

proposed rule change (SR-NYSE-2001-55) be, and it hereby is, approved.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.⁸

Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02-3867 Filed 2-15-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

Public Federal Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Hearing; Region VI Regulatory Fairness Board

The Small Business Administration Region VI Regulatory Fairness Board and the SBA Office of the National Ombudsman, will hold a Public Hearing on Monday, February 25, 2002 at 1:00 p.m. at the Wells Fargo Bank, Southeast & Southwest Rooms, 2nd Floor, 200 Lomas Boulevard NE, Albuquerque, New Mexico, to receive comments and testimony from small business owners, small government entities, and small non-profit organizations concerning the regulatory enforcement and compliance actions taken by federal agencies.

Anyone wishing to attend or to make a presentation must contact Susan Chavez in writing or by fax, in order to be put on the agenda. Susan Chavez, U.S. Small Business Administration, New Mexico District Office, 625 Silver Avenue S.W., Suite 320, Albuquerque, NM 87102, Phone (505) 346-6767 or (505) 346-6759, fax (505) 346-6711, e-mail: Susan.Chavez@sba.gov.

For more information see our website at <http://www.sba.gov/ombudsman/dsp—hearings.html>

Dated: February 12, 2002.

Michael L. Barrera,

National Ombudsman.

[FR Doc. 02-4011 Filed 2-15-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8025-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Office of the Secretary

Complaint Forms Concerning Accessibility of Airline Service

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary, DOT.

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35, as amended), this notice announces the Department of Transportation's (DOT) intention to

request the extension of a previously approved collection.

DATES: Comments on this notice must be received by April 22, 2002, and be sent to: Attention Blane A. Workie, Office of the Assistant General Counsel for Aviation Enforcement and Proceedings (Enforcement Office).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Blane A. Workie, Attorney, Office of the Assistant General Counsel for Aviation Enforcement and Proceedings (Enforcement Office), Room 4116, Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590, (202) 366-9342.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Office of the Secretary

Title: Complaint forms: Complaint Concerning Accessibility of Airline Service; Complaint Alleging Discrimination by an Airline Based on Race, Ethnicity, National Origin, Religion, Sex, or Sexual Orientation.

OMB Number: 2105-0543.

Type of Request: Extension of emergency approval of collection.

Abstract: The Department of Transportation's Office of the Assistant General Counsel for Aviation Enforcement and Proceedings (Enforcement Office) and its Aviation Consumer Protection Division (ACPD) are requesting extension of a collection which received an emergency clearance approval from OMB on July 18, 2001, to continue displaying two types of complaint forms on the DOT internet site. The complaint forms provide information to make the public aware of their rights. One complaint form concerns accessibility problems experienced by passengers with disabilities and the other complaint form concerns alleged discrimination on the basis of race, ethnicity, national origin, religion, sex or sexual orientation.

Consumers voluntarily submit complaints to the Department regarding accessibility of airline service and alleged discrimination by an airline by completing the complaint forms, or by letter or email. Many consumers prefer completing the complaint forms to drafting a letter or email because it is easier and tends to take less time. The information collected from the complaint forms will be used by the Department of Transportation to institute an administrative action or investigation against an air carrier. An additional benefit of the complaint forms is that the forms specifically ask questions about the type of information that is needed to conduct an investigation.

Respondents: Airline Passengers.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 38.

Estimated Total Burden on Respondents: 19 hours.

Affected Public: Airline customers who file complaints alleging discrimination by the airline because of disability or based on race, ethnicity, national origin, religion, sex or sexual orientation.

Comments are invited on: Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Department, including whether the information will have practical utility; the accuracy of the Department's estimate of the burden of the proposed information collection; ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

All responses to this notice, will be summarized and included in the request for OMB approval. All comments will also become a matter of public record.

Issued in Washington, DC, on February 12, 2002.

Samuel Podberesky,

Assistant General Counsel for Aviation Enforcement and Proceedings.

[FR Doc. 02-3931 Filed 2-15-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-62-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Office of the Secretary; Aviation Proceedings, Agreements Filed During the Week Ending February 1, 2002.

The following Agreements were filed with the Department of Transportation under the provisions of 49 U.S.C. Sections 412 and 414. Answers may be filed within 21 days after the filing of the application.

[Docket Number: OST-2002-11423]

Date Filed: January 28, 2002.

Parties: Members of the International Air Transport Association.

Subject: PTC COMP 0895 dated 29 January 2002, Mail Vote 197—Resolution 010z, Special Passenger Amending Resolution, Preface Notes to passenger fares tables, Intended effective date: 1 April 2002.

[Docket Number: OST-2002-11429]

Date Filed: January 29, 2002.

Parties: Members of the International Air Transport Association.

Subject: PTC3 0546 dated 29 January 2002, Mail Vote 196—Resolution 010y, TC3 Between Japan, Korea and South

⁸ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

East Asia, Special Passenger Amending Resolution between China, (excluding Hong Kong SAR and Macau SAR) and Japan, Intended effective date: 31 March 2002.

[Docket Number: OST-2002-11430]

Date Filed: January 29, 2002.

Parties: Members of the International Air Transport Association.

Subject: PTC3 0545 dated 29 January 2002, Mail Vote 195—Resolution 010x, TC3 Between Japan, Korea and South East Asia, Special Passenger Amending Resolution between Japan and China (excluding Hong Kong SAR and Macau SAR), Intended effective date: 1 April 2002.

[Docket Number: OST-2002-11427]

Date Filed: January 29, 2002.

Parties: Members of the International Air Transport Association.

Subject: PTC3 0529 dated 8 January 2002, TC3 Areawide Resolutions r1-r8; PTC3 0530 dated 8 January 2002, TC3 Within South Asian Subcontinent Resolutions r9-r17; PTC3 0531 dated 8 January 2002, TC3 Within South East Asia Resolutions r18-r29; PTC3 0532 dated 8 January 2002, TC3 Within South West Pacific Resolutions r30-r35; PTC3 0533 dated 8 January 2002, TC3 between South East Asia and South Asian, Subcontinent Resolutions r36-r44; PTC3 0534 dated 8 January 2002, TC3 between South Asian Subcontinent and, South West Pacific Resolutions r45-r52; PTC3 0535 dated 8 January 2002, TC3 between South East Asia and, South West Pacific Resolutions r53-r57; PTC3 0536 dated 8 January 2002, TC3 between Japan and Korea Resolutions r58-r69; PTC3 0537 dated 8 January 2002, TC3 between Japan, Korea and South Asian, Subcontinent Resolutions r70-r84; PTC3 0538 dated 8 January 2002, TC3 between Japan, Korea and South East Asia r85-r113; PTC3 0539 dated 8 January 2002, TC3 between Japan, Korea and South West Pacific r114-r163; MINUTES—PTC3 0544 dated 25 January 2002, TABLES—PTC3 Fares 0164 dated 8 January 2002; PTC3 Fares 0165 dated 8 January 2002; PTC3 Fares 0166 dated 8 January 2002; PTC3 Fares 0167 dated 8 January 2002; PTC3 Fares 0168 dated 8 January 2002; PTC3 Fares 0169 dated 8 January 2002; PTC3 Fares 0170 dated 8 January 2002; PTC3 Fares 0171 dated 8 January 2002; PTC3 Fares 0172 dated 8 January 2002; PTC3 Fares 0173 dated 8 January 2002; Intended effective date: 1 April 2002.

[Docket Number: OST-2002-11438]

Date Filed: January 30, 2002.

Parties: Members of the International Air Transport Association.

Subject: PTC12 SATL-EUR 0084 dated 29 January 2002, Expedited South

Atlantic-Europe Resolution 001b, Intended effective date: 15 March 2002.

Dorothy Y. Beard,

Federal Register Liaison.

[FR Doc. 02-3965 Filed 2-15-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-62-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Coast Guard

[USCG 2002-10298]

Double Hull Standards for Vessels Carrying Oil in Bulk; U.S. Position on the Amendment of International Standards for the Phase-Out of Existing Single Hull Tank Vessels

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DOT.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice is to inform the public that on February 12, 2002, the U.S. Embassy in London deposited a declaration with the International Maritime Organization (IMO) stating that the express approval of the U.S. Government will be necessary before the revised Regulation 13G of the International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships (MARPOL 73/78) would enter into force for the U.S. In this declaration, the U.S. cited specific technical differences between the revised MARPOL Regulation for new and existing tankers and OPA 90.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Mike Jendrossek, Project Manager, Vessel and Facility Operating and Environmental Standards Division (G-MSO-2), U.S. Coast Guard, 2100 Second Street SW., Washington, DC 20593-0001, telephone 202-267-0836.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On April 27, 2001, The IMO adopted amendments to Regulation 13G of Annex I to MARPOL 73/78. These amendments, which accelerate the phase-out schedule adopted by the IMO on March 6, 1992, for some classes of single-hull tank vessels, will be deemed accepted March 1, 2002, unless prior to that date, not less than one-third of the Parties or Parties the combined merchant fleets of which constitute not less than 50 per cent of the gross tonnage of the world's merchant fleet, have communicated to the Organization their objection to the amendments. It is unlikely that such objections will be raised. The U.S. will not object but has taken a position with the IMO that the express approval of the U.S. Government would be necessary before this amendment will be enforced by the U.S. As was the case in 1993, the U.S.

maintains that the Oil Pollution Act of 1990 (OPA 90) continues to be the more stringent requirement.

The Coast Guard held a public meeting on September 25, 2001, (66 FR 42170) to discuss the amendment of the international standards that were developed and adopted by the IMO in April 2001. The public meeting was used to collect comments and information from the public and industry to develop a final U.S. position to be formally presented to IMO on this matter. The Coast Guard encouraged interested parties to attend the meeting and submit comments for discussion during the meeting. Attendees at the meeting were unanimously in favor of the U.S. retaining the phase-out schedule under OPA 90. No objection was raised to the U.S. intention to inform the IMO that express approval will be required before Regulation 13G becomes effective for the U.S. Additionally, the Coast Guard sought written comments from any party who was unable to attend the public meeting in the same **Federal Register** Notice (66 FR 42170). The docket received ten letters from individuals, associations and societies, and industry providing specific comments on this subject. These letters can be viewed by contacting Ms. Dorothy Beard, Chief, Dockets, Department of Transportation, telephone 202-366-5194. You may also find this docket (USCG 2001-10298) on the Internet at <http://dms.dot.gov>. Of the ten letters received, nine supported the U.S. retaining the phase-out schedule under OPA 90. The one dissenting comment urged the United States to support the amended regulation 13G and adopt use of The American Under Pressure System as an alternative to double-hulls. The Coast Guard disagrees; this issue was previously considered during the development of OPA 90 and not adopted.

Dated: February 12, 2002.

Howard L. Hime,

Acting Director of Standards, Marine Safety and Environmental Protection.

[FR Doc. 02-4061 Filed 2-14-02; 1:18 pm]

BILLING CODE 4910-15-U