

Advisory Committee of Experts, Washington, DC 20340-1328, telephone (202) 231-3507.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The entire meeting is devoted to the discussion of classified information as defined in Section 552b(c)(1), Title 5 of the U.S. Code, and therefore will be closed to the public. The Board will receive briefings on and discuss several current critical intelligence issues and advise the Director, DIA, on related scientific and technical matters.

Dated: January 31, 2002.

**L.M. Bynum,**

*Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.*

[FR Doc. 02-2769 Filed 2-5-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 5001-08-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Office of the Secretary

#### Defense Advisory Committee on Military Personnel Testing

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to Public Law 92-463, notice is hereby given that a meeting of the Defense Advisory Committee on Military Personnel Testing is scheduled to be held from 8 a.m. to 5 p.m. on February 28, 2002 and from 8 a.m. to 5 p.m. on March 1, 2002. The meeting will be held at the Catamaran Hotel in San Diego, California. The purpose of the meeting is to review planned changes and progress in developing computerized and paper-and-pencil enlistment tests and renaming of the tests. Persons desiring to make oral presentations or submit written statements for consideration at the Committee meeting must contact Dr. Jane M. Arabian, Assistant Director, Accession Policy, Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Force Management Policy), Room 2B271, The Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301-4000, telephone (703) 697-9271, no later than February 15, 2002.

Dated: January 31, 2002.

**L.M. Bynum,**

*Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.*

[FR Doc. 02-2770 Filed 2-5-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 5001-08-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Office of the Secretary

#### Overseas Dependents' School National Advisory Panel on the Education of Dependents with Disabilities

**AGENCY:** Department of Defense Education Activity (DoDEA), DoD.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to Public Law 92-463, as amended (5 U.S.C. app. II), the Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given that a meeting of the National Advisory Panel (NAP) on the Education of Dependents with Disabilities is scheduled to be held from 8:30 a.m. to 4 p.m. on April 16-18, 2002. The meeting is open to the public and will be held in the Holiday Inn Hotel conference room at 4610 North Fairfax Drive, Arlington, Virginia 22203. The purpose of the meeting is to (1) review the responses to the panel's recommendations from its May 8-10, 2001 meeting; (2) review and comment on data and information provided by DoDEA; and (3) review and comment on reports from subcommittees. Persons desiring to attend the meeting or desiring to make oral presentations or submit written statements for consideration by the panel must contact Ms. Diana Patton at (703) 696-4386 extension 1947.

Dated: January 31, 2002.

**L.M. Bynum,**

*Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.*

[FR Doc. 02-2768 Filed 2-5-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 5001-08-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

[CFDA Nos. 84.116A, 84.116B]

#### Fund for the Improvement of Postsecondary Education—Comprehensive Program (Preapplications and Applications); Notice Inviting Applications for New Awards for Fiscal Year (FY) 2002

*Purpose of Program:* To provide grants or enter into cooperative agreements to improve postsecondary education opportunities.

*Eligible Applicants:* Institutions of higher education or combinations of those institutions and other public and private nonprofit institutions and agencies.

*Applications Available:* February 1, 2002.

*Deadline for Transmittal of Preapplications:* March 13, 2002.

*Deadline for Transmittal of Final Applications:* May 24, 2002.

**Note:** All applicants must submit a preapplication to be eligible to submit a final application.

*Deadline for Intergovernmental Review:* July 23, 2002.

*Available Funds:* \$9,958,000.

*Estimated Range of Awards:* \$50,000–\$275,000 per year.

*Estimated Average Size of Awards:* \$156,000 per year.

*Estimated Number of Awards:* 60–65.

**Note:** the Department is not bound by any estimates in this notice.

*Project Period:* Up to 36 months.

*Applicable Regulations:* The Education Department General Administrative Regulations (EDGAR) in 34 CFR parts 74, 75, 77, 79, 80, 82, 85, 86, 97, 98, and 99.

### Invitational Priorities

While applicants may propose any project within the scope of 20 U.S.C. 1138(a), under 34 CFR 75.105(c)(1) the Secretary is particularly interested in applications that meet one or more of the following invitational priorities. However, an application that meets one or more of these invitational priorities does not receive competitive or absolute preference over other applications.

#### Invitational Priority 1

Projects to improve the quality of K-12 teaching through new models of teacher preparation and through new kinds of partnerships between schools and colleges and universities that enhance students' preparation for, access to, and success in college.

#### Invitational Priority 2

Projects to promote innovative reforms in the curriculum and instruction at the college preparation, undergraduate, and graduate/professional levels, especially through student-centered or technology-mediated strategies.

#### Invitational Priority 3

Projects designing more cost-effective ways of improving postsecondary instruction and operations, i.e., to promote more student learning relative to institutional resources expended.

#### Invitational Priority 4

Projects to support new ways of ensuring equal access to postsecondary education, and to improve rates of retention and program completion, especially for underrepresented students whose retention and completion rates continue to lag behind those of other groups.

**Methods for Applying Selection Criteria** *Final Applications*

For preapplications (preliminary applications) and final applications, the Secretary gives equal weight to each of the selection criteria. Within each of these criteria, the Secretary gives equal weight to each of the factors.

**Selection Criteria**

In evaluating preapplications and final applications for grants under this program competition, the Secretary uses the following selection criteria chosen from those listed in 34 CFR 75.210.

*Preapplications*

In evaluating preapplications, the Secretary uses the following selection criteria:

(a) *Need for project.* The Secretary reviews each proposed project for its need, as determined by the following factors:

(1) The magnitude or severity of the problem to be addressed by the proposed project.

(2) The magnitude of the need for the services to be provided or the activities to be carried out by the proposed project.

(b) *Significance.* The Secretary reviews each proposed project for its significance, as determined by the following factors:

(1) The potential contribution of the proposed project to increased knowledge or understanding of educational problems, issues, or effective strategies.

(2) The extent to which the proposed project involves the development or demonstration of promising new strategies that build on, or are alternatives to, existing strategies.

(3) The importance or magnitude of the results or outcomes likely to be attained by the proposed project, especially improvements in teaching and student achievement.

(4) The potential replicability of the proposed project or strategies, including, as appropriate, the potential for implementation in a variety of settings.

(c) *Quality of the project design.* The Secretary reviews each proposed project for the quality of its design, as determined by the extent to which the design of the proposed project is appropriate to, and will successfully address, the needs of the target population or other identified needs.

(d) *Quality of the project evaluation.* The Secretary reviews each proposed project for the quality of its evaluation, as determined by the extent to which the evaluation will provide guidance about effective strategies suitable for replication or testing in other settings.

In evaluating final applications, the Secretary uses the following selection criteria:

(a) *Need for project.* The Secretary reviews each proposed project for its need, as determined by the following factors:

(1) The magnitude or severity of the problem to be addressed by the proposed project.

(2) The magnitude of the need for the services to be provided or the activities to be carried out by the proposed project.

(b) *Significance.* The Secretary reviews each proposed project for its significance, as determined by the following factors:

(1) The potential contribution of the proposed project to increased knowledge or understanding of educational problems, issues, or effective strategies.

(2) The extent to which the proposed project involves the development or demonstration of promising new strategies that build on, or are alternatives to, existing strategies.

(3) The importance or magnitude of the results or outcomes likely to be attained by the proposed project, especially improvements in teaching and student achievement.

(4) The potential replicability of the proposed project or strategies, including, as appropriate, the potential for implementation in a variety of settings.

(c) *Quality of the project design.* The Secretary reviews each proposed project for the quality of its design, as determined by the following factors:

(1) The extent to which the design of the proposed project is appropriate to, and will successfully address the needs of, the target population or other identified needs.

(2) The extent to which the goals, objectives, and outcomes to be achieved by the proposed project are clearly specified and measurable.

(3) The extent to which the design for implementing and evaluating the proposed project will result in information to guide possible replication of project activities or strategies, including information about the effectiveness of the approach or strategies employed by the project.

(d) *Quality of the project evaluation.* The Secretary reviews each proposed project for the quality of its evaluation, as determined by the following factors:

(1) The extent to which the evaluation will provide guidance about effective strategies suitable for replication or testing in other settings.

(2) The extent to which the methods of evaluation are thorough, feasible, and appropriate to the goals, objectives, and outcomes of the proposed project.

(3) The extent to which the methods of evaluation include the use of objective performance measures that are clearly related to the intended outcomes of the project and will produce quantitative and qualitative data to the extent possible.

(e) *Quality of the management plan.* The Secretary reviews each proposed project for the quality of its management plan, as determined by the plan's adequacy to achieve the objectives of the proposed project on time and within budget, including clearly defined responsibilities, timelines, and milestones for accomplishing project tasks.

(f) *Quality of project personnel.* The Secretary reviews each proposed project for the quality of project personnel who will carry out the proposed project, as determined by the following factors:

(1) The extent to which the applicant encourages applications for employment from persons who are members of groups that have traditionally been underrepresented based on race, color, national origin, gender, age, or disability.

(2) The qualifications, including relevant training and experience, of key project personnel.

(g) *Adequacy of resources.* The Secretary reviews each proposed project for the adequacy of its resources, as determined by the following factors:

(1) The extent to which the budget is adequate to support the proposed project.

(2) The extent to which the costs are reasonable in relation to the objectives, design, and potential significance of the proposed project.

(3) The relevance and demonstrated commitment of each partner in the proposed project to the implementation and success of the project.

(4) The adequacy of support, including facilities, equipment, supplies, and other resources, from the applicant organization or the lead applicant organization.

(5) The potential for continued support of the project after Federal funding ends, including, as appropriate, the demonstrated commitment of appropriate entities to such support.

*For Applications Contact:* Education Publications Center (ED Pubs), P.O. Box 1398, Jessup MD 20794-1398. Telephone (toll free): 1-877-433-7827. FAX: (301) 470-1244. If you use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD), you may call (toll free): 1-877-567-7734.

You may also contact ED Pubs at its Web site: <http://www.ed.gov/pubs/edpubs.html>. Or you may contact ED Pubs at its e-mail address: [edpubs@inet.ed.gov](mailto:edpubs@inet.ed.gov).

If you request an application from ED Pubs, be sure to identify this competition as follows: CDFA number 84.116A.

**Note:** Application text and forms are available on the FIPSE web site (see **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Fund for the Improvement of Postsecondary Education (FIPSE), U.S. Department of Education, 1990 K Street, NW., Washington, DC 20006-8544. Telephone: (202) 502-7500. The application text and forms may be obtained from the Internet address: <http://www.ed.gov/FIPSE/>.

If you use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD), you may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339.

Individuals with disabilities may obtain this document in an alternative format (e.g., Braille, large print, audiotape, or computer diskette) on request to the contact listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

Individuals with disabilities also may obtain a copy of the application package in an alternative format. However, the Department is not able to reproduce in alternative format the standard forms included in the application package.

#### Electronic Access to This Document

You may view this document, as well as all other Department of Education documents published in the **Federal Register**, in text or Adobe portable Document Format (PDF) on the internet at the following site: <http://www.ed.gov/legislation/FedRegister/>.

To use PDF you must have Adobe Acrobat Reader, which is available free this site. If you have questions about using PDF, call the U.S. Government Printing Office (GPO), toll free, at 1-888-293-6498; or in the Washington, DC area at (202) 512-1530.

**Note:** the official version of this document is the document published in the **Federal Register**. Free Internet access to the official edition of the **Federal Register** and the Code of Federal Regulations is available on GPO Access at: <http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara/index.html>.

**Program authority:** 20 U.S.C. 1138-1138d.

Dated: January 31, 2002.

**Kenneth W. Tolo,**

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy, Planning and Innovation, Office of Postsecondary Education.*

[FR Doc. 02-2762 Filed 1-31-02; 4:58 pm]

**BILLING CODE 4000-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Office of Arms Control and Nonproliferation; Proposed Subsequent Arrangement

**AGENCY:** Department of Energy.

**ACTION:** Subsequent Arrangement.

**SUMMARY:** This notice is being issued under the authority of section 131 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (42 U.S.C. 2160). The Department is providing notice of a proposed "subsequent arrangement" under Article 10 paragraph 3 of the Agreement for Cooperation Between the Government of the United States of America and the Government of the Republic of Korea Concerning Civil Uses of Atomic Energy, and the Agreement for Cooperation in the Peaceful Uses of Nuclear Energy between the United States and the European Atomic Energy Community (EURATOM).

This subsequent arrangement concerns the retransfer of atomized uranium-molybdenum powder, containing 1,564.76 g uranium (307.87 g uranium-235) from the Korea Atomic Energy Research Institute (KAERI) to the Compagnie pour l'Etude et la Réalisation de Combustibles Atomiques (CERCA), Romans, France. The material, which is located at and was prepared by KAERI, will be used at the CERCA facility for the formability test of plate-type nuclear fuel as part of a Reduced Enrichment for Research and Test Reactors (RERTR) program.

In accordance with section 131 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, we have determined that this subsequent arrangement will not be inimical to the common defense and security.

This subsequent arrangement will take effect no sooner than fifteen days after the publication of this notice.

Dated: January 3, 2002.

For the Department of Energy.

**Jon Phillips,**

*Acting Director, Office of Nonproliferation Policy.*

[FR Doc. 02-2825 Filed 2-5-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6450-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

[FE Docket No. PP-252]

### Notice of intent to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement and to conduct public scoping meetings and Notice of Floodplain and Wetlands Involvement; GenPower New York, L.L.C.

**AGENCY:** Department of Energy (DOE).

**ACTION:** Notice of intent to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) and to conduct public scoping meetings.

**SUMMARY:** GenPower New York, L.L.C. (GenPower) has applied to DOE for a Presidential permit to construct a ±500,000-volt (±500-kV) direct current (DC) submarine electric transmission cable across the U.S. border with Canada. The cable is proposed to originate in Goldboro, Nova Scotia, Canada, and terminate in New York City, New York. DOE has determined that the issuance of the Presidential permit would constitute a major Federal action that may have a significant impact upon the environment within the meaning of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA). For this reason, DOE intends to prepare an EIS to address reasonably foreseeable impacts from the proposed action and alternatives.

The purpose of this Notice of Intent is to inform the public about the proposed action, announce plans for three public scoping meetings, invite public participation in the scoping process, and solicit public comments for consideration in establishing the scope and content of the EIS. Because the proposed project may involve an action in a floodplain or wetland, the EIS will include a floodplain and wetlands assessment and floodplain statement of findings in accordance with DOE regulations for compliance with floodplain and wetlands environmental review requirements (10 CFR part 1022).

**DATES:** DOE invites interested agencies, organizations, and members of the public to submit comments or suggestions to assist in identifying significant environmental issues and in determining the appropriate scope of the EIS. The public scoping period starts with the publication of this Notice in the **Federal Register** and will continue until March 25, 2002. Written and oral comments will be given equal weight, and DOE will consider all comments received or postmarked by March 25, 2002, in defining the scope of this EIS. Comments received or postmarked after that date will be considered to the extent practicable.