

115-kV transmission line. To assist the reader, maps available from the DOE web site (referenced above) reflect the applicants proposal and also the proposed corridors of the other applicant. Each of the EISs being prepared will consider the potential impacts of the other company's proposed transmission line as part of its cumulative impacts analysis.

Identification of Environmental Issues

A purpose of this notice is to solicit comments and suggestions for consideration in the preparation of the EIS. As background for public comment, this notice contains a list of potential environmental issues that DOE has tentatively identified for analysis. This list is not intended to be all-inclusive or to imply any predetermination of impacts. Following is a preliminary list of issues that may be analyzed in the EIS:

(1) Socioeconomic impacts of development of the land tracts and their subsequent uses;

(2) Impacts on protected, threatened, endangered, or sensitive species of animals or plants, or their critical habitats;

(3) Impacts on floodplains and wetlands;

(4) Impacts on cultural or historic resources;

(5) Impacts on human health and safety;

(6) Impacts on air, soil, and water;

(7) Visual impacts; and

(8) Disproportionately high and adverse impacts on minority and low-income populations.

The EIS will also consider alternatives to the proposed transmission lines, including, to the extent practicable:

(1) No Action Alternative: The EIS will analyze the impacts associated with "no action." Since the proposed action is the issuance of a Presidential permit for the construction of the proposed transmission lines, "no action" means that the permit would not be issued. However, not issuing the permit would not necessarily imply maintenance of the status quo. It is possible that the applicant and/or the Mexican government may take other actions if the proposed transmission lines are not built. The No Action Alternative will address the environmental impacts that are reasonably foreseeable to occur if the Presidential permit is not issued.

(2) Construction of a powerplant in the U.S. closer to the U.S.-Mexico border with a shorter transmission line extending to the border, an alternative concept for supplying electric power to the target region.

Scoping Process

Interested parties are invited to participate in the scoping process both to refine the preliminary alternatives and environmental issues to be analyzed in depth, and to eliminate from detailed study those alternatives and environmental issues that are not feasible or pertinent. The scoping process is intended to involve all interested agencies (Federal, state, county, and local), public interest groups, Native American tribes, businesses, and members of the public. Potential Federal cooperating agencies include the U.S. Department of the Interior (including the Bureau of Land Management, Bureau of Indian Affairs, Park Service, and the Fish and Wildlife Service), the U.S. Department of Agriculture's Forest Service, the International Boundary and Water Commission, and the Tohono O'odham Nation.

Public scoping meetings will be held at the locations, dates, and times indicated above under the **DATES** and **ADDRESSES** sections. These scoping meetings will be informal. The DOE presiding officer will establish only those procedures needed to ensure that everyone who wishes to speak has a chance to do so and that DOE understands all issues and comments. Speakers will be allocated approximately 5 minutes for their oral statements. Depending upon the number of persons wishing to speak, DOE may allow longer times for representatives of organizations. Consequently, persons wishing to speak on behalf of an organization should identify that organization in their request to speak. Persons who have not submitted a request to speak in advance may register to speak at the scoping meeting(s), but advance requests are encouraged. Should any speaker desire to provide for the record further information that cannot be presented within the designated time, such additional information may be submitted in writing by the date listed in the **DATES** section. Both oral and written comments will be considered and given equal weight by DOE. Meetings will begin at the times specified and will continue until all those present who wish to participate have had an opportunity to do so.

Draft EIS Schedule and Availability

The Draft EIS is scheduled to be issued in December 2001, at which time its availability will be announced in the **Federal Register** and local media and public comments again will be solicited.

People who do not wish to submit comments or suggestions at this time but who would like to receive a copy of the Draft EIS for review and comment when it is issued should notify Mrs. Russell at the address above.

The Draft EIS will be made available for public inspection at several public libraries and reading rooms in Arizona. A notice of these locations will be provided in the **Federal Register** and local media at a later date.

Issued in Washington, DC, on July 5, 2001.

Steven V. Cary,

Acting Assistant Secretary, Office of Environment, Safety and Health.

[FR Doc. 01-17224 Filed 7-9-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Office of Fossil Energy

[Docket Nos. FE C&E 01-67, and C&E 01-76]

Certification Notice—201; Notice of Filings of Coal Capability of CPV Pierce, Ltd., GenPower EW Frankfort, LLC, Redbud Energy LP, Desert Power LP, WFEC GENCO, LLC, Duke Energy McClain, LLC, PacifiCorp Power Marketing, Inc., Bastrop Energy Partners, L.P., AES Medina Vallely Cogen, L.L.C., and Entergy Power Ventures L.P. Powerplant and Industrial Fuel Act

AGENCY: Office of Fossil Energy, Department of Energy.

ACTION: Notice of filing.

SUMMARY: CPV Pierce, Ltd., GenPower EW Frankfort LLC, Redbud Energy LP, Desert Power LP, WFEC GENCO, LLC, Duke Energy McClain, LLC, PacifiCorp Power Marketing, Inc, Bastrop Energy Partners, L.P., AES Medina Valley Cogen, L.L.C., and Entergy Power Ventures L.P. submitted coal capability self-certifications pursuant to section 201 of the Powerplant and Industrial Fuel Use Act of 1978, as amended.

ADDRESSES: Copies of self-certification filings are available for public inspection, upon request, in the Office of Coal & Power Imports/Exports, Fossil Energy, Room 4G-039, FE-27, Forrestal Building, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20585.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ellen Russell at (202) 586-9624.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Title II of the Powerplant and Industrial Fuel Use Act of 1978 (FUA), as amended (42 U.S.C. 8301 *et seq.*), provides that no new baseload electric powerplant may be constructed or operated without the

capability to use coal or another alternate fuel as a primary energy source. In order to meet the requirement of coal capability, the owner or operator of such facilities proposing to use natural gas or petroleum as its primary energy source shall certify, pursuant to FUA section 201(d), to the Secretary of Energy prior to construction, or prior to operation as a base load powerplant, that such powerplant has the capability to use coal or another alternate fuel. Such certification establishes compliance with section 201(a) as of the date filed with the Department of Energy. The Secretary is required to publish a notice in the **Federal Register** that a certification has been filed. The following owners/operators of the proposed new baseload powerplants have filed a self-certification in accordance with section 201(d).

Owner: CPV Pierce, Ltd (C&E 01-67).
Operator: CPV Pierce, Ltd.
Location: Polk County, FL.
Plant Configuration: Combined-cycle.
Capacity: 250 MW.
Fuel: Natural gas.
Purchasing Entities: Florida wholesale power market.

In-Service Date: First Quarter 2004.

Owner: GenPower EW Frankfort, LLC (C&E 01-68).

Operator: General Electric International, Inc.

Location: West Frankfort, IL.

Plant Configuration: Four combustion turbines.

Capacity: 320 MW.

Fuel: Natural gas.

Purchasing Entities: Wholesale power market.

In-Service Date: June, 2003.

Owner: Redbud Energy LP (C&E 01-69).

Operator: InterGen Operating Company (Redbud).

Location: Oklahoma County, OK.

Plant Configuration: Combined-cycle.

Capacity: 1200 MW.

Fuel: Natural gas.

Purchasing Entities: Wholesale power market.

In-Service Date: Spring 2003.

Owner: Desert Power, L.P. (C&E 01-70).

Operator: Desert Power, L.P.

Location: Tooele County, UT.

Plant Configuration: Two combustion turbines.

Capacity: 80 MW.

Fuel: Natural gas.

Purchasing Entities: Wholesale power market.

In-Service Date: July 1, 2001.

Owner: WFEC GENCO, LLC. (C&E 01-71).

Operator: WFEC GENCO, LLC.

Location: Caddo County, OK.
Plant Configuration: Simple-cycle.
Capacity: 90 MW.
Fuel: Natural gas.
Purchasing Entities: Coral Power, L.L.C.

In-Service Date: May 8, 2001.

Owner: Duke Energy McClain, LLC and Oklahoma Municipal Power Authority, (C&E 01-72).

Operator: Duke Energy McClain, LLC.

Location: McClain County, OK.

Plant Configuration: Combined-cycle.

Capacity: 520 MW.

Fuel: Natural gas.

Purchasing Entities: None.

In-Service Date: June 1, 2001.

Owner: PacifiCorp Power Marketing, Inc., (C&E 01-73).

Operator: PacifiCorp Power Marketing, Inc.

Location: Klamath Falls, OR.

Plant Configuration: Simple-cycle combustion turbine.

Capacity: 100 MW.

Fuel: Natural gas.

Purchasing Entities: Open Electricity Market.

In-Service Date: September 1, 2001.

Owner: Bastrop Energy Partners, L.P. (C&E 01-74).

Operator: Bastrop Energy Partners, L.P.

Location: Bastrop County, TX.

Plant Configuration: Combined-cycle.

Capacity: 550 MW.

Fuel: Natural gas.

Purchasing Entities: Unspecified customers.

In-Service Date: Summer 2002.

Owner: AES Medina Valley Cogen, L.L.C. (C&E 01-75).

Operator: AES Medina Valley Operations, L.L.C.

Location: Peoria County, IL.

Plant Configuration: Combined-cycle.

Capacity: 40 MW.

Fuel: Natural gas.

Purchasing Entities: Central Illinois Light Company.

In-Service Date: July 1, 2001.

Owner: Entergy Power Ventures, L.P. (C&E 01-76).

Operator: Entergy Power Ventures, L.P.

Location: Harrison County, TX.

Plant Configuration: Combined-cycle.

Capacity: 570 MW.

Fuel: Natural gas.

Purchasing Entities: Not yet determined.

In-Service Date: July 1, 2003.

Issued in Washington, DC, July 3, 2001.

Anthony J. Como,

Deputy Director, Electric Power Regulation, Office of Coal & Power Imports/Exports, Office of Coal & Power Systems, Office of Fossil Energy.

[FR Doc. 01-17176 Filed 7-9-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

[FE Dockets No. PP-240]

Applications for Presidential Permit, Enron Canada Corp.

AGENCY: Office of Fossil Energy, DOE.

ACTION: Notice of application.

SUMMARY: Enron Canada Corp. (ECC) has applied for a Presidential permit to construct, operate, maintain, and connect a double-circuit 230,000-volt (230-kV) underground electric transmission facility across the U.S. border with Canada.

DATES: Comments, protests, or requests to intervene must be submitted on or before August 9, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Comments, protests, or requests to intervene should be addressed as follows: Office of Coal & Power Imports/Exports (FE-27), Office of Fossil Energy, U.S. Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20585-0350.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Steven Mintz (Program Office) 202-586-9506 or Michael T. Skinker (Program Attorney) 202-586-2793.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The construction, operation, maintenance, and connection of facilities at the international border of the United States for the transmission of electric energy between the United States and a foreign country is prohibited in the absence of a Presidential permit issued pursuant to Executive Order (EO) 10485, as amended by EO 12038.

On April 23, 2001, ECC, an Alberta, Canada, power marketing corporation and wholly-owned subsidiary of Enron Corp. of Houston, Texas, filed an application with the Office of Fossil Energy (FE) of the Department of Energy (DOE) for a Presidential permit. ECC proposes to construct a double-circuit 230-kV, underground electric transmission line across the U.S.-Canadian border in St. Claire County, Michigan. The proposed power line would connect to a proposed new electric generating facility (the Moore Power Plant) located in Moore Township, Lambton County, Ontario, Canada, extend under the St. Clair River and connect to the St. Clair Power Plant located in St. Clair County, Michigan, and owned by Detroit Edison. The total length of the transmission line would be approximately 7,052 feet. The underground sections would be approximately 3,640 feet in Ontario and 1,150 feet in Michigan. The section beneath the St. Clair River would be approximately 2,000 feet.