

- MDA250, SV Defense Finance and Accounting Service, Acquisition Services Directorate—Denver, 6760 East Irvington Place, Denver, CO 80279–8000 (ZF25)
- MDA260, ST Defense Finance and Accounting Service, Acquisition Services Directorate—Indianapolis, 8899 East 56th Street, Building 1, Room 216, Indianapolis, IN 46249–0240 (ZF26)
- MDA280, SY Defense Finance and Accounting Service, Acquisition Services Directorate—Kansas City, 1500 East 95th Street, Kansas City, MO 64197–0001 (ZF28)
- MDA410, DR DoD Education Activity, ATTN: Procurement Division, 4040 North Fairfax Drive, 4th Floor, Arlington, VA 22203–1635 (ZK10)
- MDA412, 9Y DoD Education Activity, European Procurement Office, CMR 443, Box 6000, APO AE 09096–9649 (ZK12)
- MDA414, Y4 DoD Education Activity, Education Supplies Procurement Office, 101 Buford Road, Richmond, VA 23235–5292 (ZK14)
- MDA416, YT DoD Education Activity, Pacific Procurement Division, Unit 35007, APO AP 96376 (ZK16)
- MDA904 Maryland Procurement Office, ATTN: N363, 9800 Savage Road, Fort George G. Meade, MD 20755–6000 (ZD04)
- MDA905, B4 Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences, ATTN: Directorate of Contracting, 4301 Jones Bridge Road, Bethesda, MD 20814–4799 (ZD05)
- MDA906, U5 TRICARE Management Activity, Contract Management Directorate, 16401 East Centretch Parkway, Aurora, CO 80011–9043 (ZD06)
- MDA907 Purchasing and Contracting Office, Menwith Hill Station, APO AE 09210 (ZD07)
- MDA908, 2X Virginia Contracting Activity, ATTN: DAP, PO Box 46563, Washington, DC 20050–6563 (ZD50)
- MDA928 Armed Forces Radiobiology Research Institute, ATTN: Directorate of Contracting, USUHS, 4301 Jones Bridge Road, Bethesda, MD 20814–4799 (ZD28)
- MDA946 Washington Headquarters Services, Real Estate and Facilities Directorate, ATTN: REFCO, The Pentagon—Butler Building, 1155 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301–1155 (ZD46)
- MDA947, DP Pentagon Renovation Office, 100 Boundary Channel Drive, Arlington, VA 22202–3712 (ZD47)
- MDA972, WS DARPA, Contracts Management Office, 3701 North Fairfax Drive, Arlington, VA 22203–1714 (ZD72)
- Part 11—Defense Microelectronics Activity Address Number**
- DMEA90, 2P Defense Microelectronics Activity, ATTN: Contracting Office, 4234–54th Street, McClellan, CA 95652–2100 (ZD90)
- Part 12—Missile Defense Agency Activity Address Numbers**
- HQ0006, SS Missile Defense Agency, Contracts Directorate, ATTN: CT, 7100 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301–7100 (ZD60)

H95001, VV Joint National Integration Center, Contract Management, 730 Irwin Avenue, Schriever Air Force Base, CO 80912–7300 (ZD61)

Part 13—Defense Commissary Agency Activity Address Numbers

- DECA01, ZG Defense Commissary Agency, Resale Contracting Division, ATTN: DeCA/PSMC, 1300 E Avenue, Fort Lee, VA 23801–1800 (ZD81)
- DECA02, ZT Defense Commissary Agency, Resale Contracting Division, ATTN: DeCA/PSMC, 1300 E Avenue, Fort Lee, VA 23801–1800 (ZD82)
- DECA03, 0H Defense Commissary Agency, Eastern Region, CIBA Contracting Division, 5151 Bonney Road, Suite 201, Virginia Beach, VA 23462–4314 (ZD83)
- DECA04, BE Defense Commissary Agency, Contracting Business Unit, Equip/Supply & Revenue, ATTN: DeCA/CICE, 1300 E Avenue, Fort Lee, VA 23801–1800 (ZD84)
- DECA06, 0J Defense Commissary Agency, Midwest Region, ATTN: DeCA/MW/RDCC, 300 AFCOMS Way, Building 3030, San Antonio, TX 78226–1330 (ZD86)
- DECA07, 0Z Defense Commissary Agency, Western/Pacific Region, ATTN: DeCA/WP/RDCC, 3401 Acacia Street, Suite 115, McClellan, CA 95652–1002 (ZD87)
- DECA08, 0K Defense Commissary Agency, Contracting Business Unit, Services Division, ATTN: DeCA/CICS, 1300 E Avenue, Fort Lee, VA 23801–1800 (ZD88)
- DECA09, 0U Defense Commissary Agency, European Region, ATTN: DeCA/EU/AM, Unit 3060, APO AE 09094–3060 (ZD89)

Part 14—[Amended]

11. Appendix G to Chapter 2 is amended in Part 14 by removing entries USZA24, USZA25, USZA90, USZA91, USZA93, and USZA98.

[FR Doc. 02–19084 Filed 7–29–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001–08–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

48 CFR Parts 209, 243, and 252

[DFARS Case 99–D303]

Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement; Institutions of Higher Education

AGENCY: Department of Defense (DoD).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: DoD has adopted as final, with minor changes, an interim rule amending the Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS) to implement Section 549 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2000. Section 549 amends statutory provisions pertaining to the denial of Federal contracts and grants to institutions of higher education that prevent Senior Reserve Officer Training Corps (ROTC) access or military recruiting on campus.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 30, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Susan Schneider, Defense Acquisition Regulations Council, OUSD (AT&L) DP (DAR), IMD 3C132, 3062 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301–3062. Telephone (703) 602–0326; facsimile (703) 602–0350. Please cite DFARS Case 99–D303.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. Background

This rule implements Section 549 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2000 (Public Law 106–65). Section 549 amends 10 U.S.C. 983 to prohibit DoD from providing funds by contract or grant to an institution of higher education (including any subelement of that institution) if the Secretary of Defense determines that the institution (or any subelement of the institution) has a policy or practice that prohibits, or in effect prevents, Senior ROTC units or military recruiting on campus.

DoD published an interim rule at 65 FR 2056 on January 13, 2000. Sixty-one sources submitted comments on the interim rule. After evaluating all comments, DoD converted the interim rule to a final rule with minor editorial changes. A summary of the comments and the DoD response is provided below:

Comment: The greatest concern expressed by respondents pertained to the extension of the prohibition to subelements of educational institutions. The respondents stated that Section 549 of Public Law 106–65 was intended to recodify prior legislation, which did not require extension of the prohibition to subelements; prior legislative history did not support extension to subelements; and the fact that the subelement language was contained in the Senate report but not in the House report, and that the Senate had receded to the House version of the bill, meant that DoD could not rely upon the Senate report as a basis for extending the rule to subelements of an institution.

DoD Response: Senate and House Committee reports generally are taken into consideration to determine legislative intent only when the statutory language is unclear. The final language of Section 549 of Public Law 106–65 clearly states that the policy applies to any subelement of an institution. A comparison of the previous and current legislation shows that the subelement language was a new addition to the statute resulting from the enactment of Section 549.

Comment: Several respondents believed that DoD did not have

sufficient cause to issue an interim rule without prior opportunity for public comment. *DoD Response:* Section 549 of Public Law 106–65 became effective upon enactment, on October 5, 1999. DoD issued a rule before receipt of public comments to promptly comply with the new statutory requirement.

Comment: One respondent stated that the rule calls for suspension and debarment without due process and asked what procedure exists for an educational institution to challenge a determination. *DoD Response:* This DFARS rule merely prescribes the action to be taken after an institution is determined to be ineligible for DoD contract awards. The procedures used to make that determination are addressed separately in the regulations at 32 CFR part 216.

Comment: One respondent stated that the requirements for stopping payments and terminating existing contracts go far beyond traditional suspension and debarment. *DoD Response:* These requirements are not new. They were added to the DFARS in 1995 to comply with Section 558 of Public Law 103–337, which prohibited DoD from providing funds to educational institutions that prevented military recruiting on campus. The current legislation contains a similar prohibition.

This rule was not subject to Office of Management and Budget review under Executive Order 12866, dated September 30, 1993.

B. Regulatory Flexibility Act

DoD certifies that this final rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities within the meaning of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601, *et seq.*, because the rule applies only to institutions of higher education that do not permit Senior ROTC units or military recruiting on campus.

C. Paperwork Reduction Act

The Paperwork Reduction Act does not apply because the rule does not impose any information collection requirements that require the approval of the Office of Management and Budget under 44 U.S.C. 3501, *et seq.*

List of Subjects in 48 CFR Parts 209, 243, and 252

Government procurement.

Michele P. Peterson,
Executive Editor, Defense Acquisition Regulations Council.

Interim Rule Adopted as Final With Changes

Accordingly, the interim rule amending 48 CFR Parts 209, 243, and 252, which was published at 65 FR 2056 on January 13, 2000, is adopted as a final rule with the following changes:

1. The authority citation for 48 CFR parts 209, 243, and 252 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 41 U.S.C. 421 and 48 CFR Chapter 1.

PART 209—CONTRACTOR QUALIFICATIONS

209.470–3 [Amended]

2. Section 209.470–3 is amended in paragraphs (b)(1), (2), and (3) by removing “Must” and adding in its place “Shall”.

[FR Doc. 02–19081 Filed 7–29–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001–08–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

48 CFR Parts 215 and 253

[DFARS Case 2002–D012]

Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement; Weighted Guidelines Form

AGENCY: Department of Defense (DoD).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: DoD has issued a final rule amending the Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS) to revise the information on DD Form 1547, Record of Weighted Guidelines Application. The revisions correspond to changes to DoD profit policy published in the **Federal Register** on April 26, 2002.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 30, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Sandra Haberlin, OUSD (AT&L) DP (DAR), IMD 3C132, 3062 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301–3062. Telephone (703) 602–0289; facsimile (703) 602–0350. Please cite DFARS Case 2002–D012.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. Background

DoD published a final rule in the **Federal Register** on April 26, 2002 (67 FR 20688), under DFARS Case 2000–

D018. That rule amended policy for computation of profit objectives by reducing the value assigned to facilities capital employed for equipment, eliminating the values assigned to facilities capital employed for buildings, increasing the values for performance risk, and adding a special factor for cost efficiency.

This final rule amends DD Form 1547, Record of Weighted Guidelines Application, and corresponding completion instructions, to reflect the changes to profit policy published on April 26, 2002.

DD Form 1547, and other forms prescribed by the DFARS, are not included in the Code of Federal Regulations. The forms are available electronically via the Internet at <http://web1.whs.osd.mil/icdhome/ddeforms.htm>.

This rule was not subject to Office of Management and Budget review under Executive Order 12866, dated September 30, 1993.

B. Regulatory Flexibility Act

This rule will not have a significant cost or administrative impact on contractors or offerors, or a significant effect beyond the internal operating procedures of DoD. Therefore, publication for public comment is not required. However, DoD will consider comments from small entities concerning the affected DFARS subparts in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 610. Such comments should cite DFARS Case 2002–D012.

C. Paperwork Reduction Act

The Paperwork Reduction Act does not apply because the rule does not impose any information collection requirements that require the approval of the Office of Management and Budget under 44 U.S.C. 3501, *et seq.*

List of Subjects in 48 CFR Parts 215 and 253

Government procurement.

Michele P. Peterson,
Executive Editor, Defense Acquisition Regulations Council.

Therefore, 48 CFR Parts 215 and 253 are amended as follows:

1. The authority citation for 48 CFR Parts 215 and 253 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 41 U.S.C. 421 and 48 CFR Chapter 1.

PART 215—CONTRACTING BY NEGOTIATION

2. Section 215.404–71–2 is amended in paragraph (b) introductory text by revising the table to read as follows: