withdrew its participation in these proceedings. We interpret Goss' withdrawal of participation as a withdrawal of interest. Because Goss (the only domestic interested party in the sunset proceeding) withdrew its interest in these reviews, the Department has determined to treat this situation as if no domestic interested party responded to the notice of initiation of these sunset reviews. Therefore, we are not publishing preliminary determinations and are hereby revoking the antidumping duty orders on LNPPs from Japan and Germany.

Determination to Revoke

Pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(iii)(B)(3), if no domestic interested party responds to the notice of initiation, the Department shall issue a final determination, within 90 days after the initiation of the review, revoking the order.² Because the only domestic interested party withdrew its interest in both proceedings (*see* 351.218(d)(1)(i)and 351.218(e)(1)(i)(C)(1) of the *Sunset Regulations*), consistent with the provision of section 751(c)(3)(A) of the Act, we are revoking these antidumping duty orders.

Effective Date of Revocation

In accordance with sections 751(c)(3)(A) and 751(d)(2) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.222(i)(2)(i), the Department will instruct the Customs Service to terminate the suspension of liquidation of the merchandise subject to the orders entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, on or after September 4, 2001. The instructions for entries of LNPPs from Germany will not be issued until either the conclusion of the ongoing litigation with respect to the final determination of the Department's less-than-fair value investigation of LNPPs from Germany, pursuant to which entries have been enjoined from liquidation, or the injunction has been lifted or amended. (See Koenig & Bauer Albert v. United States, Fed. Cir. Court No. 00-1387 (CIT 96-10-02298).) This injunction does not cover entries of subject merchandise from Japan. Entries of subject merchandise prior to the effective date of revocation will continue to be subject to suspension of liquidation and antidumping duty deposit requirements. The Department

will complete any pending administrative reviews of these orders and will conduct administrative reviews of subject merchandise entered prior to the effective date of revocation in response to appropriately filed requests for review.

Dated: February 19, 2002.

Faryar Shirzad,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration. [FR Doc. 02–4426 Filed 2–22–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-201-504]

Porcelain–On–Steel Cookware From Mexico: Initiation and Preliminary Results of Changed–Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Notice of Intent to Revoke the Order and to Rescind Administrative Reviews

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce. ACTION: Notice of Initiation and Preliminary Results of Changed– Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Notice of Intent to Revoke the Order and to Rescind Administrative Reviews.

SUMMARY: In response to a request from the petitioner, Columbian Home Products, LLC, that the Department of Commerce revoke the antidumping duty order on porcelain-on-steel cookware from Mexico, we are initiating a changed-circumstances administrative review and are issuing this notice of preliminary results and intent to revoke the antidumping duty order as of December 1, 1995. If these preliminary results become final, we intend to rescind the current antidumping duty administrative reviews, covering the periods December 1, 1999 through November 30, 2000, and December 1, 2000 through November 30, 2001. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 25, 2002. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Rebecca Trainor or Kate Johnson, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone (202) 482–4007 and (202) 482–4929, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act ("URAA"). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce's ("Department's") regulations are to the regulations at 19 CFR Part 351 (April 2001).

Background

On January 30, 2002, the petitioner, Columbian Home Products, LLC ("Columbian"), requested that the Department revoke the antidumping duty order on porcelain-on-steel cookware from Mexico as of December 1, 1995, stating that it no longer has an interest in maintaining this order. Columbian is a domestic interested party and is the successor company to the petitioner in the less-than-fairvalue investigation. Columbian stated that it is the only U.S. producer of porcelain-on-steel cookware, and therefore, it accounts for "substantially all of the production of the domestic like product," within the meaning of section 782(h)(2) of the Act.

Scope of the Order

The products covered by this order are porcelain-on-steel cookware, including tea kettles, which do not have self-contained electric heating elements. All of the foregoing are constructed of steel and are enameled or glazed with vitreous glasses. This merchandise is currently classifiable under Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS") subheading 7323.94.00. Kitchenware currently classifiable under HTSUS subheading 7323.94.00.30 is not subject to the order. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of this proceeding is dispositive.

Initiation and Preliminary Results of Changed–Circumstances Review and Intent to Revoke Order

Pursuant to section 751(d)(1) of the Act, the Department may revoke, in whole or in part, an antidumping duty order based on a review under section 751(b) of the Act (i.e., a changed– circumstances review). The Department's regulations at 19 CFR 351.216(d) require the Department to conduct a changed–circumstances review in accordance with 19 CFR 351.221 if it decides that changed circumstances sufficient to warrant a

^{2002,} and its final results on both reviews on June 27, 2002.

² Although the statute requires revocation of an order within 90 days of initiating the sunset review when no party responds to the notice of initiation, in this case, Goss withdrew its participation after the 90-day period had expired.

review exist. Section 782(h)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.222(g)(1)(i) provide that the Department may revoke an order (in whole or in part) if it determines that producers accounting for substantially all of the production of the domestic like product have no further interest in the order. In addition, in the event that the Department concludes that expedited action is warranted, 19 CFR 351.221(c)(3) permits the Department to combine the notices of initiation and preliminary results.

The petitioner is a domestic interested party as defined by section 771(9)(C) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.102(b). Columbian is the only U.S. producer of porcelain-on-steel cookware and therefore represents at least 85 percent of the domestic production of the domestic like product to which this order pertains, and thus accounts for "substantially all" of the production of the domestic like product. Therefore, based on the lack of interest by the domestic industry in the continued application of the antidumping duty order on porcelain-on-steel cookware from Mexico, we are initiating this changed-circumstances review. Because of the on-going and pending administrative reviews, we have determined that expedited action is warranted, and we are combining the notices of initiation and preliminary results. We have preliminarily determined that the petitioner's statement of no interest in the continuation of the order constitutes changed circumstances sufficient to warrant revocation of the order in whole. We are hereby notifying the public of our intent to revoke the antidumping duty order on porcelainon-steel cookware from Mexico as of December 1, 1995.

If these preliminary results become final, we intend to rescind the current antidumping duty administrative reviews, covering the periods December 1, 1999 through November 30, 2000, and December 1, 2000 through November 30, 2001.

If final revocation of the order occurs, we intend to instruct the Customs Service to discontinue the suspension of liquidation and to refund any estimated antidumping duties collected for all unliquidated entries of porcelain—on steel cookware from Mexico entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after December 1, 1995. We will also instruct the Customs Service to pay interest on any refunds with respect to the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after December 1, 1995, in accordance with section 778 of the Act. The current requirement for a cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties will continue until publication of the final results of this changed– circumstances review.

Public Comment

Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results. Parties who submit argument in this proceeding are requested to submit with the argument (1) a statement of the issue, and (2) a brief summary of the argument. Any interested party may request a hearing within 10 days of the date of publication of this notice. Any hearing, if requested, will be held no later than 21 days after the date of publication of this notice, or the first workday thereafter. Case briefs may be submitted by interested parties not later than 7 days after the date of publication of this notice. Rebuttal briefs, limited to the issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed not later than 12 days after the date of publication of this notice. All written comments shall be submitted in accordance with 19 CFR 351.303 and shall be served on all interested parties on the Department's service list in accordance with 19 CFR 351.303. Persons interested in attending the hearing should contact the Department for the date and time of the hearing. The Department will publish the final results of this changed-circumstances review, including the results of its analysis of issues raised in any written comments.

We are issuing and publishing this determination and notice in accordance with sections 751(b)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.222.

February 14, 2002

Faryar Shirzad,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration. [FR Doc. 02–4421 Filed 2–22–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-428-825]

Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from Germany; Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; Time Limits

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce. **ACTION:** Notice of Extension of Time Limits.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is extending the time limits for the preliminary results of the 2000–2001 administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel sheet and strip in coils from Germany. This review covers one manufacturer/exporter of the subject merchandise to the United States and the period July 1, 2000 through June 30, 2001.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 25, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Patricia Tran at (202) 482–1121 or Robert James at (202) 482–0649, Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Enforcement Group III, Office Eight, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On August 20, 2001, in response to requests from the respondent and petitioners, we published a notice of initiation of this administrative review in the Federal Register. See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part, 66 FR 43570. Pursuant to the time limits for administrative reviews set forth in section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), the current deadlines are April 2, 2002 for the preliminary results and July 31, 2002 for the final results. It is not practicable to complete this review within the normal statutory time limit due to a number of significant case issues, such as major inputs purchased from affiliated and unaffiliated suppliers and the use of downstream sales. Therefore, the Department is extending the time limits for completion of the preliminary results until July 31, 2002 in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act. The deadline for the final results of this review will continue to be 120 days after publication of the preliminary results.

This extension is in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

February 15, 2002

Joseph A. Spetrini

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Group III [FR Doc. 02–4422 Filed 2–22–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S