articles like or directly competitive with articles which are produced by the firm or subdivision.

Negative Determinations NATA-TAA

In each of the following cases the investigation revealed that criteria (3) and (4) were not met. Imports from Canada or Mexico did not contribute importantly to workers' separations. there was no shift in production from the subject firm to Canada or Mexico during the relevant period.

- NAFTA–TAA–05164; Kysor Panel Systems, A Div. of Enodis Corp., Portland, OR
- NAFTA–TAA–04953; G.E. Lighting, Inc., Bucyrus, OH
- NAFTA–TAA–04455; Sunlite Casual Furniture, Paragould, AR
- NAFTA–TAA–05326; FB Johnston Group, North Carolina Div., Hillsborough, NC
- NAFTA–TAA–05081 & A, B, C & G; Spartan International, Inc., Cherokee Finishing Plant, SC, Spartan Plant, Spartansburg, SC, Rosemont Plant, Jonesville, SC King Finishing Plant, Dover, GA and Retail Business Office, Charlotte, NC

The workers firm does not produce an article as required for certification under section 250(a), Subchapter D, Chapter 2, Title II, of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.

NAFTA–TAA–05346; Contract Apparel, Inc., El Paso, TX

Affirmative Determinations NAFTA– TAA

- NAFTA-TAA-05081D; & E, F, H & I; Spartan International, King Mill, August, GA, Cleveland Mills, Lawndale, NC, Cleveland-Caroknit, Jefferson SC, Sales Office, New York, NY and Corporate Office, Spartanburg, SC: July 13, 2000.
- NAFTA–TAA–05434; Tect, Inc., Sewing and Embellishment Departments, Allentown, PA: October 12, 2000.
- NAFTA–TAA–05433; Tect, Inc., Temple Sewing Plant, Temple, PA: October 12, 2000.
- NAFTA–TAA–05430; Tect, Inc., Topton Sewing Plants, Topton, PA: October 12, 2000.
- NAFTA–TAA–05432; Tect, Inc., Knitting Department, Allentown, PA: October 12, 2000.
- NAFTA–TAA–05349; Brooks Automation, Tracking Div., Including Leased Workers of K Force Professional Staffing, Volt Contractors, Superior Contractors and Aerotek Contractors, Colorado Springs, Co: September 20, 2000.

- NAFTA–TAA–05393; Liebert Corp., Irvine California Operations, Irvine, CA: September 27, 2000. NAFTA–TAA–04988; California Cedar
- NAFTA–TAA–04988; California Cedar Products Co., Roseburg Sawmill, Roseburg, OR: June 11, 2000.
- NAFTA–TAĂ–05342; Curtain and Drapery Fashions, Inc., Gastonia, NC: September 20, 2000.
- NAFTA–TÀA–05431; Tect, Inc., Cutting and Automated Sewing Departments, Allentown, PA: October 12, 2000.
- NAFTA–TAA–05374; Axiohm Transaction Solutions, Inc., American Magnetics Division, Cypress, CA: September 20, 2000.
- NAFTA-TAA-05494; Sportrack Accessories, Div. of Sportrack Automotive, Shelburne, VT: October 26, 2000.
- NAFTA-TAA-05398; IFF, Inc., Salem, OR: October 3, 2000.

I hereby certify that the aforementioned determinations were issued during the month of November and December, 2001. Copies of these determinations are available for inspection in Room C–5311, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210 during normal business hours or will be mailed to persons who write to the above address.

Dated: November 30, 2001.

Edward A. Tomchick,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 01–31634 Filed 12–21–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-38,809]

Blue Mountain Products Pendleton, OR; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By letter of July 17, 2001, the petitioner requested administrative reconsideration of the Department of Labor's Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance, petition TA–W–38,809. The denial notice was signed on June 18, 2001 and published in the **Federal Register** on July 5, 2001 (66 FR 35462).

The Department has reviewed the request for reconsideration and has determined that further clarification of a survey response from a major customer of the subject firm would be appropriate.

Conclusion

After careful review of the application, I conclude that the claim is of sufficient weight to justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. The application is, therefore, granted.

Signed at Washington, DC this 11th day of December 2001.

Edward A. Tomchick,

Director, Division of Trade, Adjustment Assistant. [FR Doc. 01–31624 Filed 12–21–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

BILLING CODE 4510-30-W

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-39,908]

Cleveland Caroknit Lawndale, NC; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on August 27, 2001, in response to a worker petition which was filed on behalf of workers at Cleveland Caroknit, Lawndale, North Carolina.

An investigation applicable to the petitioning group of workers is in process (TA–W–39,518). Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose; and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC this 11th day of December 2001.

Edward A. Tomchick,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment, Assistance.

[FR Doc. 01–31632 Filed 12–21–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-40,133 and TA-W-40,133A]

Eagle Knitting Mills, Inc. Shawano, WI; Eagle Knitting Mills, Inc. Kenosha, WI; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on September 28, 2001, in response to a worker petition at Eagle Knitting Mills, Inc., Shawano and Kenosha, Wisconsin.

A negative determination applicable to the petitioning group of workers was issued on May 14, 2001 (TA–W–39,070). The petition filed in the subject case is identical to that filed for the prior case. No new information is evident which