

registered in that country, and that the registration is in full force and effect. The certification or copy of the foreign registration must show the name of the owner, the mark, and the goods or services for which the mark is registered. If the foreign registration is not in the English language, the applicant must submit a translation.

(iii) If the record indicates that the foreign registration will expire before the United States registration will issue, the applicant must submit a true copy, a photocopy, a certification, or a certified copy from the country of origin to establish that the foreign registration has been renewed and will be in force at the time the United States registration will issue. If the foreign registration is not in the English language, the applicant must submit a translation.

\* \* \* \* \*

(4) \* \* \*

(ii) The applicant's verified statement that it has a bona fide intention to use the mark in commerce on or in connection with the goods or services listed in the application. If the verification is not filed with the initial application, the verified statement must allege that the applicant had a bona fide intention to use the mark in commerce as of the filing date of the application.

\* \* \* \* \*

9. Amend § 2.119 by revising paragraph (d) to read as follows:

**§ 2.119 Service and signing of papers.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(d) If a party to an inter partes proceeding is not domiciled in the United States and is not represented by an attorney or other authorized representative located in the United States, the party may designate by document filed in the United States Patent and Trademark Office the name and address of a person resident in the United States on whom may be served notices or process in the proceeding. If the party has appointed a domestic representative, official communications of the United States Patent and Trademark Office will be addressed to the domestic representative unless the proceeding is being prosecuted by an attorney at law or other qualified person duly authorized under § 10.14(c) of this subchapter. If the party has not appointed a domestic representative and the proceeding is not being prosecuted by an attorney at law or other qualified person, the Office will send correspondence directly to the party, unless the party designates in writing another address to which correspondence is to be sent. The mere designation of a domestic representative

does not authorize the person designated to prosecute the proceeding unless qualified under § 10.14(a), or qualified under § 10.14(b) and authorized under § 2.17(b).

\* \* \* \* \*

10. Amend § 2.161 by removing paragraph (h), and by revising paragraph (g)(2) to read as follows:

\* \* \* \* \*

(g) \* \* \*

(2) Be flat and no larger than 8½ inches (21.6 cm.) wide by 11.69 inches (29.7 cm.) long. If a specimen exceeds these size requirements (a "bulky specimen"), the Office will create a facsimile of the specimen that meets the requirements of the rule (*i.e.*, is flat and no larger than 8½ inches (21.6 cm.) wide by 11.69 inches (29.7 cm.) long) and put it in the file wrapper.

11. Amend § 2.183 by removing paragraph (d); and redesignating paragraphs (e) and (f) as (d) and (e).

**PART 3—ASSIGNMENT, RECORDING AND RIGHTS OF ASSIGNEE**

12. The authority citation for 37 CFR part 3 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 15 U.S.C. 1123; 35 U.S.C. 2(b)(2).

13. Amend § 3.31 by removing paragraph (a)(7) and redesignating paragraph (a)(8) as paragraph (a)(7).

14. Revise § 3.61 to read as follows:

**§ 3.61 Domestic representative.**

If the assignee of a patent, patent application, trademark application or trademark registration is not domiciled in the United States, the assignee may designate a domestic representative in a document filed in the United States Patent and Trademark Office. The designation should state the name and address of a person residing within the United States on whom may be served process or notice of proceedings affecting the application, patent or registration or rights thereunder.

Dated: December 20, 2002.

**James E. Rogan,**

*Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property and Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office.*

[FR Doc. 02-32801 Filed 12-27-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-16-P**

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

**40 CFR Part 52**

[KY 125-2-200308(a); FRL-7430-9]

**Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans for Kentucky: Air Permit Regulations**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Direct final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The EPA is approving revisions to the State Implementation Plan (SIP) of the Commonwealth of Kentucky which separate rule 401 KAR 50:035 into several rules based on the type of air permit, and renumber and rewrite in plain English rule 401 KAR 50:032 and the resulting rules from 401 KAR 50:035. The EPA is also removing 401 KAR 50:030 from the Kentucky SIP and correcting typographical errors in a separate, related action addressing rule 401 KAR 52:080, "Regulatory limit on potential to emit."

**DATES:** This direct final rule is effective February 28, 2003 without further notice, unless EPA receives adverse comment by January 29, 2003. If adverse comment is received, EPA will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule in the **Federal Register** and inform the public that the rule will not take effect.

**ADDRESSES:** All comments should be addressed to: Michele Notarianni, Air Planning Branch, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 4, 61 Forsyth Street, SW, Atlanta, Georgia 30303-8960. (404/562-9031 (phone) or [notarianni.michele@epa.gov](mailto:notarianni.michele@epa.gov) (e-mail).)

Copies of the Commonwealth's submittal are available at the following addresses for inspection during normal business hours:

Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, Air Planning Branch, 61 Forsyth Street, SW, Atlanta, Georgia 30303-8960. (Michele Notarianni, 404/562-9031, [notarianni.michele@epa.gov](mailto:notarianni.michele@epa.gov))  
Commonwealth of Kentucky, Division for Air Quality, 803 Schenkel Lane, Frankfort, Kentucky 40601-1403. (502/573-3382)

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Michele Notarianni at the address listed above or 404/562-9031 (phone) or [notarianni.michele@epa.gov](mailto:notarianni.michele@epa.gov) (e-mail).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**I. Today's Action**

The EPA is approving revisions to the State Implementation Plan (SIP) of the Commonwealth of Kentucky submitted on March 15, 2001. These revisions

separate rule 401 KAR 50:035 into several rules based on the type of air permit, and renumber and rewrite in plain English the resulting regulations. The revisions also rewrite in plain English rule 401 KAR 50:032 and renumber it as 401 KAR 52:090. Today's action fully approves a total of four rules into the Kentucky SIP. The four rules that EPA is adding to the SIP are: 401 KAR 52:001: "Definitions for 401 KAR Chapter 52," 401 KAR 52:030: "Federally-enforceable permits for non-major sources" 401 KAR 52:090: "Prohibitory rule for hot mix asphalt plants," and 401 KAR 52:100: "Public, affected state, and U.S. EPA review." The two rules being replaced by these four, new rules are 401 KAR 50:032, "Prohibitory rule for hot mix asphalt plants," and 401 KAR 50:035, "Permits," which are listed under Chapter 50, "General Administrative Procedures."

Also under Chapter 50, EPA is removing 401 KAR 50:030, "Registration of sources," from the list of EPA-approved Kentucky regulations because it is a nonregulatory provision and has no basis for inclusion in the SIP. In addition, EPA is correcting typographical errors in a separate, related action by replacing all references to rule, "401 KAR 50:080," with the correct citation, "401 KAR 52:080." (See 67 FR 53312, August 15, 2002.) In this earlier action, the Agency conditionally approved, but incorrectly cited, Rule 401 KAR 52:080: "Regulatory limit on potential to emit," which was submitted as part of the March 15, 2001, package as one of the rules resulting from the rewrite of 401 KAR 50:035.

## II. Final Action

The EPA is approving four rules, 401 KAR 52:001, 401 KAR 52:030, 401 KAR 52:090, and 401 KAR 52:100, in a new Chapter 52 into the Kentucky SIP and deleting the following, three rules in their entirety: 401 KAR 50:030, 401 KAR 50:032, and 401 KAR 50:035. The EPA is also correcting typographical errors in a separate, related action addressing rule 401 KAR 52:080, "Regulatory limit on potential to emit." The EPA is approving these changes because they are consistent with the Clean Air Act and EPA policy.

The EPA is publishing this rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial submittal and anticipates no adverse comments. However, in the proposed rules section of this **Federal Register** publication, EPA is publishing a separate document that will serve as the proposal to approve the SIP revision should adverse comments be filed. This

rule will be effective February 28, 2003 without further notice unless the Agency receives adverse comments by January 29, 2003.

If the EPA receives such comments, then EPA will publish a document withdrawing the final rule and informing the public that the rule will not take effect. All public comments received will then be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on the proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period. Parties interested in commenting should do so at this time. If no such comments are received, the public is advised that this rule will be effective on February 28, 2003 and no further action will be taken on the proposed rule.

## III. Administrative Requirements

Under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993), this action is not a "significant regulatory action" and therefore is not subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget. For this reason, this action is also not subject to Executive Order 13211, "Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use" (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001). This action merely approves state law as meeting Federal requirements and imposes no additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. Accordingly, the Administrator certifies that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*). Because this rule approves pre-existing requirements under state law and does not impose any additional enforceable duty beyond that required by state law, it does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-4).

This rule also does not have tribal implications because it will not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000). This action also does not have Federalism implications because it does not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government, as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255,

August 10, 1999). This action merely approves a state rule implementing a Federal standard, and does not alter the relationship or the distribution of power and responsibilities established in the Clean Air Act. This rule also is not subject to Executive Order 13045 "Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks" (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997), because it is not economically significant.

In reviewing SIP submissions, EPA's role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the Clean Air Act. In this context, in the absence of a prior existing requirement for the State to use voluntary consensus standards (VCS), EPA has no authority to disapprove a SIP submission for failure to use VCS. It would thus be inconsistent with applicable law for EPA, when it reviews a SIP submission, to use VCS in place of a SIP submission that otherwise satisfies the provisions of the Clean Air Act. Thus, the requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) do not apply. This rule does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*, as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the **Federal Register**. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the **Federal Register**. This action is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

Under section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by February 28, 2003. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this rule for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to

enforce its requirements. (See section 307(b)(2).)

**List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52**

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Intergovernmental relations, Lead, Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Sulfur oxides, Volatile organic compounds.

Dated: December 16, 2002.

**A. Stanley Meiburg,**  
*Acting Regional Administrator, Region 4.*

Part 52 of chapter I, title 40, of the *Code of Federal Regulations*, is amended as follows:

**PART 52—[AMENDED]**

1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 42.U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

**Subpart S—Kentucky**

2. Section 52.920(c) is amended to read as follows:

(a) Under Chapter 50, “General Administrative Procedures,” remove the entries for “401 KAR 50:030,” “401 KAR 50:032,” and “401 KAR 50:035”;

(b) Add, in numerical order, a new entry for “Chapter 52 Permits, Registrations, and Prohibitory Rules.”

**§ 52.920 Identification of plan.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(c) \* \* \*

**EPA-APPROVED KENTUCKY REGULATIONS FOR KENTUCKY**

Regulation	Title/subject	State effective date	EPA approval date	Federal Register Notice
* * * * *				
<b>Chapter 52 Permits, Registrations, and Prohibitory Rules</b>				
401 KAR 52:001 .....	Definitions for 401 KAR Chapter 52 .....	01/15/01	12/30/02	[Insert FR page citation]
401 KAR 52:030 .....	Federally-enforceable permits for non-major sources .....	01/15/01	12/30/02	[Insert FR page citation]
401 KAR 52:090 .....	Prohibitory rule for hot mix asphalt plants .....	01/15/01	12/30/02	[Insert FR page citation]
401 KAR 52:100 .....	Public, affected state, and U.S. EPA review .....	01/15/01	12/30/02	[Insert FR page citation]
* * * * *				

\* \* \* \* \*

[FR Doc. 02-32778 Filed 12-27-02; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION**

**47 CFR Part 54**

[CC Docket Nos. 96-45, 98-171, 90-571, 92-237, 99-200, 95-116, 98-170; FCC 02-329]

**Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service**

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** In this document, the Commission adopts several interim modifications to the existing federal universal service contribution system. The Commission concludes that these modifications to the current revenue-based contribution methodology will sustain the universal service fund and increase the predictability of support in the near term, while we continue to examine more fundamental reforms.

**DATES:** Effective January 29, 2003.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Diane Law Hsu, Acting Deputy Chief, Wireline Competition Bureau, Telecommunications Access Policy Division, (202) 418-7400.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This is a summary of the Commission’s Report and Order in CC Docket Nos. 96-45, 98-171, 90-571, 92-237, 99-200, 95-116, and 98-170 released on December 13, 2002. The full text of this document is available for public inspection during regular business hours in the FCC Reference Center, Room CY-A257, 445 Twelfth Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554.

**I. Introduction and Overview**

1. In this Report and Order, we take interim measures to maintain the viability of universal service in the near term—a fundamental goal of this Commission—while we consider further long-term reforms. First, we increase to 28.5 percent the current interim safe harbor that allows cellular, broadband Personal Communications Service (PCS), and certain Specialized Mobile Radio (SMR) providers to assume that 15 percent of their telecommunications revenues are interstate. We also require wireless telecommunications providers to make a single election whether to report actual revenues or to use the revised safe harbor for all affiliated entities within the same safe harbor category. In addition, we seek to improve competitive neutrality among contributors by modifying the existing revenue-based methodology to require universal service contributions based on

contributor-provided projections of collected end-user interstate and international telecommunications revenues, instead of historical gross-billed revenues. These changes will be implemented with the FCC Form 499-Q filed on February 1, 2003. We conclude that our actions to modify the current revenue-based contribution methodology will sustain the universal service fund and increase the predictability of support in the near term, while we continue to examine more fundamental reforms.

2. In light of these changes, we also conclude that telecommunications carriers may not recover their federal universal service contribution costs through a separate line item that includes a mark-up above the relevant contribution factor beginning April 1, 2003. Limiting the federal universal service line-item charge to an amount that does not exceed the contribution factor, set quarterly by the Commission, will increase billing transparency and decrease confusion for consumers about the amount of universal service contributions that are passed through by carriers. Carriers will continue to have the flexibility to recover legitimate administrative costs from consumers through other means.