

Reporting Burden:

Number of respondents	×	Frequency of responses	×	Hours per response	=	Burden hours
1,120		1		4		4,480

Total Estimated Burden Hours: 4,480.  
 Status: Reinstatement, without change.

Authority: Sect. 3507 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. 35, as amended.

Dated: March 8, 2002.

Wayne Eddins,

Departmental Reports Management Officer,  
 Office of the Chief Information Officer.  
 [FR Doc. 02-6336 Filed 3-15-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210-72-M

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FR-4734-N-10]

Notice of Submission of Proposed Information Collection to OMB; Relocation and Real Property Acquisition, Recordkeeping Requirements Under the Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1979

AGENCY: Office of the Chief Information Officer, HUD.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The proposed information collection requirement described below has been submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act. The Department is soliciting public comments on the subject proposal.

DATES: Comments Due Date: April 17, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments regarding this proposal. Comments should refer to the proposal by name and/or OMB approval number (2506-0121) be sent to: Joseph F. Lackey, Jr., OMB Desk Officer, Office of management and Budget, Room 10235, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Wayne Eddins, Reports Management Officer, Q, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 Seventh Street, Southwest, Washington, DC 20410; e-mail Wayne\_Eddins@HUD.gov; telephone (202) 708-2374. This is not a toll-free number. Copies of the proposed forms and other available documents submitted to OMB may be obtained from Mr. Eddins.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Department has submitted the proposal for the collection of information, as described below, to OMB for review, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). The Notice lists the following information: (1) The title of the information collection proposal; (2) the office of the agency to collect the information; (3) the OMB approval number, if applicable; (4) the description of the need for the information and its proposed use; (5) the agency form number, if applicable; (6) what members of the public will be affected by the proposal; (7) how frequently information submissions will

be required; (8) an estimate of the total number of hours needed to prepare the information submission including number of respondents, frequency of response, and hours of response; (9) whether the proposal is new, an extension, reinstatement, or revision of an information collection requirement; and (10) the name and telephone number of an agency official familiar with the proposal and of the OMB Desk Officer for the Department.

This Notice also lists the following information:

Title of Proposal: Relocation and Real Property Acquisition, Recordkeeping Requirements under the Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1979.

OMB Approval Number: 2506-0121.

Form Numbers: None.

Description of the need for the information and its proposed use:

Agencies receiving HUD funding for projects involving relocation of owners or tenants displaced due to a project that includes rehabilitation, demolition, or acquisition of property are subject to the Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970 (URA). Agencies are required to document their compliance with the requirements of the URA and applicable implementing and program regulations.

Respondents. State, Local or Tribal Government.

Frequency of Submission: Recordkeeping.

Reporting Burden:

Number of respondents	×	Frequency of Responses	×	Hours per response	=	Burden hours
2,000		40		3.25		260,000

Total Estimated Burden Hours: 260,000.

Status: Reinstatement, without change.

Authority: Section 3507 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. 35, as amended.

Dated: March 12, 2002.

Wayne Eddins,

Departmental Reports Management Officer,  
 Office of the Chief Information Officer.  
 [FR Doc. 02-6337 Filed 3-15-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210-72-M

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FR-4734-N-11]

Notice of Submission of Proposed Information Collection to OMB; Optional Relocation Payment Claim Forms

AGENCY: Office of the Chief Information Officer, HUD.

ACTION: Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The proposed information collection requirement described below has been submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act. The Department is soliciting public comments on the subject proposal.

**DATES:** *Comments Due Date:* April 17, 2002.

**ADDRESSES:** Interested persons are invited to submit comments regarding this proposal. Comments should refer to the proposal by name and/or OMB approval number (2506-0016) be sent to: Joseph F. Lackey, Jr., OMB Desk Officer, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Wayne Eddins, Reports Management Officer, Q, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 Seventh Street, Southwest, Washington, DC 20410; e-mail *Wayne\_Eddins@HUD.gov*; telephone (202) 708-2374. This is not a

toll-free number. Copies of the proposed forms and other available documents submitted to OMB may be obtained from Mr. Eddins.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Department has submitted the proposal for the collection of information, as described below, to OMB for review, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). The Notice lists the following information: (1) The title of the information collection proposal; (2) the office of the agency to collect the information; (3) the OMB approval number, if applicable; (4) the description of the need for the information and its proposed use; (5) the agency form number, if applicable; (6) what members of the public will be affected by the proposal; (7) how frequently information submissions will be required; (8) an estimate of the total number of hours needed to prepare the information submission including number of respondents, frequency of response, and hours of response; (9)

whether the proposal is new, an extension, reinstatement, or revision of an information collection requirement; and (10) the name and telephone number of an agency official familiar with the proposal and of the OMB Desk Officer for the Department.

This Notice also lists the following information:

*Title of Proposal;* Optional Relocation Payment Claim Forms.

*OMB Approval Number;* 2506-0016.  
*Form Numbers:* HUD-40054, 40055, 40056, 40057, 40058, 40061, and 40072.

*Description of the Need for the Information and Its Proposed Use:* Application for displacement/relocation assistance for persons (families, individuals, businesses, nonprofit organizations and farms) displaced by certain HUD programs.

*Respondents:* Individual or households, Business or other for-profit, Not for-profit institutions, Farms.

*Frequency of Submission:* On occasion.

*Reporting Burden:*

Number of respondents	×	Frequency of response	×	Hours per response	=	Burden hours
12,800		3		0.8		31,000

*Total Estimated Burden Hours:* 31,000.

*Status:* Reinstatement, without change.

**Authority:** Sect. 3507 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. 35, as amended.

Dated: March 12, 2002.

**Wayne Eddins,**

*Departmental Reports Management Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 02-6338 Filed 3-15-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4210-72-M**

**DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT**

[Docket No. FR-4743-N-01]

**Notice of Planned Closing of Oakland, CA Post-of-Duty Station**

**AGENCY:** Office of Inspector General, HUD.

**ACTION:** Notice of Planned Closing of Oakland, California Post-of-Duty Station.

**SUMMARY:** This notice advises the public that the HUD Office of Inspector General is closing its Oakland, California post-of-duty station, and also provides a cost-benefit analysis of the impact of the closure.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Bryan Saddler, Counsel to the Inspector

General, Room 8260, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20410, (202) 708-1613. (This is not a toll free number.) A telecommunications device for hearing- and speech-impaired persons (TTY) is available at 1-800-877-8339 (Federal Information Relay Services). (This is a toll-free number.)

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

In 1996, HUD's Office of Inspector General (HUD/OIG) established a two person post-of-duty station in Oakland, California, to give direct support to the Oakland, California office's Operation Safe Home (OSH) initiative to combat violent and drug-related crime in public and assisted housing in the city and nearby communities. Although the Oakland post-of-duty station is only about 10 miles from HUD/OIG's San Francisco Regional Office, nationwide experience since the initiation of OSH in 1994 had proven that the best results/impact could be obtained when HUD/OIG Special Agents were physically located in the target city. However, in accordance with the requirements of the Fiscal Year 2002 HUD Appropriations Act (Pub.L. 107-73, approved November 26, 2001), HUD/OIG is terminating OSH and re-deploying staff to focus on investigations involving single-family fraud and property flipping. This

change eliminates the need to maintain a separate post-of-duty station in Oakland, California, and gives HUD/OIG the opportunity to generate cost savings associated with discontinuing an additional office.

Section 7(p) of the Department of Housing and Urban Development Act (42 U.S.C. 3535(p)) provides that a plan for field reorganization, which may involve the closing of any field or regional office, of the Department of Housing and Urban Development may not take effect until 90 days after a cost-benefit analysis of the effect of the plan on the office in question is published in the **Federal Register**. The required cost-benefit analysis should include: (1) An estimate of cost savings anticipated; (2) an estimate of the additional cost which will result from the reorganization; (3) a discussion of the impact on the local economy; and (4) an estimate of the effect of the reorganization on the availability, accessibility, and quality of services provided for recipients of those services.

Legislative history pertaining to section 7(p) indicates that not all reorganizations are subject to the requirements of section 7(p). Congress