

Expiration Date of Approval: Not applicable.

1. *Abstract:* This document has been prepared to support the clearance of data collection instruments to be used in the evaluation of the Computer Science, Engineering & Mathematics Scholarship (CSEMS) Program. CSEMS supports scholarships for talented, but financially disadvantaged students enabling them to achieve an associate, baccalaureate, or graduate level degree in computer science, computer technology, engineering, engineering technology, or mathematics. The study design focuses on describing campus based models for how the CSEMS program can be optimized, using a sample of institutions that received CSEMS scholarship money for the 2 year period, 2000–2002. The evaluation will examine specific aspects of the program such as recruitment and selection of the scholarship students, student retention and graduation, support services and enrichment programs, academic improvements as a direct result of CSEMS; development of internships, industry partnerships and placement programs into the high technology field. The evaluation will identify campus-based barriers that prevent implementing the goals of the CSEMS program. The data will be gathered through a questionnaire and on-site personal interviews with the Principal Investigator; in person interviews with relevant faculty and staff; and focus groups with the scholarship recipients.

2. *Expected Respondents:* The expected respondents are the Principal Investigators, CSEMS scholarship recipients, as well as faculty and staff associated directly with the CSEMS program at sampled academic institutions with CSEMS funding for the 2000–2002 academic years.

3. *Burden on the Public:* The total elements for this collection are 1620 burden hours for a maximum of 870 participants annually, assuming an 80–100% response rate. The average annual reporting burden is under 2 hours per respondent. The burden on the public is negligible; the study is limited to project participants that have received funding from the CSEMS program.

Dated: November 6, 2001.

Suzanne H. Plimpton,

NSF Reports Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 01–28261 Filed 11–9–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555–01–M

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

DOE/NSF Nuclear Science Advisory Committee; Notice of Meeting

In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463, as amended), the National Science Foundation announces the following meeting.

Name: DOE/NSF Nuclear Science Advisory Committee (1176).

Date and Time: Thursday, Nov. 29, 2001; 8 a.m.–6 p.m. and Friday, Nov. 2001; 8 a.m.–6 p.m.

Place: Holiday Inn Capitol, 550 C Street SW., Washington, DC 20024.

Type of Meeting: Open.

Contact Person: Dr. Bradley D. Keister, Program Director for Nuclear Physics, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Blvd., Arlington, VA 22230. Telephone: (703) 292–7380.

Purpose of Meeting: To provide advice and recommendations concerning the scientific programs of the NSF and DOE in the area of basic nuclear physics research.

Agenda:

November 29, 2001

Introduction (J. Symons)

Report from DOE

Report from NSF

Congressional Perspective (D.

Goldston)

OSTP Perspective (J. Marburger)

Presentation of Low Energy Sub-

Committee Report (B. Filippone)

Discussion of Low Energy Review

Report

Public Comment

NNSA Perspective on RIA (M

Kreisler)

Discussion

November 30, 2001

Discussion of NSAC response to Low

Energy Sub-Committee

Continued Discussion of Long Range

Plan Transmittal

Dated: November 7, 2001.

Susanne Bolton,

Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 01–28357 Filed 11–9–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555–01–M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50–255]

Nuclear Management Company, LLC; Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is

considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. DPR–20 issued to Nuclear Management Company, LLC (the licensee), for operation of the Palisades Plant located in Van Buren County, Michigan.

The proposed amendment would add a condition to the Operating License to extend certain Technical Specification surveillance requirement (SR) intervals, one time. The SR intervals would be extended up to 65 days, but no later than April 30, 2003, to permit them to be performed during the next refueling outage, which has been rescheduled because the plant is currently in a forced extended outage. The affected SRs are those which cannot reasonably be performed during the current forced outage. These are SR 3.3.3.3 (Item 3.a of Table 3.3.3–1) regarding the channel calibration of the safety injection and refueling water tank low level; SR 3.3.4.3 (Item 1 of Table 3.3.4–1) regarding the channel functional test of the safety injection signal function; SR 3.3.4.3 (Item 3 of Table 3.3.4–1) regarding the channel functional test of the recirculation actuation signal function; SR 3.3.5.1 regarding the channel functional test of the diesel generator undervoltage start logic; SR 3.5.2.8 (high pressure safety injection to hot leg motor-operated (MO) valves MO–3082 and MO–3083) regarding the throttle valve position stop in the correct position; SR 3.7.8.2 (non-critical service water header isolation valve CV–1359 only) regarding the automatic valve actuating to the correct position upon an actual or simulated actuation signal; SR 3.8.1.7 regarding the emergency alternating current (AC) power performing, as required, upon an actual or simulated loss of offsite power signal; SR 3.8.1.9 regarding the emergency AC power performing, as required, upon an actual or simulated restoration of offsite power; SR 3.8.1.10 regarding load sequencing for each automatic load sequencer; and SR 3.8.1.11 regarding the emergency AC power performing, as required, upon an actual or simulated loss of offsite power signal in conjunction with an actual or simulated safety injection signal.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed