

concerning damage, loss, and civil proceedings.

*Affected Public:* Business or other for-profit organizations, individuals.

*Frequency:* Third party disclosure.

*Respondent's Obligation:* Mandatory.

*OMB Desk Officer:* David Rostker, (202) 395-3897.

Copies of the above information collection proposal can be obtained by calling or writing Madeleine Clayton, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, (202) 482-3129, Department of Commerce, Room 6086, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at [MCclayton@doc.gov](mailto:MCclayton@doc.gov)).

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to David Rostker, OMB Desk Officer, Room 10202, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

Dated: August 30, 2001.

**Madeleine Clayton,**

*Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer,  
Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 01-22551 Filed 9-6-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-22-S**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

[I.D. 083101D]

### Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

The Department of Commerce has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

*Agency:* National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA).

*Title:* Northeast Region Vessel Identification Requirements.

*Form Number(s):* None.

*OMB Approval Number:* 0648-0350.

*Type of Request:* Regular submission.

*Burden Hours:* 4,363.

*Number of Respondents:* 5,821.

*Average Hours Per Response:* 45 minutes.

*Needs and Uses:* Federally-permitted vessels in the Northeast Region of the U.S. must display their vessel identification numbers on three locations (port and starboard of deckhouse or hull, and weather deck) on the vessel at a required size. The requirement is needed to assist the National Marine Fisheries Service and the Coast Guard in enforcing fishery regulations.

*Affected Public:* Business or other for-profit organizations, individuals.

*Frequency:* Third party disclosure.

*Respondent's Obligation:* Mandatory.

*OMB Desk Officer:* David Rostker, (202) 395-3897.

Copies of the above information collection proposal can be obtained by calling or writing Madeleine Clayton, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, (202) 482-3129, Department of Commerce, Room 6086, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at [MCclayton@doc.gov](mailto:MCclayton@doc.gov)).

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to David Rostker, OMB Desk Officer, Room 10202, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

Dated: August 30, 2001.

**Madeleine Clayton,**

*Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer,  
Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 01-22552 Filed 9-6-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-22-S**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Docket 36-2001]

#### Proposed Foreign-Trade Zone— Washington County, MD; Application and Public Hearing

An application has been submitted to the Foreign-Trade Zones (FTZ) Board (the Board) by the Board of County Commissioners of Washington County, Maryland, to establish a general-purpose foreign-trade zone at sites in Washington County, Maryland, adjacent to the Baltimore Customs port of entry. The application was submitted pursuant to the provisions of the FTZ Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), and the regulations of the Board (15 CFR part 400). It was formally filed on August 31, 2001. The applicant is authorized to make the proposal under Article 23, Section 467 of the Annotated Code of Maryland.

The proposed zone would be the third general-purpose zone in the Baltimore Customs port of entry area. The existing zones are FTZ 73 in Baltimore (Grantee: Maryland Department of Transportation/Maryland Aviation Administration, Board Order 180, 46 FR 58730, 12/3/81); and, FTZ 74 in Baltimore (Grantee: Baltimore Development Corporation, on behalf of the City of Baltimore, Maryland, Board Order 183, 47 FR 5737, 2/8/82).

The proposed new zone would consist of 7 sites in Washington County;

*Site 1* (276 acres)—Lakeside Corporate Center (formerly, Ft. Ritchie Military Reservation, owned by the PenMar Development Corporation), 200 Castle Drive, Cascade; *Site 2* (443 acres)—387 acres within the 600-acre Hagerstown Regional Airport complex and 56 acres within the adjacent Bowman Airpark (owned by Washington County and the Bowman Group Properties), 18434 Showalter Road, Hagerstown; *Site 3* (81 acres)—Hub Industrial Park (owned by the Dahbura Family Limited Partnership), 18223 Shawley Drive, Maugansville; *Site 4* (722 acres)—Hunter's Green/Hopewell Valley industrial complex (owned by the Bowman Group Properties and by Tiger Development, Inc.), south of the intersection of Hopewell Road and Halfway Boulevard, Hagerstown; *Site 5* (43 acres)—City of Hagerstown Industrial Park (owned by the City) located on the east side of Frederick Street, Hagerstown; *Site 6* (172 acres)—Interstate Industrial Park complex (owned by the Bowman Group Properties), 10228 Governor Lane Boulevard, Williamsport; and, *Site 7* (129 acres)—Mellott Enterprises industrial complex (owned by Mellott Enterprises), Resley Street, approximately one mile north of Maryland Avenue, Hancock. Sites 2, 4, 5 and 7 are located in State-designated Enterprise Zones.

The application indicates a need for foreign-trade zone services in the Washington County area. Several firms have indicated an interest in using zone procedures for warehousing/distribution activities. Specific manufacturing approvals are not being sought at this time. Requests would be made to the Board on a case-by-case basis.

In accordance with the Board's regulations, a member of the FTZ Staff has been designated examiner to investigate the application and report to the Board.

As part of the investigation, the Commerce examiner will hold a public hearing on October 17, 2001, at 10:00 a.m., Board of County Commissioners Hearing Room, 2nd Floor, 100 West Washington Street, Hagerstown, Maryland.

Public comment on the application is invited from interested parties. Submissions (original and 3 copies) shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is November 6, 2001. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period (to November 21, 2001).

A copy of the application and accompanying exhibits will be available during this time for public inspection at the following locations:

Office of the Washington County Administrator, Washington County Administration Building, 100 West Washington Street, Room 226, Hagerstown, MD 21740-4727  
Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, U.S. Department of Commerce, FCB—Suite 4100W, 1401 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230

Dated: August 31, 2001.

**Dennis Puccinelli,**

*Executive Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 01-22559 Filed 9-6-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-427-009]

#### Industrial Nitrocellulose From France: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of preliminary results of antidumping duty administrative review.

**SUMMARY:** In response to a request from the respondent, Bergerac, N.C., the Department of Commerce is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on industrial nitrocellulose from France. The review covers one manufacturer/exporter, Bergerac, N.C. The period of review is August 1, 1999, through July 31, 2000.

We have preliminarily determined that sales by Bergerac, N.C. have been made below normal value. If these preliminary results are adopted in our final results of administrative review, we will instruct the Customs Service to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries.

We invite interested parties to comment on these preliminary results. Parties who submit comments in these proceedings are requested to submit with each argument (1) a statement of the issue and (2) a brief summary of the argument.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** September 7, 2001.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** David Dirstine, AD/CVD Enforcement 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and

Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4033.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce's (the Department's) regulations are to 19 CFR part 351 (2000).

##### Background

On August 10, 1983, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the **Federal Register** (48 FR 36303) the antidumping duty order on industrial nitrocellulose (INC) from France. On August 25, 2000, the respondent requested a review of that order for respondent Bergerac, N.C. On October 2, 2000, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b), we published a notice of initiation of administrative review of this order for the period of review August 1, 1999, through July 31, 2000 (POR) (65 FR 58733). The Department is conducting this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Act.

##### Scope of Review

The product covered by this review is INC containing between 10.8 and 12.2 percent nitrogen. INC is a dry, white amorphous synthetic chemical produced by the action of nitric acid on cellulose. The product comes in several viscosities and is used to form films in lacquers, coatings, furniture finishes and printing inks. Imports of this product are classified under the *Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States Annotated* (HTSUS) subheadings 3912.20.00 and 3912.90.00. Although the HTSUS item numbers are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written descriptions of the scope of this proceeding remain dispositive.

##### Verification

As provided in section 782(i) of the Act, we verified information provided by Bergerac, N.C. (BNC), using standard verification procedures, including on-site inspection of the manufacturer's facilities, the examination of relevant sales, financial, and cost records, and the selection of original documentation containing relevant information. Our verification results are outlined in the public versions of the verification reports, which are on file in the Central

Records Unit (CRU), Main Commerce Building, Room B-099.

##### Constructed Export Price

For the price to the United States, we used constructed export price (CEP) as defined in section 772(b) of the Act. We calculated CEP based on the packed F.O.B., C.I.F., or delivered price to unaffiliated purchasers in the United States. We made deductions, as appropriate, for discounts and rebates. We also made deductions for any movement expenses in accordance with section 772(c)(2)(A) of the Act.

In accordance with section 772(d)(1) of the Act and the Statement of Administrative Action (SAA) (H.R. Doc. 103-316 (1994) at 823-824) to the URAA, we calculated the CEP by deducting selling expenses associated with economic activities occurring in the United States, including commissions, direct selling expenses, and indirect selling expenses in the United States. Finally, we made an adjustment for profit allocated to these expenses in accordance with section 772(d)(3) of the Act. No other adjustments to CEP were claimed or allowed.

Tevco, Inc. (TEVCO), a U.S. affiliate of BNC, imported subject merchandise to which value was added in the United States prior to sale to unaffiliated U.S. customers. The further-manufactured products were then sold to unaffiliated parties. We preliminarily determine that the special rule under section 772(e) of the Act for merchandise with value added after importation applies to the sales made by TEVCO in the United States.

Section 772(e) of the Act provides that, when the subject merchandise is imported by an affiliated person and the value added in the United States by the affiliated person is likely to exceed substantially the value of the subject merchandise, we shall determine the CEP for such merchandise using the price to an unaffiliated party of identical or other subject merchandise if there is a sufficient quantity of sales to provide a reasonable basis for comparison, and we determine that the use of such sales is appropriate. If there is not a sufficient quantity of such sales or if we determine that using the price to an unaffiliated party of identical or other subject merchandise is not appropriate, we may use any other reasonable basis to determine the CEP.

To determine whether the value added is likely to exceed substantially the value of the subject merchandise, we estimated the value added based on the difference between the averages of the prices charged to the first unaffiliated