involved that are identified as historic, unless it obtains evidence that there would be no adverse effect, and both ACHP and the SHPO agree with the Board that abandonment of the Enola Branch would adversely affect historic sites and structures.

### D. Mitigation Phase

In order to develop appropriate mitigation, SEA requests additional information from all consulting parties regarding the physical condition of the Enola Branch. After the court issued its decision in FAST, SEA requested a description of the current condition of the rail line from Norfolk Southern Corporation (NS), which acquired the Enola Branch from Conrail in 1998.7 NS submitted a letter stating that the road bed and embankments of the rail line are still intact, though there is substantial overgrowth in the area. While NS indicated that the Enola Branch has been subject to periodic inspections for right-of-way clean up and Amtrak's maintenance of certain power lines, NS stated that there has been no comprehensive inspection of the rail line and associated structures in the last 10 years.

The Enola Branch originally included 83 bridges, prior to Conrail's application for abandonment. In its letter, NS stated that approximately 65 grade-separated structures on the line remain in place and are in different states of usability. According to NS, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission has served orders for removal, conveyance to local municipalities, or assumption of maintenance responsibilities by the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, regarding bridge structures on the rail line.

As the ICC explained in its decision adopting the rules that continue to govern the Board's implementation of the NHPA,<sup>8</sup> the agency's ability to protect historic properties is very limited. The Board cannot deny authority for a railroad to take an action that would otherwise meet the relevant statutory criteria solely on the ground that it would adversely affect historic resources. Moreover, with respect to rail line abandonments, the Board can

impose historic preservation conditions only to the extent that the particular property is owned by the railroad seeking abandonment (either full ownership in fee or a long-term interest in the property) and the property has a sufficient nexus to the proposal under review. When the Board imposes historical preservation conditions on particular property, the Board cannot force the applicant to sell or donate its property, or impose a restrictive covenant upon the deed. Essentially, documentation of the historic resources (taking photographs or preparing a history) before they are altered or removed is the only form of nonconsensual mitigation the Board can require. Although the Board has limited authority to protect historic properties, if the consulting parties agree to undertake additional mitigation beyond what the Board may require (such as preservation of a resource), such consensual mitigation can be incorporated in the MOA.

As stated above, in the 1990's a proposed MOA was developed for the Enola Branch that would have provided for photographic documentation of all of the historic bridges to Pennsylvania's state standards, and the development of a public, interpretative display, in the form of a 6–8 minute video, outlining the history of the Enola Branch. SEA specifically requests comments on whether the provisions of this previously developed MOA proposal would constitute appropriate mitigation at this time and, if not, suggestions for additional or alternative mitigation measures.

### E. Formulation of an MOA

Based on public comment in response to this Notice and other input that SEA receives from the SHPO, ACHP, the railroad and others, SEA expects over the next several months to develop, in conjunction with the consulting parties, appropriate measures to avoid, minimize, or mitigate adverse effects on the historic properties identified in this case. After such mitigation measures have been determined, SEA will incorporate the proposed mitigation into an MOA and then circulate, and—as required under the law-seek public comment on the MOA. SEA requests comments on how it can best publicize the proposed MOA. Once an MOA is signed, the NHPA review in this case will be complete in accordance with the NHPA and the court's decision, and the section 106 condition imposed in this case can be removed.<sup>9</sup>

### III. Comments

SEA specifically invites comments from consulting parties and members of the public on the following:

1. Identification of additional consulting parties;

2. Any need for further assessment of adverse effects on the line;

3. Appropriate mitigation measures (including comments on the measures specified in the earlier MOA and suggestions for additional or alternative measures, as well as information regarding the current condition of the rail line);

4. Methods or outlets for publicizing a proposed MOA; and

<sup>5</sup>. Åny other pertinent issues relevant to this proceeding.

Decided: October 15, 2002.

By the Board, Victoria Rutson, Chief, Section of Environmental Analysis.

#### Vernon A. Williams,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02–27111 Filed 10–23–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4915–00–P

## DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

#### **Fiscal Service**

### Financial Management Service; Proposed Collection of Information: Minority Bank Deposit Program Certification Form for Admission

**AGENCY:** Financial Management Service, Fiscal Service, Treasury.

**ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The Financial Management Service, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on a continuing information collection. By this notice, the Financial Management Service solicits comments concerning form FMS 3144 "Minority Bank Deposit Certification Form for Admission." **DATES:** Written comments should be received on or before December 23, 2002.

**ADDRESSES:** Direct all written comments to Financial Management Service, 3700 East West Highway, Records and Information Management Staff, Room 135, Hyattsville, Maryland 20782.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> On June 23, 1997, NS and CSX Transportation Inc. sought permission from the Board to acquire Conrail and to divide its assets between them. On July 23, 1998, the Board approved the Conrail Acquisition. CSX Corp., et al. & Norfolk Southern Corp., et al.—Control and Operation Leases/ Agreements—Conrail Inc., et al., STB Finance Docket No. 33388 (decision No. 89) (STB served July 23, 1998). The Pennsylvania Rail Lines LLC, a subsidiary of Conrail, now owns the Enola Branch and leases it to NS.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See Implementation of Environmental Laws, 7 I.C.C.2d 807, 828–29 (1991).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Agency officials and consulting parties can expedite the section 106 process by addressing

multiple steps simultaneously where appropriate, as long as the consulting parties and the public have an adequate opportunity to express their views and the SHPO (and Tribal Historic Preservation Officer(s), when involved) agree that it is appropriate. *See FAST*, 252 F.3d at 252; 36 CFR 800.3(g).

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Requests for additional information or copies of the form(s) and instructions should be directed to Martha Thomas-Mitchell, Risk Management Division, 401 14th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20227, (202) 874–6757.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)), the Financial Management Service solicits comments on the collection of information described below.

*Title:* Minority Bank Deposit Program Certification Form for Admission. *OMB Number:* 1510–0048.

Form Number: FMS 3144.

*Abstract:* This form is used by financial institutions to apply for participation in Minority Bank Deposit Program. Institutions approved for acceptance in the program are entitled to special assistance and guidance from Federal agencies, State and local governments, and private sector organizations.

*Current Actions:* Extension of currently approved collection.

*Type of Review:* Regular.

*Affected Public:* Business or other forprofit institutions.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 150.

*Estimated Time Per Respondent:* 30 minutes.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 75.

Comments: Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval. All comments will become a matter of public record. Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; and (e) estimates of capital or start-up costs and costs of operation, maintenance and purchase of services to provide information.

### Bettsy Lane,

Assistant Commissioner, Federal Finance. [FR Doc. 02–27112 Filed 10–23–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4810–35–M

# DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

**Fiscal Service** 

## Surety Companies Acceptable on Federal Bonds: Termination—Oriska Insurance Company

**AGENCY:** Financial Management Service, Fiscal Service, Department of the Treasury.

ACTION: Notice.

**SUMMARY:** This is Supplement No. 2 to the Treasury Department Circular 570; 2002 Revision, published July 1, 2002 at 67 FR 44294.

### **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Surety Bond Branch at (202) 874–6507.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Notice is hereby given that the Certificate of Authority issued by the Treasury to the above named Company, under the United States Code, Title 31, Sections 9304–9308, to qualify as an acceptable surety on Federal bonds is terminated effective today.

The Company was last listed as an acceptable surety on Federal bonds at 67 FR 44323, July 1, 2002.

With respect to any bonds, including continuous bonds, currently in force with above listed Company, bondapproving officers should secure new bonds with acceptable sureties in those instances where a significant amount of liability remains outstanding. In addition, in no event, should bonds that are continuous in nature be renewed.

The Circular may be viewed and downloaded through the Internet at *http://www.fms.treas.gov/c570/ index.html.* A hard copy may be purchased from the Government Printing Office (GPO), Subscription Service, Washington, DC, telephone (202) 512–1800. When ordering the Circular from GPO, use the following stock number: 769–004–04067–1.

Questions concerning this notice may be directed to the U.S. Department of the Treasury, Financial Management Service, Financial Accounting and Services Division, Surety Bond Branch, 3700 East-West Highway, Room 6F07, Hyattsville, MD 20782.

Dated: October 8, 2002.

### Judith R. Tillman,

Assistant Commissioner, Financial Operations, financial Management Service. [FR Doc. 02–27113 Filed 10–23–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4810–35–M

# DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

### **Internal Revenue Service**

[FI-27-89; FI-61-91]

# Proposed Collection; Comment Request for Regulation Project

**AGENCY:** Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Treasury.

**ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of the Treasury, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Pub. L. 104-13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)). Currently, the IRS is soliciting comments concerning an existing final regulation, FI-27-89 (TD 8366), Real Estate Mortgage Conduits; Reporting **Requirements and Other Administrative** Matters, and FI-61-91 (TD 8431), Allocation of Allocable Investment Expense; Original Issue Discount Reporting Requirements (1.67–3, 1.860D-4, 1.860F-4, 1.6049-4 and 1.6049-7).

**DATES:** Written comments should be received on or before December 23, 2002 to be assured of consideration.

**ADDRESSES:** Direct all written comments to Glenn Kirkland, Internal Revenue Service, room 6411, 1111 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20224.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Requests for additional information or copies of this regulation should be directed to Allan Hopkins, (202) 622– 6665, or through the internet (*Allan.M.Hopkins@irs.gov*), Internal Revenue Service, room 6407, 1111 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20224.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*Title:* FI–27–89, Real Estate Mortgage Investment Conduits; Reporting Requirements and Other Administrative Matters, and FI–61–91, Allocation of Allocable Investment Expense; Original Issue Discount Reporting Requirements. *OMB Number:* 1545–1018.

*Regulation Project Number:* FI–27–89 and FI–61–91.

*Abstract:* The regulations prescribe the manner in which an entity elects to be taxed as a real estate mortgage investment conduit (REMIC) and the filing requirements for REMICs and certain brokers.

*Current Actions:* There is no change to these existing regulations.