*Comment date:* July 24, 2001, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

### **19. Xcel Energy Services Inc.**

#### [Docket ER01-2502-000]

Take notice that on July 3, 2001 Xcel Energy Services Inc. (XES), on behalf of Public Service Company of Colorado (Public Service) tendered for filing an executed umbrella service agreement between Public Service and Idaho Power Company under Public Service's Rate Schedule for the Sale, Assignment, or Transfer of Transmission Rights.

*Comment date:* July 24, 2001, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

## 20. TXU Electric Company and TXU SESCO Company

[Docket No. ER01-2503-000]

Take notice that TXU Electric Company and TXU SESCO Company (TXU) on July 3, 2001, tendered for filing an amendment to the Remote Control Area Load Agreement for the Tex-La Load (Agreement) between TXU Electric Company and West Texas Utilities Company (WTU) under TXU's FERC Electric Service Tariff, Volume No. 2. The amendment to the Agreement adds a new point of delivery. TXU seeks an effective date of April 20, 2001 for this filing and, accordingly, seeks waiver of the Commission's notice requirements.

Copies of the filing have been served on WTU, Tex-La Electric Cooperative of Texas, Inc., and the Public Utility Commission of Texas.

*Comment date:* July 24, 2001, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

### 21. Allegheny Energy Service Corporation, on Behalf of Monongahela Power Company, The Potomac Edison Company, and West Penn Power Company (Allegheny Power)

[Docket No. ER01-2504-000]

Take notice that on July 3, 2001, Allegheny Energy Service Corporation on behalf of Monongahela Power Company, The Potomac Edison Company, and West Penn Power Company (AlleghenyPower), filed an Interconnection Agreement (Agreement) with Allegheny Energy Supply Company, LLC as Service Agreement No. 358 under Allegheny Power's Open Access Transmission Tariff. The proposed effective date under the Agreement is September 14, 2001.

Copies of the filing have been provided to the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, the Maryland Public Service Commission, the Virginia State Corporation Commission, and the West Virginia Public Service Commission.

*Comment date:* July 24, 2001, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

## 22. TXU Electric Company and TXU SESCO Company

[Docket No. ER01-2505-000]

Take notice that TXU Electric Company and TXU SESCO Company (TXU) on July 3, 2001, tendered for filing amendments to the Transmission Service Agreement for Planned Service and Unplanned Service for Tex-La Electric Cooperative of Texas, Inc. between TXU and Tex-La Electric Cooperative of Texas, Inc. (Tex-La) and the Interconnection Agreement between TXU and Tex-La (collectively, the Agreements) under TXU's FERC Electric Service Tariff, Volume No. 2. The amendments to the Agreements add a new point of delivery. TXU seeks an effective date of March 30, 2001 for this filing and, accordingly, seeks waiver of the Commission's notice requirements.

Copies of the filing have been served on Tex-La and the Public Utility Commission of Texas.

*Comment date:* July 24, 2001, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

### **Standard Paragraph**

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest such filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. This filing may also be viewed on the web at http:// www.ferc.gov using the "RIMS" link, select "Docket#" and follow the instructions (call 202-208-2222 for assistance). Comments, protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the

instructions on the Commission's web site under the "e-Filing" link.

#### Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary. [FR Doc. 01–17668 Filed 7–13–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

### DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Project No. 9025-012 Washington]

### Hancock Hydro Inc.; Notice of Availability of Draft Environmental Assessment

### July 10, 2001.

In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (Commission) regulations, 18 CFR Part 380 (Order No. 486, 52 F.R. 47897), the Office of Energy Projects has reviewed the application for amendment of the license for the Hancock Creek Hydroelectric Project, located on Hancock Creek in King County, Washington, and has prepared a Draft Environmental Assessment (DEA) for the project. No federal lands are affected by this project.

The DEA contains the staff's analysis of the potential environmental impacts of modifications to the project and concludes that amending the license for the project, with appropriate environmental protective measures, would not constitute a major federal action that would significantly affect the quality of the human environment.

Copies of the DEA are available for review at the Commission's Public Reference Room, located at 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, or by calling (202) 208–1371. The DEA may be viewed on the web at *http:// www.ferc.gov* (call (202) 208–2222 for assistance).

Any comments should be filed within 30 days from the date of this notice and should be addressed to David P. Boergers, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20426. Please affix Project No. 9025–012 to all comments. Comments, protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the internet in lieu of paper. See 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's web site at http://www.ferc.gov. For further information, contact Kenneth Hogan at (202) 208–0434.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr., Acting Secretary. [FR Doc. 01–17682 Filed 7–13–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

# FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

### [Report No. 2495]

### Petitions for Reconsideration and Clarification of Action in Rulemaking Proceeding

July 10, 2001.

Petitions for Reconsideration and Clarification have been filed in the Commission's rulemaking proceeding listed in this Public Notice and published pursuant to 47 CFR Section 1.429(e). The full text of these documents are available for viewing and copying in Room CY-A257, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC or may be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, ITS, Inc. (202) 857–3800. Oppositions to these petitions must be filed by July 31, 2001. See Section 1.4(b)(1) of the Commission's rules (47 CFR 1.4(b)(1)). Replies to an opposition must be filed within 10 days after the time for filing oppositions has expired.

*Subject:* Federal-State Joint Board on Universal

Service (CC Docket No. 96–45)

Multi-Association Group (MAG) Plan for Regulation of Interstate Services of Non-Price Cap Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers and Interexchange Carriers (CC Docket No. 00–256)

Number of Petitions Filed: 4.

#### Magalie Roman Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01–17664 Filed 7–13–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6712–01–M

## FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION

### Request for Comment on Study of Banking Regulations Regarding the Online Delivery of Banking Services

**AGENCY:** Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC). **ACTION:** Request for comment.

**SUMMARY:** The FDIC is reviewing its regulations regarding the delivery of financial services. The purpose of this review is to identify changes or additions to its regulations that would facilitate the use of new technologies by financial institutions. This Request for Comment solicits comment on issues arising from the electronic delivery of financial products and services.

**DATES:** Comments must be received by September 14, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Send written comments to Robert E. Feldman, Executive Secretary, Attention: Comments/OES, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, 550 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20429. Comments may be hand-delivered to the guard station at the rear of the 550 17th Street Building (located on F Street), on business days between 7 a.m. and 5 p.m. (facsimile number (202) 898-3838; Internet address: comments@fdic.gov <mailto:comments@fdic.gov>). Comments may be posted on the FDIC internet site at http://www.fdic.gov/ regulations/laws/federal/propose.html and may be inspected and photocopied in the FDIC Public Information Center, Room 100, 801 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20429, between 9 a.m. and 4:30 p.m. on business days.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jeffrey M. Kopchik, Senior Policy Analyst, Division of Supervision (202) 898–3872; or Robert A. Patrick, Counsel, Legal Division (202) 898–3757.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### Introduction

Section 729 of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, Public Law 106-102 (GLBA), requires the FDIC, and other federal bank regulatory agencies, to review regulations regarding the delivery of financial services and report to Congress recommendations for adapting existing requirements to online banking and lending. The purpose of this Request for Comment is to invite public comment on issues regarding financial institutions' involvement in electronic banking, before submission of the Corporation's report to Congress. Public comment will help determine whether any FDIC regulations should be revised to remove regulatory impediments to financial institutions' use of new technologies. The FDIC also would like to know whether it should consider promulgating regulations that would facilitate financial institutions' use of new technologies. Based on the comments received, the FDIC, in its report to Congress, may identify possible revisions or additions to FDIC regulations or supervisory guidance.

### Background

The application of new technologies to traditional banking products and services is dramatically altering the ways in which financial institutions conduct business. Advances in telecommunications provide financial institutions with faster and more efficient communication and data transmission. The Internet provides financial institutions with a vehicle to reach a global market area without an investment in "brick and mortar" offices. Developments in technology are causing financial institutions to reevaluate existing delivery channels and business practices, develop new products and services, and serve customers more efficiently.

Through the issuance of supervisory guidelines such as the Standards for Safeguarding Customer Information, 12 CFR part 364, Appendix B (66 FR 8616, Feb. 1, 2001) (FIL 22–2001, March 14, 2001), the FDIC is working to identify and educate banks about the risks presented by electronic banking and to ensure that its regulations appropriately address these risks.<sup>1</sup>

### **General Comments**

Commenters are invited to submit comments and recommendations in connection with any of the following questions or any other issues relating to the FDIC's policies or procedures for supervising financial institutions' use of electronic delivery channels.

• Are there specific regulations the FDIC should modify because they impede the use of a new technology that would allow financial institutions to offer improved products or services in a more efficient manner and at a lower cost?

• Are there areas where financial institutions would benefit from additional clarification of rules or guidance concerning the risks associated with electronic banking activities?

• Are there specific areas in which regulatory changes are needed to enhance consumer acceptance of, confidence in, or access to, electronic banking?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The FDIC issued electronic banking examination procedures in January 1997 and implemented an electronic banking subject matter expert program in April 1997. The Division of Supervision created an Electronic Banking Branch to focus attention on electronic banking supervisory issues in September 2000. In addition, the FDIC has issued a variety of written guidance concerning risks and appropriate procedures for electronic banking. See e.g., FIL 81-2000, Risk Management of Technology Outsourcing (November 29, 2000); FIL 77-2000, Bank Technology Bulletin, Internet Domain Names (November 9, 2000); FIL 72-2000, Electronic Signature in Global and National Commerce Act (November 2, 2000); FIL 67-2000, Security Monitoring of Computer Networks (October 3, 2000); FIL 63-2000, Online Banking (September 21, 2000); FIL 131-97, Security Risks Associated with the Internet (December 18, 1997).