Executive Order 12866 and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that Order. The Office of Management and Budget has not reviewed it under that Order. It is not "significant" under the regulatory policies and procedures of the Department of Transportation (DOT) (44 FR 11040; February 26, 1979). This conclusion is based on the fact that keeping the bridges closed should have no significant impact on navigation because the bridges opened only one time from 1999 through 2001.

#### **Small Entities**

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601-612) we considered whether this rule would have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. "Small entities" comprises small businesses, not-for profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations less than 50,000.

The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. This conclusion is based on the fact that the closure of the bridges should have no significant impact on navigation because the bridges have opened only one time from 1999 through 2001.

#### **Collection of Information**

This rule calls for no new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501-3520).

#### **Federalism**

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13132 and have determined that this rule does not have implications for federalism under that Order.

#### **Unfunded Mandates Reform Act**

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531-1538) governs the issuance of Federal regulations that require unfunded mandates. An unfunded mandate is a regulation that requires a State, local, or tribal government or the private sector to incur direct costs without the Federal Government's having first provided the funds to pay those unfunded mandate costs. This rule will not impose an unfunded mandate.

#### **Taking of Private Property**

This rule will not effect a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications under Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights.

#### **Civil Justice Reform**

This rule meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform, to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

#### Protection of Children

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This rule is not an economically significant rule and does not concern an environmental risk to health or risk to safety that may disproportionately affect children.

#### **Environment**

The Coast Guard considered the environmental impact of this rule and concluded that under figure 2-1, paragraph (32)(e) of Commandant Instruction M16475.1C, this rule is categorically excluded from further environmental documentation because promulgation of changes to drawbridge regulations have been found to not have a significant effect on the environment. A written "Categorical Exclusion Determination" is not required for this final rule.

#### List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 117

Bridges.

#### Regulations

For the reasons set out in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 117 as follows:

#### PART 117—DRAWBRIDGE **OPERATION REGULATIONS**

1. The authority citation for part 117 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 499; 49 CFR 1.46; 33 CFR 1.05-1(g); section 117.255 also issued under the authority of Pub. L. 102-587, 106 Stat. 5039.

2. From May 15, 2001 through August 15, 2001, § 117.789 is temporarily amended by suspending paragraph (c) and adding a new paragraph (g) to read as follows:

### §117.789 Harlem River.

(g) The draws of the bridges at 103rd Street, mile 0.0, Willis Avenue, mile 1.5, 3rd Avenue, mile 1.9, Madison Avenue, mile 2.3, 145th Street, mile 2.8, Macombs Dam, mile 3.2, and 207th Street, mile 6.0, shall open on signal from 10 a.m. to 5 p.m. if at least a fourhour advance notice is given to the New York City Highway Radio (Hotline)

Room. The two Broadway bridges, mile 6.8, need not open for vessel traffic.

Dated: April 9 2001.

#### G.N. Naccara,

Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard, Commander, First Coast Guard District.

[FR Doc. 01–9535 Filed 4–17–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-15-U

#### **DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS**

#### 38 CFR Part 3

RIN 2900-AJ55

#### Certification of Evidence for Proof of Service

**AGENCY:** Department of Veterans Affairs. **ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This document amends the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) adjudication regulations concerning the nature of evidence that VA will accept as proof of military service. In the past, VA only accepted original service documents or copies of service documents issued by the service department or by a public custodian of records. This change authorizes VA to accept photocopies of service documents as evidence of military service if they are certified to be true copies of documents acceptable to VA by an accredited agent, attorney or service organization representative who has successfully completed VAprescribed training on military records. The intended effect of this amendment is to streamline the processing of claims for benefits.

**DATES:** April 18, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Bill Russo, Attorney-Advisor, Compensation and Pension Service, Veterans Benefits Administration, 810 Vermont Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20420; telephone (202) 273-7210; e-mail capbruss@vba.va.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On June 27, 2000, VA published in the Federal Register (65 FR 39580-39581), a proposed regulation to amend VA adjudication regulations to allow VA to accept photocopies of service documents as proof of service if they are certified by a claimant's representative who has successfully completed VAprescribed training on military records, to be true copies of the original documents acceptable to VA. We asked for comments by August 28, 2000 and we received written comments from the American Legion and the Veterans of Foreign Wars. The two commentors did not suggest any changes.

The American Legion stated that the proposed regulation would be helpful to veterans and their representatives in submitting more completely developed claims. They also stated that it will help streamline VA claims procedures and help speed up the overall adjudication process. The Veterans of Foreign Wars stated that they concur with the proposed regulation.

Based on the rationale set forth in the proposed rule and this document, we are adopting the provisions of the proposed rule as a final rule without any changes.

#### **Paperwork Reduction Act**

The certification referenced in this final rule is not "information" in a collection of information as defined under 5 CFR 1320.3(h)(1). Therefore, this final rule contains no provisions constituting a collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

#### **Executive Order 12866**

This final rule has been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Order 12866.

#### Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Secretary hereby certifies that this regulatory amendment will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities as they are defined in the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA), 5 U.S.C. 601–612. The reason for this certification is that these amendments would not directly affect any small entities. Only VA beneficiaries could be directly affected. Therefore, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 605(b), these amendments are exempt from the initial and final regulatory flexibility analysis requirements of sections 603 and 604.

The Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance program numbers are 64.100, 64.101, 64.104, 64.105, 64.106, 64.109, 64.110, and 64.127.

#### List of Subjects in 38 CFR Part 3

Administrative practice and procedure, Claims, Disability benefits, Health care, Pensions, Veterans, Vietnam.

Approved: February 15, 2001.

#### Anthony J. Principi,

Secretary of Veterans Affairs.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, 38 CFR part 3 is amended as follows:

#### **PART 3—ADJUDICATION**

# Subpart A—Pension, Compensation, and Dependency and Indemnity Compensation

1. The authority citation for Part 3, subpart A continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 38 U.S.C. 501(a), unless otherwise noted.

2. In § 3.203, at the end of paragraph (a)(1) remove "custody; and" and add the following:

## § 3.203 Service records as evidence of service and character of discharge.

(a) \* \* \*

(1) \* \* \* custody or, if the copy was submitted by an accredited agent, attorney or service organization representative who has successfully completed VA-prescribed training on military records, and who certifies that it is a true and exact copy of either an original document or of a copy issued by the service department or a public custodian of records; and".

[FR Doc. 01–9642 Filed 4–17–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 8320–01–P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

#### 40 CFR Part 52

[PA160-4113a; FRL-6959-6]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Pennsylvania; Approval of VOC and NO<sub>X</sub> RACT Determinations for Merck and Company, Inc

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Direct final rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is taking direct final action to approve revisions to the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania's State Implementation Plan (SIP). The revisions were submitted by the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PADEP) to establish and require reasonably available control technology (RACT) for Merck and Company, Inc.'s (Merck's) West Point facility located in Montgomery County, Pennsylvania. Merck's West Point facility is a major source of volatile organic compounds (VOC) and nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>X</sub>). The intent of this action is to approve the Commonwealth's RACT determinations for VOC and NOx at Merck's West Point facility located in Montgomery County. EPA is approving this SIP revision in accordance with the Clean Air Act.

DATES: This rule is effective on June 4, 2001 without further notice, unless EPA receives adverse written comment by May 18, 2001. If EPA receives such comments, it will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule in the Federal Register and inform the public that the rule will not take effect.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be mailed to Makeba Morris, Chief, Permits and Technical Assessment Branch, Air Protection Division, Mailcode 3AP11, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103. Copies of the documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Air Protection Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103; the Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW, Washington, DC 20460; and the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection, Bureau of Air Quality Control, P.O. Box 8468, 400 Market Street, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17105.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Melik A. Spain, 215.814.2299, at the EPA Region III address above, or by email at spain.melik@epa.gov.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### I. Background

On July 2, 1997, the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania (the Commonwealth) submitted revisions to its SIP to establish RACT for several major sources of VOC and NOx. In this rulemaking action, EPA is approving the Commonwealth's VOC and NO<sub>X</sub> RACT determinations for Merck's West Point facility in Montgomery County. EPA will address the remainder of the Commonwealth's July 2, 1997 submittal in separate rulemaking actions. The Commonwealth's submittal for Merck consists of an operating permit (#46-0005) which imposes VOC and NO<sub>X</sub> RACT requirements for this source. The operating permit was revised on June 23, 2000 to incorporate administrative amendments and was resubmitted to EPA on August 9, 2000. On February 1, 2001, the Commonwealth submitted a clarifying supplement to its August 9, 2000 submittal for Merck to indicate that its SIP revision request only pertains to the RACT-related provisions of Merck's operating permit. In accordance with Pennsylvania's SIP revision request, EPA is approving only the RACT-related requirements and