burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, through the use of appropriate technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Approximately 7,500 SF 2821 forms will be completed annually. We estimate it takes approximately 5 minutes to complete the form. The annual burden is estimated at 625 hours.

For copies of this proposal, contact Mary Beth Smith-Toomey on (202) 606– 8358, or E-mail to *mbtoomey@opm.gov* **DATES:** Comments on this proposal should be received on or before June 18,

ADDRESSES: Send or deliver comments to—Christopher Meuchner, Benefits Specialist, Insurance Policy and Information Division, Retirement and Insurance Service, U.S. Office of Personnel Management, 1900 E Street, NW., Room 3425, Washington, DC 20415.

FOR INFORMATION REGARDING ADMINISTRATIVE COORDINATION—CONTACT:

Donna G. Lease, Team Leader, Forms Analysis and Design, Budget and Administrative Services Division, (202) 606–0623.

Office of Personnel Management.

Steven R. Cohen,

Acting Director.

[FR Doc. 01–9478 Filed 4–16–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6325–50–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Proposed Collection; Comment Request

Upon Written Request, Copies Available From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Filings and Information Services, Washington, DC 20549.

Extension:

Rule 19d–1, SEC File No. 270–242, OMB Control No. 3235–0206 Rule 19d–3, SEC File No. 270–245, OMB Control No. 3235–0204 Rule 19d–1, SEC File No. 270–247, OMB Control No. 3235–0259

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.), the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") is soliciting comments on the collections of information summarized below. The Commission plans to submit these existing collections of information to the Office of Management and Budget ("OMB") for extension and approval.

Rule 19d–1 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act"), prescribes the form and content of notices to be filed with the Commission by self-regulatory organizations ("SROs") for which the Commission is the appropriate regulatory agency concerning the following final SRO actions: (1) Disciplinary sanctions (including summary suspensions); (2) denials of membership, participation or association with a member; and (3) prohibitions or limitations on access to SRO services.

The Rule enables the Commission to obtain reports from the SROs containing information regarding SRO determinations to discipline members or associated persons of members, deny membership or participation or association with a member, and similar adjudicated findings. The Rule requires that such actions be promptly reported to the Commission. The Rule also requires that the reports and notices supply sufficient information regarding the background, factual basis and issues involved in the proceeding to enable the Commission (1) to determine whether the matter should be called up for review on the Commission's own motion and (2) to ascertain generally whether the SRO has adequately carried out its responsibilities under the Exchange Act.

It is estimated that 10 respondents will utilize this application procedure annually, with a total burden of 2,750 hours, based upon past submissions. This figure is based on 10 respondents, spending approximately 275 hours each. Each respondent submitted approximately 110 responses. The staff estimates that the average number of hours necessary to comply with the requirements of Rule 19d-1 for each submission is 2.5 hours. The average cost per hour, per each submission is approximately \$101. Therefore, the total cost of compliance for all the respondents is \$277,750. (10 respondents X 110 responses per respondent X 2.5 hours per response X \$101 per hour).

Rule 19d–3 under the Exchange Act, prescribes the form and content of applications to the Commission by persons desiring stays of final Disciplinary sanctions and summary action of SROs for which the Commission is the appropriate regulatory agency. The Commission uses the information provided in the application filed pursuant to Rule 19d–3 to review final actions taken by SROs including: (1) Disciplinary sanctions; (2) denials of membership, participation or

association; and (3) prohibitions on or limitations of access to SRO services.

It is estimated that approximately 50 respondents will utilize this application procedure annually, with a total burden of 900 hours, for all respondents to complete all submissions. This figure is based upon past submissions. The staff estimates that the average number of hours necessary to comply with the requirements of Rule 19d–3 is 18 hours. The average cost per hour, to complete each submission, is approximately \$101. Therefore, the total cost of compliance for all respondents is \$90,900. (50 submissions X 18 hours X \$101 per hour).

Rule 19h–1 under the Exchange Act prescribes the form and content of notices and applications by SROs regarding proposed admissions to, or continuances in, membership, participation or association with a member of any person subject to a statutory disqualification.

The Commission uses the information provided in the submissions filed pursuant to Rule 19h–1 to review decisions of SROs to permit the entry into or continuance in the securities business of persons who have committed serious misconduct. The filings submitted pursuant to the Rule also permit inclusion of an application to the Commission for consent to associate with a member of an SRO notwithstanding a Commission order barring such association.

The Commission reviews filings made pursuant to the Rule to ascertain whether it is in the public interest to permit the employment in the securities business of persons subject to statutory disqualification. The filings contain information that is essential to the staff's review and ultimate determination on whether an association or employment is in the public interest and consistent with investor protection.

It is estimated that approximately 5 respondents will make submissions pursuant to this rule annually, with a total burden of 225 hours, based upon past submissions. The staff estimates that the average number of hours necessary to complete a submission pursuant to Rule 19h–1 is 4.5 hours. The average cost per hour for completion of a submission is approximately \$101. Therefore, the total cost of compliance for the respondents is \$22,725. (50 responses×4.5 hours per response 101 per hour).

Written comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility;

(b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Consideration will be given to comments and suggestions submitted in writing within 60 days of this publication.

Please direct your written comments to Michael E. Bartell, Associate Executive Director, Office of Information Technology, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 5th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549.

Dated: April 9, 2001.

Jonathan G. Katz,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01–9502 Filed 4–16–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 35-23777]

Filings Under the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935, as Amended ("Act")

April 11, 2001.

Notice is hereby given that the following filing(s) has/have been made with the Commission pursuant to provisions of the Act and rules promulgated under the Act. All interested persons are referred to the application(s) and/or declaration(s) for complete statements of the proposed transaction(s) summarized below. The application(s) and/or declaration(s) and any amendment(s) is/are available for public inspection through the Commission's Branch of Public Reference.

Interested persons wishing to comment or request a hearing on the application(s) and/or declaration(s) should submit their views in writing by May 7, 2001, to the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549-0609, and serve a copy on the relevant applicant(s) and/or declarant(s) at the address(es) specified below. Proof of service (by affidavit or, in the case of an attorney at law, by certificate) should be filed with the request. Any request for hearing should identify specifically the issues of facts or law that are disputed. A person who so requests will be notified of any hearing, if ordered, and will receive a copy of any notice or order issued in the matter. After May 7, 2001, the

application(s) and or declaration(s), as filed or as amended, may be granted and/or permitted to become effective.

The Southern Company, et al. (70–9771)

The Southern Company ("Southern"), 270 Peachtree Street, N.W., Atlanta, Georgia 30303, a registered holding company, and its wholly owned subsidiaries, Mobile Energy Services Holdings, Inc. ("Holdings") and Mobile Energy Services Company, L.L.C. ("Mobile Energy") 1 both located at 1155 Perimeter Center West, Atlanta, Georgia 30338 (collectively, "Applicants"), have filed an amended applicationdeclaration ("Application") under sections 6(a), 7, 11(f), 11(g), 12(a), 12(b), 12(d), 12(e), 12(f) and rules 44, 45, 54, 62, 63 and 64 of the Act. The Commission issued an initial notice of the filing of the Application on October 16, 2000 (HCAR No. 27254) ("Initial Notice"). The Initial Notice described the First Amended Joint Plan of Reorganization dated September 15, 2000 ("First Plan"). This supplemental notice describes the Second Amended Joint Plan of Reorganization dated February 21, 2001 ("Second Plan"). The Second Plan supercedes the First Plan although it contains numerous similarities.

Applicants propose that the Commission issue: (1) An order under section 11(f) of the Act approving the Second Plan and certain related transactions under the Second Plan;² and (2) a report on the Second Plan under section 11(g) to accompany a solicitation of creditors and any other interest holders for approval of the Second Plan in the bankruptcy proceedings.³

The Application includes the Second Plan and the First Amended Disclosure Statement ("Amended Disclosure Statement") for Mobile Energy and Holdings. On January 14, 1999, Mobile Energy and Holdings (collectively,

"Debtors") filed voluntary petitions in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of Alabama ("Bankruptcy Court") for protection under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code ("Bankruptcy Code"). Both entities filed as debtors in possession continuing their operations; as a result, the Bankruptcy Court has appointed no trustee or receiver. The Debtors and the Bondholder Steering Committee (explained below) filed the First Plan and Disclosure Statement Accompanying the First Plan ("Disclosure Statement") with the Bankruptcy Court on September 15, 2000. On October 12, 2000, S.D. Warren Alabama, LLC ("S.D. Warren") filed an objection ("Objection") to the Disclosure Statement.4

The Debtors, the Bondholder Steering Committee and S.D. Warren engaged in a series of discussions regarding the possible resolution of the Objection. The negotiations have not resulted in the resolution of the Objection. On February 21, 2001, the Second Plan and the Amended Disclosure Statement were filed with the Bankruptcy Court.

Under section 1125 of the Bankruptcy Code, the Debtors may not solicit votes for acceptances of the Second Plan until the Bankruptcy Court approves a disclosure statement that contains information of a kind, and in sufficient detail, adequate to enable creditors to make an informed judgment whether to vote for acceptance or rejection of the plan. A hearing is scheduled before the Bankruptcy Court to determine whether the Amended Disclosure Statement filed on February 21, 2001, meets the requirements of section 1125 of the Bankruptcy Code.

Applicants state the purposes of the transactions described in the Second Plan are to: (1) Permit Mobile Energy and Holdings to reorganize and emerge from bankruptcy; (2) maximize the recovery of Mobile Energy's bondholders on their capital investment; (3) eliminate the direct and indirect equity ownership of Southern in Mobile Energy and Holdings; and (4) allow Mobile Energy to operate as a qualifying facility ("QF") under the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act of 1978 ("PURPA") after the effective date of the Second Plan, which will cause Mobile Energy and Holdings to no longer be subject to the Act. Certain transactions contemplated by the Second Plan require Commission authorization. The jurisdictional aspects

¹Mobile Energy is a wholly owned limited liability company subsidiary of Holdings to which Holdings transferred all of its assets other than its equity interest in Mobile Energy in July 1995. Mobile Energy is an electric utility company within the meaning of section 2(a)(3) of the Act.

² Section 11(f) of the Act provides, in relevant part, that "a reorganization plan for a registered holding company or any subsidiary thereof shall not become effective unless such plan shall have been approved by the Commission after opportunity for hearing prior to its submission to the court."

³ Section 11(g)(2) of the Act provides, in relevant part, that any solicitation for consents to or authorization of any reorganization plan of a registered holding company or any subsidiary company thereof shall be "accompanied or preceded by a copy of a report on the plan which shall be made by the Commission after an opportunity for a hearing on the plan and other plans submitted to it, or by an abstract of such report made or approved by the Commission."

⁴ The facilities at issue are located inside a large pulp, paper and tissue manufacturing complex in Mobile, Alabama ("Industrial Complex"). S.D. Warren owns the paper mill located inside the Industrial Complex.