

Dated: February 16, 2001.

Lucy Querques Denett,

Associate Director for Minerals Revenue Management.

[FR Doc. 01-4831 Filed 2-27-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MR-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-652 (Review)]

Aramid Fiber Formed of Poly Para-Phenylene Terephthalamide From the Netherlands

Determination

On the basis of the record¹ developed in the subject five-year review, the United States International Trade Commission determines, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)) (the Act), that revocation of the antidumping duty order on aramid fiber formed of poly para-phenylene terephthalamide from the Netherlands would not be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.²

Background

The Commission instituted this review on December 1, 1999 (64 FR 67302) and determined on March 3, 2000 that it would conduct a full review (65 FR 13988, March 15, 2000). Notice of the scheduling of the Commission's review and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the **Federal Register** on August 21, 2000 (65 FR 50720). The hearing was held in Washington, DC, on January 9, 2001, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission transmitted its determination in this review to the Secretary of Commerce on February 22, 2001. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 3394 (February 2001), entitled Aramid Fiber Formed of Poly Para-Phenylene Terephthalamide from the Netherlands: Investigation No. 731-TA-652 (Review).

Issued: February 21, 2001.

¹ The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR § 207.2(f)).

² Commissioner Dennis M. Devaney did not participate in this investigation.

By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01-4835 Filed 2-27-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-683 (Review)]

Fresh Garlic From China

Determination

On the basis of the record¹ developed in the subject five-year review, the United States International Trade Commission determines, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)) (the Act), that revocation of the antidumping duty order on fresh garlic from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

Background

The Commission instituted this review on December 1, 1999 (64 FR 67315) and determined on March 3, 2000, that it would conduct a full review (65 FR 13989, March 15, 2000). Notice of the scheduling of the Commission's review and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the **Federal Register** on August 30, 2000 (65 FR 52784). The hearing was held in Washington, DC, on December 19, 2000, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission transmitted its determination in this investigation to the Secretary of Commerce on February 21, 2001. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 3393 (February 2001), entitled Fresh Garlic from China: Investigation No. 731-TA-683 (Review).

Issued: February 22, 2001.

By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01-4834 Filed 2-27-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

¹ The record is defined in § 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

² Commissioner Dennis M. Devaney not participating.

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 731-TA-868-870 (Final)]

Steel Wire Rope From China, India, and Malaysia; Notice of Commission Determination To Conduct a Portion of the Hearing In Camera

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Closure of a portion of a Commission hearing to the public.

SUMMARY: Upon request of respondents The Ad Hoc Coalition of America Steel Wire Rope Importers and the Coalition's individual members, as well as foreign producers Usha Martin Industries, Xinshan City Wire Rope Factory, Nantong Zhongde Steel Rope Co., Ltd., Henan Boai Wire Material Factory, and Nantong Wire Rope Group Co., Limited (collectively "Respondents"), the Commission has determined to conduct a portion of its hearing in the above-captioned investigations scheduled for February 21, 2001, *in camera*. See Commission rules 207.24(d), 201.13(m) and 201.36(b)(4) (19 CFR 207.24(d), 201.13(m) and 201.36(b)(4)). The remainder of the hearing will be open to the public. The Commission has determined that the seven-day advance notice of the change to a meeting was not possible. See Commission rule 201.35(a), (c)(1) (19 CFR 201.35(a), (c)(1)).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michael Diehl, Office of General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-3095, e-mail mdiehl@usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter may be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission believes that Respondents have justified the need for a closed session. Respondents seek a closed session to allow testimony on a producer-specific basis and on allegations of lost sales due to competition with subject imports. Because such discussions will necessitate disclosure of business proprietary information (BPI), they can only occur if a portion of the hearing is held *in camera*. In making this decision, the Commission nevertheless reaffirms its belief that whenever possible its business should be conducted in public.

The hearing will include the usual public presentations by petitioners and by Respondents, with questions from