

limit. However, because there were no objections from other interested parties and no other parties had requested a review, the Department is rescinding the administrative review of OCTG from Japan for the period August 1, 2000, through July 31, 2001. See *Memorandum for the File through Barbara Tillman, Director, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement VII, from Doug Campau, Analyst: Oil Country Tubular Goods From Japan: Intent to Rescind Administrative Review for the Period of 8/1/00 to 7/31/01*, dated April 22, 2002.¹ The Department will issue appropriate assessment instructions to the U.S. Customs Service (Customs).

This notice serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This determination and notice are issued and published in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4) and sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: May 3, 2002

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Group III.

[FR Doc. 02-11769 Filed 5-9-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-337-804]

Certain Preserved Mushrooms From Chile: Final Results of Antidumping Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Administrative Review.

SUMMARY: On January 4, 2002, the Department of Commerce published the preliminary results of the second administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain

preserved mushrooms from Chile (67 FR 562). The review covers three exporters. The period of review is December 1, 1999, through November 30, 2000.

We received comments on our preliminary results. After consideration of these comments, we have not made any changes in the margin calculations. Therefore, the final results are the same as the preliminary results. The final weighted-average dumping margins for the reviewed firms are listed below in the section entitled "Final Results of Review."

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 10, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

David J. Goldberger or Sophie E. Castro, Office 2, AD/CVD Enforcement Group I, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4136 or (202) 482-0588, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the U.S. Department of Commerce's (the Department's) regulations are to 19 CFR part 351 (2000).

Background

On January 4, 2002, the Department of Commerce published the preliminary results of the second administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain preserved mushrooms from Chile (67 FR 562). This review covers the following companies: Nature's Farm Products (Chile) S.A. (NFC), Ravine Foods Inc. (Ravine), and Compañía Envasadora del Atlantico (CEA). We invited parties to comment on the preliminary results of review. We received a case brief from CEA on February 1, 2002. The petitioner¹ submitted a rebuttal brief on February 11, 2002. CEA's request for a hearing was subsequently withdrawn. We have

¹ The petitioner is the Coalition for Fair Preserved Mushroom Trade which includes the American Mushroom Institute and the following domestic companies: L.K. Bowman, Inc., Nottingham, PA; Modern Mushroom Farms, Inc., Toughkenamon, PA; Monterey Mushrooms, Inc., Watsonville, CA; Mount Laurel Canning Corp., Temple, PA; Mushrooms Canning Company, Kennett Square, PA; Southwood Farms, Hockessin, DE; Sunny Dell Foods, Inc., Oxford, PA; United Canning Corp., North Lima, OH.

conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Act.

Scope of the Order

The products covered by this order are certain preserved mushrooms, whether imported whole, sliced, diced, or as stems and pieces. The preserved mushrooms covered under this order are the species *Agaricus bisporus* and *Agaricus bitorquis*. "Preserved mushrooms" refer to mushrooms that have been prepared or preserved by cleaning, blanching, and sometimes slicing or cutting. These mushrooms are then packed and heated in containers including but not limited to cans or glass jars in a suitable liquid medium, including but not limited to water, brine, butter or butter sauce. Preserved mushrooms may be imported whole, sliced, diced, or as stems and pieces. Included within the scope of this order are "brined" mushrooms, which are presalted and packed in a heavy salt solution to provisionally preserve them for further processing.

Excluded from the scope of this order are the following: (1) All other species of mushroom, including straw mushrooms; (2) all fresh and chilled mushrooms, including "refrigerated" or "quick blanched mushrooms"; (3) dried mushrooms; (4) frozen mushrooms; and (5) "marinated," "acidified" or "pickled" mushrooms, which are prepared or preserved by means of vinegar or acetic acid, but may contain oil or other additives.

The merchandise subject to this order is currently classifiable under subheadings 2003.10.0027, 2003.10.0031, 2003.10.0037, 2003.10.0043, 2003.10.0047, 2003.10.0053, and 0711.90.4000 of the *Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States* (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of this order is dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

We have made no changes to our preliminary results. All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties to this antidumping duty administrative review are addressed in the "Issues and Decision Memorandum" ("Decision Memo") from Richard W. Moreland, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, to Faryar Shirzad, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated May 6, 2002, which is hereby adopted by this notice. A list of the issues which parties have raised and to which we have responded, all of which are in the Decision Memo, is attached to this notice as an

¹ On April 23, 2002, we faxed this memorandum to all interested parties, and informed them of our intent to rescind this review in the very near future. See Memorandum for the File from Christian Hughes, Analyst: Oil Country Tubular Goods From Japan: Notification to Interested Parties of Intent to Rescind, dated April 23, 2002.

Appendix. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum which is on file in the Central Records Unit, room B-099 of the main Department building. In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memo can be accessed directly on the Web at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/>. The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memo are identical in content.

Final Results of Review

We determine that the following margin percentages exist:

Manufacturer/exporter	Margin (percent)
Nature's Farm Products (Chile) S.A.(including merchandise shipped by the Colombian firm Compañía Envasadora del Atlantico)	148.51
Ravine Foods	148.51

Assessment Rates and Cash Deposit Requirements

The Department shall determine, and the Customs Service shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. We will instruct the Customs Service to apply on an importer-specific basis the assessment rates against the customs values for the subject merchandise entered during the review period. We will also instruct the Customs Service to apply a specific rate to all CEA entries manufactured by NFC and sold to CEA.

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of this notice, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rate for the reviewed companies will be the rates indicated above; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rates will continue to be the company-specific rates published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 148.51 percent, the "All Others" rate made effective by the LTFV investigation. These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the

final results of the next administrative review.

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to

liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing this determination and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: May 3, 2002

Faryar Shirzad,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

Appendix List of Issues

Comment 1: Application of Antidumping Duty Margin to Full Value of CEA's Sales

Comment 2: NFC's Knowledge of Export Destination

[FR Doc. 02-11771 Filed 5-9-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-815]

Sulfanilic Acid From the People's Republic of China; Preliminary Results and Preliminary Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 10, 2002.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on sulfanilic

acid from the People's Republic of China. The review covers exports of this merchandise to the United States for the period August 1, 2000 through July 31, 2001, and two firms: Zhenxing Chemical Industry Company (Zhenxing) (also known as Baoding Mancheng Zhenxing Chemical Plant) and Xinyu Chemical Plant (Xinyu) (formerly known as Yude Chemical Industry Company). The preliminary results of this review indicate that there are dumping margins only for Zhenxing. We are preliminarily rescinding the review with respect to Xinyu because Xinyu did not export the subject merchandise to the United States during the period of review (POR). Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results. See "Public Comment" section of this notice. The dumping margins are listed below in the "Preliminary Results of the Review" section of this notice.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Sean Carey or Dana Mermelstein, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue N.W., Washington, DC 20230 at (202) 482-3964 or (202) 482-1391, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Applicable Statute and Regulations:

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act), as amended. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's 32 regulations are to the regulations codified at 19 CFR Part 351 (2001).

Background:

On August 1, 2001, the Department published in the **Federal Register** (66 FR 39729) a notice of "Opportunity to Request Administrative Review" of the antidumping duty order on sulfanilic acid from the People's Republic of China, for the August 1, 2000 through July 31, 2001 period of review (POR). In accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b), Zhenxing requested an administrative review for the aforementioned period on August 27, 2001. Petitioner, Nation Ford Chemical Company, also requested an administrative review of Zhenxing and Xinyu on August 30, 2001. On October 1, 2001, we published a notice of "Initiation of Antidumping Review" that included Zhenxing and Xinyu as part of this administrative review. See 66 FR 49924, which is being conducting pursuant to section 751(a) of the Act.

Zhenxing, a Chinese manufacturer described as a joint venture with U.S.-