support of each technical section are covered by an existing paperwork clearance under OMB control number 0910–0032. FDA is seeking new paperwork clearance for the cover letter, table of contents, and summary that should accompany each submission. The cover letter identifying the submission as a ''phased submission'' should: (1) Describe briefly the purpose of the submission and the information contained in it, (2) reference or attach any pertinent documentation regarding previous agreements or understandings between the sponsor and CVM, (3) identify persons CVM may contact regarding any specifics of the submission, and (4) convey any other information the sponsor considers important or necessary to facilitate the review of the submission. There are potentially eight technical sections: Chemistry, manufacturing and controls; effectiveness; target animal safety; human food safety; environmental impact; labeling; freedom of information (FOI) summary; and, all other information.

After a sponsor has received technical section complete letters for each technical section containing information required for the approval of the new animal drug, the sponsor may file an administrative NADA. The administrative NADA should include a cover letter identifying the submission as an ''Administrative NADA,'' a signed FDA Form 356V, a table of contents, summary, copies of the technical section complete letters for each required technical section, complete facsimile labeling, and the FOI summary.

The cover letters that should be provided with each submission and with the administrative NADA and the copies of technical section complete letters represent new paperwork.

FDA estimates the burden of this collection of information as follows:

TABLE 1.—ESTIMATED ANNUAL REPORTING BURDEN[1]

	No. of Respondents	Annual Frequency per Response	Total Annual Responses	Hours per Response	Total Hours
Administrative NADA applications	190	.24	47	4	188
Phased submissions	190	1.31	250	2	500

[1] There are no capital costs or operating and maintenance costs associated with this collection of information.

FDA averaged the number of administrative NADA applications and phased submissions for the past 2 years. Hours per response took into account that cover letters submitted summarized information contained in the submission and did not require any new information.

IV. Comments

The draft guidance document is being distributed for comment purposes only and is not intended for implementation at this time. Interested persons may submit to the Dockets Management Branch (see **ADDRESSES**) written or electronic comments regarding this draft guidance document. Submit electronic comments to http://www.fda.gov/dockets/ecomments. Two copies of any mailed comments are to be submitted, except that individuals may submit one copy. Comments are to be identified with the docket number found in brackets in the heading of this document.

Submit written comments concerning the information collection requirements to the Dockets Management Branch. A copy of the document and received comments may be seen in the Dockets Management Branch between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

V. Electronic Access

Electronic comments may be submitted on the Internet at http://www.fda.gov/dockets/ecomments. Once on the Internet site, select 02D–0449 ''The Administrative New Animal Drug Application Process'' and follow the directions. A copy of this document may be obtained on the Internet from the CVM home page at http://www.fda.gov/cvm.

Dated: October 28, 2002.

Margaret M. Dotzel,

Associate Commissioner for Policy.

[FR Doc. 02–28257 Filed 11–5–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160–01–S

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Health Resources and Services Administration

Notice of Senior Executive Service Performance Review Board Membership

The Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) announces the appointment of members to the HRSA Senior Executive Service (SES) Performance Review Board (PRB). This action is being taken in accordance with Title 5, U.S.C., Section 4314(c)(4) of the Civil Service Reform Act of 1978, which requires members of performance review boards to be published in the **Federal Register**.

The function of the PRB is to ensure consistency, stability and objectivity in SES performance appraisals, and to make recommendations to the Administrator, HRSA, relating to the performance of senior executives in the Agency.

The following persons will serve on the HRSA SES Performance Review Board:

Dennis P. Williams, Neil Sampson, Stephen R. Smith, Katherine M. Marconi, Mary J. Horner, Douglas Morgan, Patricia L. Mackey, Catherine A. Flickinger, Merle G. McPherson, William D. Hobson, Marcia K. Brand, Peter C. van Dyck, J. Henry Montes, James Macrae, Jon L. Nelson, Denise H. Geolot, Samuel Shekar, Kerry Nesseler, Deborah Parham.

For further information about the HRSA Performance Review Board, contact Ms. Wendy Ponton, HRSA Office of Human Resources and Development, 5600 Fishers Lane, Room 14A43, Rockville, Maryland 20857.

Dated: October 30, 2002.

Elizabeth M. Duke,

Administrator.

[FR Doc. 02–28153 Filed 11–5–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4165–15–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

National Institutes of Health

Proposed Collection; Comment Request; Patterns and Consequences of Alcohol Use in Non-Reservation Indians

SUMMARY: In compliance with the requirement of Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, regarding the opportunity for public