Standard No. 114 Theft Protection: activation of the warning buzzer.

Standard No. 118 Power Window Systems: reprogramming of the power window system so that the windows will not operate with the ignition off.

Standard No. 201 Occupant
Protection in Interior Impact: inspection
of each vehicle to ensure that
appropriate components have been
installed to meet the requirements of the
standard, and replacement of any
component that is not a U.S.-model part.
The petitioner states that the
manufacturer has identified the vehicle
as meeting the upper interior head
impact requirements of the standard.

Standard No. 208 Occupant Crash Protection: (a) Activation of the seat belt warning buzzer by reprogramming the unit; (b) inspection of all vehicles and replacement of the driver's and passenger's side air bags, control units, sensors, and seat belts with U.S.-model components on vehicles that are not already so equipped. Petitioner states that the front and rear outboard designated seating positions have combination lap and shoulder belts that are self-tensioning and that release by means of a single red pushbutton. Petitioner further states that the vehicles are equipped with a seat belt warning lamp that is identical to the lamp installed on U.S.-certified models.

Standard No. 214 Side Impact Protection: inspection of all vehicles to ensure that they are equipped with door bars identical to those in the U.S. certified model and installation of those components on vehicles that are not already so equipped.

The petitioner states that a vehicle identification plate must be affixed to the vehicles near the left windshield post and a reference and certification label must be affixed in the area of the left front door post to meet the requirements of 49 CFR Part 565.

Interested persons are invited to submit comments on the petition described above. Comments should refer to the docket number and be submitted to: Docket Management, Room PL–401, 400 Seventh St., SW, Washington, DC 20590. [Docket hours are from 9 am to 5 pm]. It is requested but not required that 10 copies be submitted.

All comments received before the close of business on the closing date indicated above will be considered, and will be available for examination in the docket at the above address both before and after that date. To the extent possible, comments filed after the closing date will also be considered. Notice of final action on the petition will be published in the **Federal** 

**Register** pursuant to the authority indicated below.

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 30141(a)(1)(A) and (b)(1); 49 CFR 593.8; delegations of authority at 49 CFR 1.50 and 501.8.

Issued on: May 29, 2002.

#### Marilynne Jacobs,

Director, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance. [FR Doc. 02–13816 Filed 5–31–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–59–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

# National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. NHTSA 2002-12319 Notice 1]

# Guardian Industries Corporation; Receipt of Application for Determination of Inconsequential Non-Compliance

Guardian Industries Corporation, (Guardian) of Auburn Hills, Michigan has applied to be exempted from the notification and remedy requirements of the 49 U.S.C. Chapter 301 "Motor Vehicle Safety" for noncompliance with Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard (FMVSS) No. 205 "Glazing Materials," on the basis that the noncompliance is inconsequential to motor vehicle safety. Guardian has filed a report of noncompliance pursuant to 49 CFR part 573, "Defect and Noncompliance Information Reports."

This notice of receipt of the application is published under 49 U.S.C. 30118 and 30120 and does not represent any agency decision or other exercise of judgement concerning the merits of the application. *See* 49 U.S.C. 30118(d) and 30120(h).

Guardian submitted the following information in accordance with the requirements of 49 CFR part 556, "Exemption for Inconsequential Defect or Noncompliance."

## **Description of the Noncompliance**

Guardian has determined that 11,562 tempered glass sunroof parts manufactured between November 2000 and February 2001, do not meet the labeling requirements of paragraph S6 of FMVSS No. 205, "Glazing Materials," specifically Section 6 of ANSI Z26 as incorporated by reference. They were not marked with the correct model number. The parts were marked with the manufacturer's model number M-934, which corresponds to a tempered glass with 4.0 mm nominal thickness. The correct manufacturer's model number, should have been M-937, which is tempered glass with a 5.0 mm nominal thickness.

## **Information Supporting the Application**

Guardian submitted a test report indicating the tempered glass parts were in full compliance with 49 CFR 571.205 except that the parts were affixed with the incorrect manufacturer's model number. The noncompliance was discovered during a routine in-house quality control inspection.

Guardian asserts that the noncompliance reported herein could not result in the wrong part being used in an OEM or ARG application given that the part would be ordered by its unique part number not the "M number" (which corresponds to the glass construction from which the part is fabricated).

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments on the petition of Guardian, described above. Comments should refer to the Docket Number and be submitted to: Docket Management, Room PL 401, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590. It is requested that two copies be submitted.

All comments received before the close of business on the closing date, indicated below will be considered. The application and supporting materials, and all comments received after the closing date, will also be filed and will be considered to the extent practicable. When the application is granted or denied, the Notice will be published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to the authority indicated below.

Comment closing date: July 3, 2002. (49 U.S.C. 30118, 30120; delegations of

(49 U.S.C. 30118, 30120; delegations of authority at 49 CFR 1.50 and 49 CFR 501.8)

Issued on: May 23, 2002.

# Stephen R. Kratzke,

Associate Administrator for Safety Performance Standards.

[FR Doc. 02–13754 Filed 5–31–02; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4910–59–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

#### **Surface Transportation Board**

[STB Docket No. AB-33 (Sub-No. 189X)]

## Union Pacific Railroad Company; Abandonment Exemption in Eau Claire County, WI

On May 14, 2002, Union Pacific Railroad Company (UP) filed with the Surface Transportation Board (Board) a petition under 49 U.S.C. 10502 for exemption from the provisions of 49 U.S.C. 10903–05 <sup>1</sup> to abandon a line of

Continued

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In addition to an exemption from 49 U.S.C. 10903, UP seeks exemption from 49 U.S.C. 10904