

to NRC of the results of individual monitoring, and submittal of license termination information. These mandatory requirements are needed to protect occupationally exposed individuals from undue risks of excessive exposure to ionizing radiation and to protect the health and safety of the public.

Submit, by April 8, 2002, comments that address the following questions:

1. Is the proposed collection of information necessary for the NRC to properly perform its functions? Does the information have practical utility?

2. Is the burden estimate accurate?

3. Is there a way to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected?

4. How can the burden of the information collection be minimized, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology?

A copy of the draft supporting statement may be viewed free of charge at the NRC Public Document Room located at One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD. OMB clearance requests are available at the NRC worldwide web site (<http://www.nrc.gov/NRC/PUBLIC/OMB/index.html>). The document will be available on the NRC home page site for 60 days after the signature date of this notice.

Comments and questions about the information collection requirements may be directed to the NRC Clearance Officer, Brenda Jo. Shelton, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, T-6 E 6, Washington, DC 20555-0001, by telephone at (301) 415-7233, or by Internet electronic mail at INFOCOLLECTS@NRC.GOV.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 31st day of January, 2002.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Brenda Jo. Shelton,

NRC Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 02-2812 Filed 2-5-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel

[Docket No's. 50-369-LR, 50-370-LR, 50-413-LR, and 50-414-LR; ASLBP No. 02-794-01-LR]

In the Matter of Duke Energy Corporation, (McGuire Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2, Catawba Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2); Notice of Hearing Before Administrative Judges: Ann Marshall Young, Chair, Dr. Charles N. Kelber, Lester S. Rubenstein

January 31, 2002.

This proceeding concerns the license renewal application (LRA) of Duke Energy Corporation (Duke), seeking approval under 10 CFR part 54 to renew the operating licenses for its McGuire Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2, and Catawba Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2, for additional twenty-year periods commencing in 2021, 2023, 2024 and 2026, respectively. After noting receipt of the application, *see* 66 FR 37,072 (July 16, 2001), the NRC Staff determined it to be complete and acceptable for docketing and on August 15, 2001, provided a notice of opportunity for hearing with regard to the application. *See* 66 FR 42,893 (Aug. 15, 2001). In response to this notice, Petitioners Nuclear Information and Resource Service (NIRS) and Blue Ridge Environmental Defense League (BREDL), both appearing through non-attorney representatives, timely filed petitions to intervene and requests for hearing on September 14, 2001. By Order dated October 4, 2001, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission referred the hearing requests and intervention petitions to the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, CLI-01-20, 54 NRC 211 (2001), and on October 5, 2001, an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, consisting of the members listed above, was established to preside over the proceeding. *See* 66 FR 52,158 (Oct. 12, 2001).

Notice is hereby given that, by Memorandum and Order dated January 24, 2002, the Board granted Petitioners NIRS and BREDL a hearing, after holding oral argument in Charlotte, North Carolina, on December 18-19, 2001. LBP-02-04, 54 NRC (Jan. 24, 2002). In this Memorandum and Order, the Board found that both NIRS and BREDL have standing to proceed, admitted contentions relating to the anticipated use of plutonium mixed oxide (MOX) fuel in the Duke plants and to ice condensers and station blackout risks, and certified one

question relating to terrorism risks to the Commission for its consideration.

This proceeding will be conducted under the Commission's hearing procedures set forth in 10 CFR part 2, subpart G. During the course of the proceeding, the Board may conduct additional oral argument as provided in 10 CFR 2.755, hold additional prehearing conferences pursuant to 10 CFR 2.752, and conduct an evidentiary hearing in accordance with 10 CFR 2.750-751. The time and place of these sessions will be announced in Licensing Board Orders. Except as limited by the parameters of telephone conferences (which will in any event be transcribed), members of the public are invited to attend any such sessions.

Additionally, as provided in 10 CFR 2.715(a), any person not a party to the proceeding may submit a written limited appearance statement setting forth his or her position on the issues in the proceeding. Persons wishing to submit a written limited appearance statement should send it to the Office of the Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, Attention: Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff. A copy of the statement should also be served on the Chair of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board. At a later date, the Board will entertain oral limited appearance statements at a location in the vicinity of the Duke plants, which are both situated within a 20-mile radius of Charlotte, North Carolina. Notice of these oral limited appearance sessions will be published in the **Federal Register** and/or made available to the public at the NRC Public Document Room (PDR).

Documents related to this proceeding are available electronically through the Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS), with access to the public through the NRC's Internet Web site (Public Electronic Reading Room Link, <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>). The NRC Public Documents Room (PDR) and many public libraries have terminals for public access to the Internet. Documents that may relate to this proceeding that are dated earlier than December 1, 1999, are available in microfiche form (with print form available on one-day recall) for public inspection at the PDR, Room 0-1 F21, NRC One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852-2738.

Rockville, Maryland.

Dated: January 31, 2002.

For the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board¹
Ann Marshall Young,
Chair, Administrative Judge.
 [FR Doc. 02-2810 Filed 2-5-02; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET

Discount Rates for Cost-Effectiveness Analysis of Federal Programs

AGENCY: Office of Management and Budget.

ACTION: Revisions to appendix C of OMB Circular A-94.

SUMMARY: The Office of Management and Budget revised Circular A-94 in 1992. The revised Circular specified certain discount rates to be updated annually when the interest rate and inflation assumptions used to prepare the budget of the United States

Government were changed. These discount rates are found in Appendix C of the revised Circular. The updated discount rates are shown below. The discount rates in Appendix C are to be used for cost-effectiveness analysis, including lease-purchase analysis, as specified in the revised Circular. They do not apply to regulatory analysis.

DATES: The revised discount rates are effective immediately and will be in effect through January 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robert B. Anderson, Office of Economic Policy, Office of Management and Budget, (202) 395-3381.

Amy C. Smith,
Associate Director for Economic Policy, Office of Management and Budget.

Appendix C (Revised February 2002)

Discount Rates for Cost-Effectiveness, Lease Purchase, and Related Analyses

Effective Dates. This appendix is updated annually around the time of the President's

budget submission to Congress. This version of the appendix is valid through the end of January 2003. Copies of the updated appendix and the Circular can be obtained in an electronic form through the OMB home page, <http://www.whitehouse.gov/OMB/circulars/index.html>. Updates of the appendix are also available upon request from OMB's Office of Economic Policy (202-395-3381), as is a table of past years' rates.

Nominal Discount Rates. Nominal interest rates based on the economic assumptions from the budget are presented below. These nominal rates are to be used for discounting nominal flows, which are often encountered in lease-purchase analysis.

NOMINAL INTEREST RATES ON TREASURY NOTES AND BONDS OF SPECIFIED MATURITIES

[In percent]

3-year	5-year	7-year	10-year	30-year
4.1	4.5	4.8	5.1	5.8

Real Discount Rates. Real interest rates based on the economic assumptions from the

budget are presented below. These real rates are to be used for discounting real (constant-

dollar) flows, as is often required in cost-effectiveness analysis.

REAL INTEREST RATES ON TREASURY NOTES AND BONDS OF SPECIFIED MATURITIES

[In percent]

3-year	5-year	7-year	10-year	30-year
2.1	2.8	3.0	3.1	3.9

Analyses of programs with terms different from those presented above may use a linear interpolation. For example, a four-year project can be evaluated with a rate equal to the average of the three-year and five-year rates. Programs with durations longer than 30 years may use the 30-year interest rate.

[FR Doc. 02-2771 Filed 2-5-02; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3110-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Issuer Delisting; Notice of Application To Withdraw from Listing and Registration on the Pacific Exchange, Inc. (Leggett & Platt, Inc., Common Stock, \$.01 Par Value, and Preferred Stock Purchase Rights) File No. 1-7845

January 31, 2002.

Leggett & Platt, Inc., a Missouri corporation ("Issuer"), has filed an application with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to Section 12(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")¹ and Rule 12d2-2(d) thereunder,² to withdraw its Common Stock, \$.01 par value, and Preferred Stock Purchase Rights ("Securities")

from listing and registration on the Pacific Exchange, Inc. ("PCX" or "Exchange").

The Board of Directors ("Board") of the Issuer approved a resolution on November 14, 2001 to withdraw its Securities from listing on the Exchange. The Board cited low trading volume and negligible benefit derived from the Issuer's listing as reasons for delisting its Securities from the PCX. The Issuer will continue to list its Securities on the New York Stock Exchange, Inc. ("NYSE").

The Issuer has stated in its application that it has met the requirements of PCX Rule 5.4(b) governing an issuer's voluntary withdrawal of a security from listing and registration on the Exchange. The Issuer's application relates solely to the

¹ Copies of this Notice of Hearing were sent this date by Internet e-mail or facsimile transmission, if

available, to all participants or counsel for participants.

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78j(d).

² 17 CFR 240.12d2-2(d).