negotiated placement requirements in 18 CFR 34.2.

MDU Resources also requests for this authorization to supercede and replace the authorizing granted in Docket Nos. ES99–18–000 and ES99–18–001.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest such filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). All such motions and protests should be filed on or before October 31, 2000. Protests will be considered by the Commission to determine the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. This filing may also be viewed on the Internet at http://www.ferc.fed.us/ online/rims.htm (call 202-208-2222 for assistance).

David P. Boergers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–26556 Filed 10–16–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. EL01-3-000]

Morgan Stanley Capital Group Inc., Complainant v. PJM Interconnection, L.L.C., Respondent; Notice of Filing

October 11, 2000.

Take notice that on October 6, 2000, Morgan Stanley Capital Group Inc. (MSCG), tendered for filing a complaint pursuant to Section 206 of the Federal Power Act against PJM Interconnection. L.L.C. (PJM) alleging that, in order to advance the Commission's goal of regional uniformity and coordination and to avoid other market problems, PIM should eliminate (1) its bid cap by the end of October 2000, to coincide with the expiration of the New York and New England bid caps and (2) eliminate its ICAP requirement and deficiency charge commensurate with ISO-NE's actions to date. Given the immediacy of this date, MSCG requests fast track procession of its complaint by the Commission.

Copies of the filing were served upon PJM and other interested parties.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest this filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). All such motions or protests must be filed on or before October 25, 2000. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room. This filing may also be viewed on the Internet a http:/ /www.ferc.fed.us/online/rims.htm (call 202–208–2222) for assistance. Answers to the complaint shall also be due on or before October 26, 2000.

David P. Boergers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–26618 Filed 10–16–00; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. EG01-1-000]

Quinnipiac Energy, LLC; Notice of Application for Commission Determination of Exempt Wholesale Generator Status

October 10, 2000.

Take notice that on October 4, 2000, Quinnipiac Energy, LLC (Quinnipiac), with its address at 800 Village Walk #324, Guilford, CT 06437, filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) an application for determination of exempt wholesale generator status pursuant to part 365 of the Commission's regulations.

Quinnipiac is a Delaware limited liability company that will be engaged directly and exclusively in the business of owning or operating, or both owning and operating, all or part of one or more eligible facilities to be located in New Haven, Connecticut. The eligible facilities will consist of an approximately 75 MW oil-fired electric generation project and related interconnection facilities. The output of the eligible facilities will be sold at wholesale.

Any person desiring to be heard concerning the application for exempt wholesale generator status should file a

motion to intervene or comments with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE. Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). The Commission will limit its consideration of comments to those that concern the adequacy or accuracy of the application. All such motions and comments should be filed on or before October 31, 2000, and must be served on the applicant. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection or on the Internet at http://www.ferc.fed.us/ online/rims.htm (please call (202) 208-2222 for assistance).

David P. Boergers

Secretary

[FR Doc. 00–26557 Filed 10–16–00; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. EC00-144-000]

Reliant Energy Coolwater, LLC, et al.; Notice of Filing

October 11, 2000.

Take notice that on September 27, 2000, Reliant Energy Coolwater, LLC, Reliant Energy Desert Basin, LLC, Reliant Energy Ellwood, LLC, Reliant Energy Etiwanda, LLC, Reliant Energy Indian River, LLC, Reliant Energy Mandalay, LLC, Reliant Energy Maryland Holdings, LLC, Reliant Energy Mid-Atlantic Power Holdings, LLC, Reliant Energy New Jersey Holdings, LLC, Reliant Energy Ormond Beach, LLC, Reliant Energy Osceola, LLC, Reliant Energy Shelby County, LP, Reliant Energy Services, Inc. and EL Dorado Energy, LLC (collectively, the Applicants), submitted an application pursuant to Section 203 of the Federal Power Act, seeking authorization for a proposed corporate restructuring and the resulting indirect transfer of jurisdictional facilities, and requesting expedited consideration and certain waivers.

The Applicants state that, as a result of a proposed corporate restructuring of certain of the Applicants' affiliates, there will be a transfer of indirect contol of Applicants' jurisdictional facilities. The Applicants further state that the proposed corporate Restructuring will have no effect on the jurisdictional