indiana Dimension; Indiana Desk is changed to Indiana Furniture Industries; Huntingburg Wood Products is changed to Styline Industries, Plant #8; Jasper Laminates is changed to Jasper Laminates, Plant #1—Division of Kimball; Jasper Cabinets No. 2 is changed to Jasper Cabinets Corporation; Jasper Stylemasters 15th and Cherry is changed to Artec; Jasper Office Furniture is changed to Jasper Office Furniture Co., Inc., Plant #1; Jasper Turning is changed to Artec; Jasper Novelty Furniture Plt. No. 3 is changed to Jasper Furniture 30th St.; and Jasper Cabinet is changed to Jasper Corp.-Kimball International.

C. Fuel Usage and Heat Input Changes

The fuel for Jasper Laminates, Plant #1—Division of Kimball boiler No. 1 is changed from Wood-Oil-Waste Solvent to Wood-Wood Waste, and its heat input is changed from 23 MMBTU/hr to 20.5 MMBTU/hr. The fuel for Jasper Laminates, Plant #1—Division of Kimball boiler No. 2 is changed from Oil to Natural Gas, and its heat input is changed from 16 MMBTU/hr to 16.8 MMBTU/hr. The fuel for Jasper Cabinets Corporation's boiler is changed from Coal to Wood, and the heat input is changed from 3 MMBTU/hr to 5.3 MMBTU/hr. The heat input for Jasper Wood Products' Coal-Wood Boiler No. 1 is changed from 10 MMBTU/hr to 6 MMBTU/hr. The heat input for Jasper Wood Products' Coal-Wood Boiler No. 2 is changed from 10 MMBTU/hr to 6 MMBTU/hr. The heat input for Artec's Wood Chip Boiler is changed from 24 MMBTU/hr to 14 MMBTU/hr. The fuel for Jasper Chair's boiler is changed from Coal to Wood, and its heat input is changed from 6 MMBTU/hr to 18 MMBTU/hr.

D. Revised or Added Limits

The limits for Styline Industries, Plant #8 are changed from 2.8 tons/yr to 9.0 tons/yr, and from 0.340 lbs/MMBTU to 0.60 lbs/MMBTU. The limits for Forest