

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**Federal Energy Regulatory
Commission**

[Docket No. ER02-2114-000]

**Southern California Edison Company;
Notice of Filing**

June 21, 2002.

Take notice that on June 18, 2002, Southern California Edison Company (SCE) submitted a Letter Agreement between SCE and the Industry Urban Development Agency (Industry). The Letter Agreement provides for engineering, design, and preparation of specifications necessary for SCE to install interconnection facilities in order to commence Distribution Service to Industry.

Copies this filing were served upon the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California and Industry.

Any person desiring to intervene or to protest this filing should file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date, and, to the extent applicable, must be served on the applicant and on any other person designated on the official service list. This filing is available for review at the Commission or may be viewed on the Commission's Web site at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "RIMS" link, select "Docket #" and follow the instructions (call 202-208-2222 for assistance). Protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper; see 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site under the "e-Filing" link.

Comment Date: July 9, 2002.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02-16572 Filed 7-01-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**Federal Energy Regulatory
Commission**

[Docket No. CP02-389-000]

**Williams Gas Pipelines Central, Inc.;
Notice of Application**

June 26, 2002.

Take notice that on June 24, 2002, Williams Gas Pipelines Central, Inc. (Williams), P.O. Box 20008, Owensboro, Kentucky 42304, filed in Docket No. CP02-389-000, for permission and approval pursuant to section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA) to abandon certain pipeline facilities in Johnson County, Kansas, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. This filing may be viewed on the web at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "RIMS" link, select "Docket #" from the RIMS Menu and follow the instructions (call (202) 208-2222 for assistance).

Specifically, Williams proposes to abandon in place approximately 3.9 miles of 16-inch pipeline (known as Line XQ) in Johnson County. It is stated that the proposed abandonment is part of Williams' ongoing maintenance plan and is being abandoned because of its age and high maintenance costs. It is asserted that no customers will lose service as a result of the abandonment and that the customers receiving service at 4 active domestic taps along this segment of pipeline will either be relocated and connected to Williams' Line XE 26-inch parallel line or converted to service from Greeley Gas, a local distribution company. It is explained that the estimated cost associated with the proposed abandonment is \$250,000.

Any questions regarding this amendment should be directed to David N. Roberts, Manager of Certificates and Tariffs, Texas Gas Transmission Corporation, P. O. Box 20008, Owensboro, Kentucky 42304, at (270) 688-6712.

There are two ways to become involved in the Commission's review of this project. First, any person wishing to obtain legal status by becoming a party to the proceedings for this project should, on or before July 8, 2002, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations

under the NGA (18 CFR 157.10). A person obtaining party status will be placed on the service list maintained by the Secretary of the Commission and will receive copies of all documents filed by the applicant and by all other parties. A party must submit 14 copies of filings made with the Commission and must mail a copy to the applicant and to every other party in the proceeding. Only parties to the proceeding can ask for court review of Commission orders in the proceeding.

However, a person does not have to intervene in order to have comments considered. The second way to participate is by filing with the Secretary of the Commission, as soon as possible, an original and two copies of comments in support of or in opposition to this project. The Commission will consider these comments in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but the filing of a comment alone will not serve to make the filer a party to the proceeding. The Commission's rules require that persons filing comments in opposition to the project provide copies of their protests only to the party or parties directly involved in the protest.

Persons who wish to comment only on the environmental review of this project should submit an original and two copies of their comments to the Secretary of the Commission. Environmental commenters will be placed on the Commission's environmental mailing list, will receive copies of the environmental documents, and will be notified of meetings associated with the Commission's environmental review process. Environmental commenters will not be required to serve copies of filed documents on all other parties. However, the non-party commenters will not receive copies of all documents filed by other parties or issued by the Commission (except for the mailing of environmental documents issued by the Commission) and will not have the right to seek court review of the Commission's final order.

The Commission may issue a preliminary determination on non-environmental issues prior to the completion of its review of the environmental aspects of the project. This preliminary determination typically considers such issues as the need for the project and its economic effect on existing customers of the applicant, on other pipelines in the area, and on landowners and communities. For example, the Commission considers the extent to which the applicant may need to exercise eminent domain to obtain rights-of-way for the proposed