

National Forest System lands and resources and to avoid conflict with National Forest System programs or operations; or

(3) The proposed use is an activity within the scope of a valid reserved, granted, or outstanding property right, such as a right-of-way, easement, or reservation, or is a routine operation or maintenance activity within the scope of an outstanding statutory right for a highway pursuant to R.S. 2477 (43 U.S.C. 932, repealed Oct. 21, 1976) or for ditches and canals pursuant to R.S. 2339 (43 U.S.C. 661, as amended).

3. Add the following definitions in alphabetical order to § 251.51:

§ 251.51 Definitions.

* * * * *

Commercial filming—use of motion picture, videotaping, sound recording, or any other moving image or audio recording equipment on National Forest System lands that involves the advertisement of a product or service, the creation of a product for sale, or the use of models, actors, sets, or props, but not including activities associated with broadcasting breaking news.

* * * * *

Forest road or trail—a road or trail wholly or partly within or adjacent to and serving the National Forest System, and which is necessary for the protection, administration, and utilization of the National Forest System and the use and development of its resources.

* * * * *

Guiding—providing services or assistance (such as supervision, protection, education, training, packing, touring, subsistence, transporting people, or interpretation) for pecuniary remuneration or other gain to individuals or groups in pursuit of a natural resource-based outdoor activity on National Forest System lands.

* * * * *

National Forest System road—a forest road under the jurisdiction of the Forest Service.

* * * * *

Outfitting—renting on or delivering to National Forest System lands for pecuniary remuneration or other gain any saddle or pack animal, vehicle, boat, camping gear, or similar supplies or equipment for the pursuit of a natural resource-based outdoor activity.

* * * * *

Recreation event—a recreational activity conducted on National Forest System lands for which an entry or participation fee is charged, such as animal, vehicle, or boat races; dog trials;

fishing contests; rodeos; adventure games; and fairs.

* * * * *

Still photography—use of still photographic equipment on National Forest System lands that (1) takes place at a location where members of the public are generally not allowed or where additional administrative costs are likely, or (2) uses models or props that are not a part of the site's natural or cultural resources or administrative facilities.

* * * * *

PART 261—PROHIBITIONS

Subpart A—General Prohibitions

4. The authority citation for subpart A continues to read as follows:

Authority: 16 U.S.C. 551; 16 U.S.C. 472; 7 U.S.C. 1011(f); 16 U.S.C. 1246(i); 16 U.S.C.1133(C)–(d)(1); 16 U.S.C. 620(f).

5. Revise § 261.2 to add a definition for “Forest road or trail” in alphabetical order and to revise the definitions for “National Forest System road” and “National Forest System trail” to read as follows:

§ 261.2 Definitions.

* * * * *

Forest road or trail—a road or trail wholly or partly within or adjacent to and serving the National Forest System, and which is necessary for the protection, administration, and utilization of the National Forest System and the use and development of its resources.

* * * * *

National Forest System road—a forest road under the jurisdiction of the Forest Service.

National Forest System trail—a forest trail under the jurisdiction of the Forest Service.

* * * * *

6. Revise the heading and introductory text of § 261.55, to read as follows:

§ 261.55 National Forest System trails.

When pursuant to an order issued in accordance with § 261.50 of this subpart, the following are prohibited on a National Forest System trail: * * *

* * * * *

7. Revise the heading for part 295 to read as follows:

PART 295—USE OF MOTOR VEHICLES OFF NATIONAL FOREST SYSTEM ROADS

8. The authority citation for part 295 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 30 Stat. 35, as amended (16 U.S.C. 551); 50 Stat. 525, as amended (7

U.S.C. 1011); E.O. 11644, 11989 (42 FR 26959).

Dated: January 8, 2003.

Dale N. Bosworth,
Chief.

[FR Doc. 03–1291 Filed 1–21–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–11–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[OR–01–003; FRL–7429–6]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Oregon

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) approves numerous revisions to the State of Oregon Implementation Plan submitted to EPA by the Director of the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (ODEQ) on November 5, 1999, March 7, 2000, June 26, 2001, and November 4, 2002. The revisions were submitted in accordance with the requirements of section 110 and parts C and D of title I of the Clean Air Act (hereinafter CAA or Act).

DATES: Written comments must be received on or before February 21, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to: Debra Suzuki, EPA, Office of Air Quality (OAQ–107), 1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98101.

Copies of the State's request and other information supporting this action are available for inspection during normal business hours at the following locations: EPA, Office of Air Quality (OAQ–107), 1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98101, and State of Oregon, Department of Environmental Quality, 811 SW Sixth Avenue, Portland, Oregon 97204–1390.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David C. Bray, Senior Air Pollution Scientist, EPA, Office of Air Quality (OAQ–107), Seattle, Washington 98101, (206) 553–4253.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In the Final Rules section of this **Federal Register**, the EPA is approving the State's SIP submittal as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial submittal and anticipates no adverse comments. If no adverse comments are received in response to this action, no further activity is contemplated.

If the EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time. Please note that if we receive adverse comment on an amendment, paragraph, or section of this rule and if that provision may be severed from the remainder of the rule, we may adopt as final those provisions of the rule that are not the subject of an adverse comment.

For additional information, see the Direct Final rule which is located in the Rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: December 17, 2002.

L. John Iani,

Regional Administrator, Region 10.

[FR Doc. 03-853 Filed 1-21-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[NV-040-0067; FRL-7440-5]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Nevada—Las Vegas Valley PM-10 Nonattainment Area; Serious Area Plan for Attainment of the Annual and 24-Hour PM-10 Standards

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA proposes to approve provisions of the *PM-10 State Implementation Plan for Clark County*, June 2001, (Clark County plan) that address attainment of the annual and 24-hour PM-10 national ambient air quality standards. We also propose to grant Nevada's request to extend the Clean Air Act deadline for attaining the 24-hour PM-10 standard in the Las Vegas area from 2001 to 2006.

DATES: Comments on this proposal must be received in writing by February 21, 2003. Comments should be addressed to the contact listed below.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be mailed to: Karen Irwin, Office of Air Planning (AIR-2), EPA Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901.

A copy of docket No. NV-01-03, containing the EPA technical support document (TSD) and other material relevant to this proposed action, is available for public inspection at EPA's

Region 9 office during normal business hours. We may charge you a reasonable fee for copying parts of the docket. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, Air Division, Air Planning Office (AIR-2), 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901.

Copies of the SIP materials are also available for inspection at the addresses listed below: Clark County Department of Air Quality Management, 500 S. Grand Central Pky, Las Vegas, Nevada 89155. Nevada Division of Environmental Protection, 333 West Nye Lane, Carson City, Nevada 89710.

Electronic Availability

This document and the TSD are also available as electronic files on EPA's Region 9 Web Page at <http://www.epa.gov/region09/air>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Karen Irwin, Office of Air Planning (AIR-2), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California 94105. (415) 947-4116, email: irwin.karen@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Table of Contents

- I. Summary of Today's Proposal
- II. Background to Today's Proposal
 - A. PM-10 Air Quality in the Las Vegas Area
 - B. Previous Actions on Clark County PM-10 Plans
- III. The CAA's Planning Requirements for Serious PM-10 Nonattainment Areas
- IV. The Clark County Plan's Compliance with the CAA's Requirements for Serious PM-10 Nonattainment Areas
 - A. Completeness of the SIP Submittals
 - B. Adequacy of Transportation Conformity Budgets
 - C. Adequate Monitoring Network
 - D. Emissions Inventory
 - E. Contribution to PM-10 Exceedences of Major Sources of PM-10 Precursors
 - F. Implementation of Best Available Control Measures
 1. Steps 1 and 2: Determination of Significant Sources—Adequate Modeling
 2. Step 3: Identification of potential BACM
 3. Step 4: Implementation of BACM and inclusion of MSM for Each Significant Source Category
 - a. Disturbed Vacant Land
 - b. Unpaved Parking Lots
 - c. Construction Sites
 - d. Paved Road Dust
 - e. Unpaved Roads
 - f. Race Tracks
 - g. Section 0
 - G. Applicable SIP Rules
 - H. General SIP Requirements and Enforcement of Fugitive Dust Rules
 - I. Demonstration of Attainment and Attainment Date Extension
 1. Apply for an Extension
 2. Demonstrate the Impracticability of Attainment By December 31, 2001
 3. Complied With the Commitments and Requirements in the SIP

4. Include the Most Stringent Measures
5. Demonstrate Expeditious Attainment
6. Other Factors That EPA May Consider
7. Conclusion on the Extension Request
- J. Reasonable Further Progress and Quantitative Milestones
- K. Contingency Measures
- L. Administrative Requirements

I. Summary of Today's Proposal

First, we propose to approve the provisions in the *PM-10 State Implementation Plan for Clark County*, submitted on July 25, 2001,¹ ("the Clark County serious area plan" or "Plan") that address attainment of the annual and 24-hour PM-10 standards.² Our proposed actions are based on our initial determination that the Clark County serious area plan complies with the Clean Air Act's (CAA or "the Act") requirements for serious PM-10 nonattainment area plans.

First, we propose to approve the following specific elements of the plan as they pertain to the standards:

- Demonstration that the plan provides for implementation of best available control measures (BACM);
- Emissions inventory;
- Demonstration of attainment of the annual standard by the CAA deadline of December 31, 2001 and demonstration that attainment of the 24-hour standard by December 31, 2001 is impracticable;
- Demonstration that attainment of the 24-hour standard will occur by the most expeditious alternative date practicable, in this case, December 31, 2006;
- Clark County fugitive dust rules (Sections 90 through 94 and portions of Section 0);
- Demonstration that the plan provides for reasonable further progress and quantitative milestones;
- Transportation conformity budget; and
- Contingency measures.

Second, we are proposing to grant Nevada's request to extend the attainment date for the 24-hour PM-10 standard from December 31, 2001 to December 31, 2006. We make this proposal based on our determination that the State has met the CAA's criteria for granting such extensions.

This preamble describes our proposed actions on the Clark County serious area

¹ On October 24, 2002, the Nevada Division of Environmental Protection submitted to EPA revised versions of Clark County sections 90 through 93, dated November 20, 2001, which supersede earlier versions submitted with the Plan. Also, on November 19, 2002, the Nevada Division of Environmental Protection submitted to EPA an amendment to the Clark County PM-10 Plan adopted by the Clark County Board of Commissioners on November 19, 2002.

² There are two separate national ambient air quality standards for PM-10, an annual standard of 50 µg/m³ and a 24-hour standard of 150 µg/m³.