

WHEREAS, the Indianapolis Airport Authority, grantee of Foreign-Trade Zone 72, has made application to the Board for authority to establish special-purpose subzone status at the manufacturing facilities (gas turbine engines) of Rolls-Royce Corporation, located in Indianapolis, Indiana (FTZ Docket 38-2001, filed 9/18/2001);

WHEREAS, notice inviting public comment has been given in the **Federal Register** (66 FR 49161, 9/26/2001); and,

WHEREAS, the Board adopts the findings and recommendations of the examiner's report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations are satisfied, and that approval of the application would be in the public interest;

NOW, THEREFORE, the Board hereby grants authority for subzone status at the gas-turbine engine manufacturing facilities of Rolls-Royce Corporation located in Indianapolis, Indiana (Subzone 72Q), at the location described in the application, subject to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations, including § 400.28.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 12th day of February 2002.

Faryar Shirzad,

Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.

[FR Doc. 02-4428 Filed 2-22-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-428-801]

Antifriction Bearings (Other Than Tapered Roller Bearings) and Parts Thereof From Germany; Amended Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of amended final results of antidumping duty administrative reviews.

SUMMARY: On December 19, 2000, the Department of Commerce published a retraction of the amended final results of reviews for the respondent-company FAG Kugelfischer Georg Schaefer AG with respect to the antidumping duty orders on antifriction bearings (other than tapered roller bearings) and parts thereof from Germany. The classes or kinds of merchandise covered by these reviews are ball bearings and parts thereof, cylindrical roller bearings and parts thereof, and spherical plain

bearings and parts thereof. The period of review is May 1, 1993, through April 30, 1994. At the time of our December 19th notice, one matter, relating to the above firm and the reviews of the orders on antifriction bearings and parts thereof from Germany, was pending before the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. As there is now a final and conclusive court decision in this action, we are amending our final results of the reviews and we will subsequently instruct the Customs Service to liquidate entries subject to these reviews.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 25, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jennifer Moats or Richard Rimlinger, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-4733.

Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Tariff Act), are references to the provisions in effect as of December 31, 1994. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce's (the Department's) regulations are to the regulations as codified at 19 CFR part 353 (1995).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On December 19, 2000, the Department of Commerce published a retraction of the amended final results of reviews for the respondent-company FAG Kugelfischer Georg Schaefer AG (FAG) with respect to the antidumping duty orders on antifriction bearings (other than tapered roller bearings) and parts thereof from Germany (see 65 FR 79341). The classes or kinds of merchandise covered by these reviews are ball bearings and parts thereof, cylindrical roller bearings and parts thereof, and spherical plain bearings and parts thereof. The period of review is May 1, 1993, through April 30, 1994. At the time of our December 19th notice, one matter, relating to the reviews of the orders on antifriction bearings and parts thereof from Germany, was pending before the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit.

Pursuant to the remand order from the U.S. Court of International Trade (CIT) in *SKF USA Inc. v. United States*, Consol. Court No. 97-01-00054-S, Slip Op. 01-86 (CIT July 16, 2001), the Department of Commerce prepared the final results of redetermination. In

accordance with the CIT's instructions, we reconsidered our calculation of FAG's general and administrative expenses, and we recalculated FAG's margins accordingly. As there is now a final and conclusive court decision in this action, we are amending our final results of reviews in this matter, and we will subsequently instruct the Customs Service to liquidate entries subject to these reviews.

Amendment to Final Results

Pursuant to section 516A(e) of the Tariff Act, we are now amending the final results of administrative reviews of the antidumping duty orders on antifriction bearings (other than tapered roller bearings) and parts thereof from Germany, for the period May 1, 1993, through April 30, 1994. The revised weighted-average margins are as follows:

| Company | BBs | CRBs | SPBs |
|--|-------|-------|------|
| Germany: FAG Kugelfischer Georg Schaefer AG | 12.33 | 12.50 | 2.10 |

Accordingly, the Department will determine and the Customs Service will assess appropriate antidumping duties on entries of the subject merchandise made by the firm covered by these reviews. Individual differences between United States price and foreign market value may vary from the percentages listed above. For the company covered by these amended results, the Department will issue appraisal instructions to the Customs Service after publication of these amended final results of reviews.

This notice is published pursuant to section 751(a) of the Tariff Act.

Dated: February 19, 2002.

Faryar Shirzad,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 02-4425 Filed 2-22-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-822]

Certain Helical Spring Lock Washers From the People's Republic of China; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Administrative Duty Review.

SUMMARY: On July 11, 2001, the Department of Commerce published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain helical spring lock washers from the People's Republic of China. We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment. Based upon our analysis of the comments and information received, we have made changes to the margin calculations presented in the final results of the review. We find that helical spring lock washers from the People's Republic of China are not being sold in the United States below normal value by the company reviewed. The final weighted-average dumping margin is listed below in the section entitled Final Results of the Review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 25, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sally Hastings, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-3464.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce's ("the Department") regulations are to 19 CFR part 351 (2000).

Background

On July 11, 2001, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of its administrative review of helical spring lock washers ("HSLWs") from the People's Republic of China ("PRC") (*Certain Helical Spring Lock Washers from the People's Republic of China; Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 66 FR 36251 (July 11, 2001)

("Preliminary Results"). We received surrogate value information from the petitioner, Shakeproof Assembly Components Division of Illinois Tool Works Inc. ("petitioner"), and the respondent, Hang Zhou Spring Washer Co., Ltd. also known as Zhejiang Wanxin Group Co., Ltd. ("Hangzhou"), on July 31, 2001. The petitioner and the respondent submitted case briefs and rebuttal briefs on August 10 and 15, 2001, respectively. The Department has now completed the antidumping duty administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Act.

Scope of Order

The products covered by this review are HSLWs of carbon steel, of carbon alloy steel, or of stainless steel, heat-treated or non-heat-treated, plated or non-plated, with ends that are off-line. HSLWs are designed to: (1) Function as a spring to compensate for developed looseness between the component parts of a fastened assembly; (2) distribute the load over a larger area for screws or bolts; and, (3) provide a hardened bearing surface. The scope does not include internal or external tooth washers, nor does it include spring lock washers made of other metals, such as copper.

HSLWs subject to this review are currently classifiable under subheading 7318.21.0030 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS"). Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of this proceeding is dispositive.

Period of Review

The period of review ("POR") is from October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2000.

Comparisons

We calculated export price and normal value based on the same methodology used in the *Preliminary Results* with the following exceptions:

1. We used values that were more contemporaneous with the POR for steam coal, lubricating oil, nitric acid,

hydrofluoric acid, caustic soda-lye, caustic soda, sodium hydroxide, chromic acid, sodium nitrate, barium carbonate, sodium cyanide, potassium chromate, methalymine, potassium aluminum sulfate, adhesive tape, packing sheet, plastic bags, cartons, steel scrap, packing strips, nails, and zinc dust

2. We used an Indonesian import value for hydrochloric acid.

3. We revised the value for inland shipping, using a different source and data more contemporaneous with the POR. We corrected errors in our calculation of shipping distances.

4. We corrected an error in the sales database.

5. For labor, we used the regression-based wage rate for the PRC, revised September, 2001, in "Expected Wages of Selected NME Countries" located on the Internet at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/wages/99wages/99wages/htm>.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties to this proceeding are addressed in the February 15, 2002, Issues and Decision Memorandum ("Decision Memorandum") which is hereby adopted by this notice. Attached to this notice as an appendix is a list of the issues which parties have raised and to which we have responded in the Decision Memorandum. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum which is on file in the Central Records Unit, Room B-099 of the Department. In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Web at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn/summary/list.htm>. The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Final Results of the Review

The weighted-average dumping margin for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2000, is as follows:

| Manufacturer/exporter | Time period | Margin (percent) (de minimis) |
|---|-------------------|-------------------------------|
| Hang Zhou Spring Washer Co., Ltd/Zhejiang Wanxin Group Co., Ltd | 10/01/99-09/30/00 | 0.01 |

Because the duty assessment rates for Hangzhou are zero or *de minimis* (i.e., less than 0.5 percent), we will instruct the Customs Service to liquidate entries made during this review period without

regard to antidumping duties for subject merchandise exported by Hangzhou. All other entries of the subject merchandise during the POR will be liquidated at the

antidumping rate in place at the time of entry.

Furthermore, the following deposit rates will be effective upon publication of these final results for all shipments of

HSLWs from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided for by section 751(a)(1) of the Act:

(1) For Hangzhou, which has had a separate rate in the investigation and all reviews, no deposit will be required because the company had a *de minimis* rate in this review; (2) for all other PRC exporters, the cash deposit rate will be the PRC-wide rate, 128.63 percent, which is the All Other PRC Manufacturers, Producers and Exporters rate from the *Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Helical Spring Lock Washers from the PRC*, 58 FR 48833 (September 20, 1993); and, (3) for non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise from the PRC, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC supplier of that exporter. These deposit rates shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: February 15, 2002.

Faryar Shirzad,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

Appendix

List of Comments in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

Comment 1: Use of Import Prices to Value All Steel Wire Rod Inputs
 Comment 2: Plating Operations: Factory Overhead, SG&A Expenses and Profit
 Comment 3: Representativeness of Plating Factors of Production

Comment 4: Valuation of Hydrochloric Acid
 Comment 5: Valuation of Inland Shipping Rate

Comment 6: Valuation of Potassium Aluminum Sulphate

Comment 7: Calculation of Factory Overhead Net of Scrap

[FR Doc. 02-4423 Filed 2-22-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-588-837, A-428-821]

Large Newspaper Printing Presses and Components Thereof, Whether Assembled or Unassembled, from Japan (A-588-837) and Germany (A-428-821): Notice of Final Results of Five-Year Sunset Reviews and Revocation of Antidumping Duty Orders.

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of final results of five-year sunset reviews and revocation of antidumping duty orders on large newspaper printing presses and components thereof, whether assembled or unassembled, from Japan (A-588-837) and Germany (A-428-821).

SUMMARY: On August 1, 2001, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") initiated sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on Large Newspaper Printing Presses ("LNPPs") and Components Thereof, Whether Assembled or Unassembled, from Japan and Germany. One domestic interested party responded to the sunset review notice of initiation in these proceedings. However, on December 21, 2001, the domestic interested party withdrew its interest in these proceedings. Therefore, the Department is revoking the antidumping duty orders on LNPPs from Japan and Germany.

EFFECTIVE DATE: September 4, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Martha V. Douthit or James P. Maeder, Office of Policy, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-5050 or (202) 482-3330, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the "Act"), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995,

the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce's ("Department") regulations are to 19 CFR part 351 (2001).

Background

On September 4, 1996, the Department issued the antidumping duty orders on LNPPs from Japan (61 FR 46621) and Germany (61 FR 46623). Pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218, the Department initiated sunset reviews of these orders by publishing a notice of the initiation in the *Federal Register* August 1, 2001 (66 FR 39731). In addition, as a courtesy to interested parties, the Department sent letters, via certified and registered mail, to each party listed on the Department's most current service list for this proceeding to inform them of the automatic initiation of sunset reviews of these orders.

On August 16, 2001, within the applicable deadline, the Department received notice of intent to participate from Goss Graphic Systems, Inc. ("Goss"), the only domestic interested party in the sunset proceedings. As such, the Department concluded that Goss provided an adequate response to participate in the sunset reviews on LNPPs from Japan and Germany. On August 31, 2001, Goss filed substantive responses with respect to LNPPs from Japan and Germany. In the sunset review on LNPPs from Japan, the Department did not receive any response from respondent interested parties; therefore, we determined to conduct an expedited sunset review. In the sunset review on LNPPs from Germany, the Department determined that domestic and respondent interested parties provided adequate response to conduct a full sunset review under section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act, and §§ 351.218(e)(1)(i) and 351.218(e)(1)(ii). However, over the course of these reviews significant questions were raised concerning Goss' claim as to whether it was actually a domestic manufacturer of the subject merchandise. Consequently, in order to investigate this issue more fully, on November 19, 2001, the Department aligned the sunset review on LNPPs from Japan with the sunset review of the antidumping duty order on LNPPs from Germany. See 66 FR 58713 (November 23, 2001).¹ On December 21, 2001, Goss

¹ In this notice, the Department announced its intent to issue the preliminary results on LNPPs from Japan along with the preliminary results on LNPPs from Germany not later than February 19,