

suspension of liquidation of the merchandise subject to this order entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, on or after January 1, 2000" This sentence should be replaced with: "Pursuant to section 751(c)(6)(A)(iv) of the Act, the Department will instruct the United States Customs Service to terminate the suspension of liquidation of the merchandise subject to this order entered, or withdrawn from warehouse effective, May 12, 2000, the fifth anniversary of the date of publication of the order."²

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 12, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Martha V. Douthit, Office of Policy for Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone (202) 482-5050.

This correction is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(h) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: August 29, 2000.

Troy H. Cribb,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 00-22677 Filed 9-1-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-827]

Certain Cased Pencils from the People's Republic of China: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: September 5, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Paul Stolz at (202) 482-4474 or Howard Smith at (202) 482-5193, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Ave, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

Time Limits

Statutory Time Limits

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), requires the Department of Commerce

(the Department) to make a preliminary determination within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order or finding for which a review is requested and a final determination within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary determination is published. However, if it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results of review within this time period, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the time limit for the preliminary determination to a maximum of 365 days and for the final results to 180 days (or 300 days if the Department does not extend the time limit for the preliminary results) from the date of publication of the preliminary results.

Background

On January 26, 2000, the Department published a notice of initiation of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain cased pencils from the People's Republic of China, covering the period December 1, 1998 through November 30, 1999 (65 FR 4228). The preliminary results are currently due no later than September 1, 2000.

Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Review

We determine that it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results of this review within the original time limit. Therefore the Department is extending the time limit for completion of the preliminary results until no later than December 30, 2000. *See* Decision Memorandum from Thomas F. Futtner to Holly A. Kuga, dated concurrently with this notice, which is on file in the Central Records Unit, Room B-099 of the main Commerce building. We intend to issue the final results no later than 120 days after the publication of the preliminary results notice.

This extension is in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Dated: August 24, 2000.

Holly A. Kuga,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 00-22678 Filed 9-1-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-05-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-835; A-549-812]

Furfuryl Alcohol From the People's Republic of China and Thailand; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Sunset Reviews

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of final results of antidumping duty sunset reviews: Furfuryl Alcohol from the People's Republic of China and Thailand.

SUMMARY: On May 1, 2000, the Department of Commerce (the "Department") published the notice of initiation of sunset review of the antidumping duty orders on furfuryl from the People's Republic of China ("PRC") and Thailand (65 FR 25309). On the basis of a notice of intent to participate and adequate substantive response filed on behalf of a domestic interested party, and inadequate response from respondent interested parties, we determined to conduct expedited sunset reviews. Based on our analysis of the comments received, we find that revocation of the antidumping duty orders would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the levels listed below in the section entitled "Final Results of Review."

EFFECTIVE DATE: September 5, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Martha V. Douthit or James P. Maeder, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-5050 or (202-482-3330).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act ("URAA"). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to 19 CFR Part 351 (1999). Guidance on methodological or analytical issues relevant to the Department's conduct of sunset reviews is set forth in the Department Policy Bulletin 98:3—*Policies Regarding the Conduct of Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders; Policy Bulletin*, 63 FR 18871 (April 16, 1998) (*Sunset Policy Bulletin*).

² See *Notice of Antidumping Duty Orders: Pure Magnesium From the People's Republic of China, the Russian Federation and Ukraine; Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Antidumping Duty Investigation of Pure Magnesium From the Russian Federation*, 60 FR 25691 (May 12, 1995).

Background

On May 1, 2000, the Department initiated sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on furfuryl alcohol from the PRC and Thailand (65 FR 25309), pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act. On May 16, 2000, the Department received Notices of Intent to Participate, in each sunset review of these orders, on behalf of Penn Specialty Chemicals, Inc. ("Penn"), within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(i). On May 31, 2000, the Department received substantive responses in each sunset review of these orders, within the 30-day deadline specified in the Sunset Regulations under section 351.218(d)(3)(i), on behalf of Penn. Penn claimed in its substantive response to these sunset reviews that it is a manufacturer of the domestic like product and therefore, is an interested party pursuant to section 771(9)(C) of the Act. Further, in its response to the notice of initiation on furfuryl alcohol from the PRC, Penn asserts that it purchased the furfuryl operation of QO Chemical, Inc., the petitioner in the original investigation. *See* Penn's, May 31, 2000, Substantive Response at 3.

On June 7, 2000, the Department received a substantive response to the notice of initiation from respondent interested parties from the PRC: Sinochem International Furan Chemicals Co., Ltd., Shangong Zhucheng Chemical Co., Ltd., Shandong Baofeng Chemicals Group Corp., Linzi Organic Chemical Inc., Jilin Sanchun Chemical Plant Co. Ltd., Sinochem Hebei Fuheng Co., Ltd., Shanxi Province Gaoping Chemical Industry Co., Ltd., Qingdao Import and Export Corp., Tieling North and the China Chamber of Commerce of Metals, Minerals, and Chemicals (collectively, "respondent interested parties").¹

¹ On May 30, 2000, the Department received a request for a one-week extension of the deadline for filing substantive comments on behalf of respondent interested parties, China Chamber of Commerce of Metals, Minerals, and Chemicals. The Department granted the extension for all participants eligible to file substantive comments in this sunset review until June 7, 2000.

On June 6, 2000, China Chamber of Commerce of Metals, Minerals, and Chemicals, submitted a request to the Department to amend their list of entry of appearance. The new list includes: Sinochem International Furan Chemicals Co., Ltd., Shangong Zhucheng Chemical Co., Ltd., Shandong Baofeng Chemicals Group Corp., Linzi Organic Chemical Inc., Jilin Sanchun Chemical Plant Co. Ltd., Sinochem Hebei Fuheng Co., Ltd., Shanxi Province Gaoping Chemical Industry Co., Ltd., Qingdao Import and Export Corp.

On June 6, 2000, the Department received a request for a further extension to file additional information in the substantive response on behalf of respondent interested parties: Sinochem International Furan Chemicals Co., Ltd., Shangong Zhucheng Chemical Co., Ltd., Shandong Baofeng

On May 19, 2000, the Department received notice of waiver of participation in the sunset review on furfuryl alcohol from Thailand, on behalf of Indo-Rama Chemicals (Thailand) Ltd., pursuant to 351.218(d)(2)(i) of the Department's regulations.

With respect to the antidumping duty order on furfuryl alcohol from the PRC, the respondent interested parties note that, of the eight companies participating in this review, only two companies, Shandong Zhucheng and Linzi Organiz participated in the original antidumping duty investigation. The respondent interested parties note that Qingdao Import & Export is a different company from the Qingdao participated in the investigation, and that Qingdao's furfuryl alcohol division is an independent operation called Qingdao on Billion International. Further, they note that Sinochem Furan was formed two years ago, and includes the assets of the Sinochem Shandong, a company that participated in the original investigation.² Shandong, Hebei, Sanchun, Shanxi, and Linzi are producers and exporters of furfuryl alcohol from the PRC to the United States, and Sinochem Furan, Qingdao, and Tieling are exporters of furfuryl alcohol from the PRC. *See* Respondent Interested Parties, Supplement to Response, June 16, 2000, at 3. Therefore, the respondent interested parties assert that all these companies qualify as interested parties under section 771(9)(A) of the Act.

On June 21, 2000, the Department notified the Commission that the respondent interested parties did not provide an adequate response in these sunset reviews, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2). Therefore, because we did not receive adequate responses from respondent interested parties in each of the two cases, we determined to conduct expedited sunset reviews and to issue the final results not later than August 29, 2000, (120 days after the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of the notice of

Chemicals Group Corp., Linzi Organic Chemical Inc., Jilin Sanchun Chemical Plant Co. Ltd., Sinochem Hebei Fuheng Co., Ltd., Shanxi Province Gaoping Chemical Industry Co., Ltd., Qingdao Import and Export Corp., and the China Chamber of Commerce of Metals, Minerals, and Chemicals. The Department granted the extension to respondent interested parties to file additional information to their June 7, 2000, substantive response of the sunset review on furfuryl alcohol from the PRC until not later than June 16, 2000. *See* letter to Bruce Aitken, Attorney, Aitken, Irvin, Lewin, Berlin, Vrooman, & Cohn, LLP., from James P. Maeder, Office of Policy, Import Administration.

² *See* Respondent Interested Parties, June 16, 2000, Supplement Filing to Response at 11.

initiation). We have addressed the interested parties' comments below.

Scope of Reviews

The merchandise covered in these reviews is furfuryl alcohol (C₄H₃OCH₂OH). Furfuryl alcohol is a primary alcohol and is colorless or pale yellow in appearance. It is used in the manufacture of resins and as a wetting agent and solvent for coating resins, nitrocellulose, cellulose acetate, and other soluble dyes. The product subject to these orders are classifiable⁵ under subheading 2932.13.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS"). Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of these orders is dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised by parties to these sunset reviews are addressed in the "Issues and Decision Memorandum" ("Decision Memo") from Jeffrey A. May, Director, Office of Policy, Import Administration, to Troy H. Cribb, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated August 29, 2000, which is adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the Department's Decision Memo include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the margin likely to prevail were the orders revoked. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in these reviews and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum which is on file in room B-099 of the Central Records Unit of the Department's main building.

In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memo can be accessed directly on the Web at <http://www.ia.ita.doc.gov> under the headings "PRC" and "Thailand." The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Final Results of Review

We determine that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on furfuryl alcohol from the PRC and Thailand would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the following weighted-average percentage margins:

Manufacturer/producer/exporter	Margin (percent)
China: Qingdao Chemicals & Medicines Import and Export Corporation	50.43

Manufacturer/producer/exporter	Margin (percent)
Sinochem Shandong Import and Export Corporation	43.54
All Others	45.27
Thailand:	
Indo-Rama Chemicals Ltd. (Thailand)(“IRCT”)	7.82
All Others	7.82

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (“APO”) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305 or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing this determination and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752, and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: August 29, 2000.

Troy H. Cribb,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 00–22676 Filed 9–1–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C–475–823]

Stainless Steel Plate in Coils from Italy; Rescission of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Rescission of the First Countervailing Duty Administration Review.

SUMMARY: In response to a May 31, 2000, request made by Acciai Speciali Terni S.p.A, a producer/exporter of stainless steel plate in coils from Italy, on July 7, 2000 (65 FR 41944), the Department of Commerce published the initiation of an administrative review of the countervailing duty order on stainless steel plate in coils from Italy, covering the period January 1, 1999, through December 31, 1999. This review has now been rescinded as a result of the timely withdrawal of the request for review by Acciai Speciali Terni S.p.A.

EFFECTIVE DATES: September 5, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Greg Campbell or Suresh Maniam, AD/CVD Enforcement, Group I, Office 1, Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482–2239 and (202) 482–0176, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act) by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce’s (Department) regulations refer to 19 CFR part 351 (1999).

Background

On May 11, 1999, the Department published a countervailing duty order on stainless steel plate in coils from Italy (64 FR 25288). On May 31, 2000, Acciai Speciali Terni S.p.A. (AST), an Italian producer/exporter of stainless steel plate in coils, requested an administration review of the countervailing duty order on stainless steel plate in coils from Italy covering the period of January 1, 1999, through December 1, 1999. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i), we published the initiation of the review on July 7, 2000 (65 FR 41944). On August 3, 2000, AST withdrew its request for review.

Rescission of Review

The Department’s regulations, at 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), provide that the Department will rescind an administrative review if a party that requested a review withdraws the request within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. AST withdrew its request for an administrative review on August 3, 2000, which is within the 90-day deadline. No other party requested a review of AST’s sales. Therefore, the Department is rescinding this administrative review with respect to AST.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply

with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This determination is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended.

Dated: August 29, 2000.

Richard W. Moreland,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 00–22675 Filed 9–1–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Coastal Nonpoint Pollution Control Program: Approval Decision on Puerto Rico Coastal Nonpoint Pollution Control Program

AGENCY: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, and The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Notice of Intent to Approve the Puerto Rico Coastal Nonpoint Program.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given of the intent to fully approve the Puerto Rico Coastal Nonpoint Pollution Control Program (coastal nonpoint program) and of the availability of the draft Approval Decisions on conditions for the Puerto Rico coastal nonpoint program. Section 6217 of the Coastal Zone Act Reauthorization Amendments (CZARA), 16 U.S.C. section 1455b, requires states and territories with coastal zone management programs that have received approval under section 306 of the Coastal Zone Management Act to develop and implement coastal nonpoint programs. Coastal states and territories were required to submit their coastal nonpoint programs to the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) for approval in July 1995. NOAA and EPA conditionally approved the Puerto Rico coastal nonpoint program on November 18, 1997. NOAA and EPA have drafted approval decisions describing how Puerto Rico has satisfied the conditions placed on its program and therefore has a fully approved coastal nonpoint program.

NOAA and EPA are making the draft decisions for the Puerto Rico coastal nonpoint program available for a 30-day public comment period. If no comments are received, the Puerto Rico program