

Anchorage International Airport, Alaska;  
 Atlanta Hartsfield International Airport, Georgia;  
 Baltimore–Washington International Airport, Maryland;  
 Bell Street Pier 66 (Seattle) Cruise Ship Terminal, Washington;  
 Bridge of the Americas POE, Texas;  
 Brownsville/Matamoros POE, Texas;  
 Buffalo Peace Bridge POE, New York;  
 Cape Vincent POE, New York;  
 Calxico POE, California;  
 Calais POE, Maine;  
 Cape Canaveral Seaport, Florida;  
 Chicago Midway Airport, Illinois;  
 Chicago O'Hare International Airport, Illinois;  
 Champlain POE, New York;  
 Charlotte International Airport, North Carolina;  
 Chateaugay POE, New York;  
 Cleveland International Airport, Ohio;  
 Columbus POE, New Mexico;  
 Dallas/Fort Worth International Airport, Texas;  
 Del Rio International Bridge POE, Texas;  
 Denver International Airport, Colorado;  
 Derby Line POE, Vermont;  
 Detroit International (Ambassador) Bridge POE, Michigan;  
 Detroit Canada Tunnel, Michigan;  
 Detroit Metro Airport, Michigan;  
 Douglas POE, Arizona;  
 Eagle Pass POE, Texas;  
 Eastport POE, Idaho;  
 Fort Covington POE, New York;  
 Fort Duncan Bridge POE, Texas;  
 Galveston POE, Texas;  
 Grand Portage POE, Minnesota;  
 Guam International Airport;  
 Heart Island POE, New York;  
 Hidalgo POE, Texas;  
 Highgate Springs POE, Vermont;  
 Honolulu International Airport, Hawaii;  
 Honolulu Seaport, Hawaii;  
 Houlton POE, Maine;  
 Houston George Bush Intercontinental Airport, Texas;  
 Houston Seaport, Texas;  
 International Falls POE, Minnesota;  
 John F. Kennedy International Airport, New York;  
 Ketchikan Seaport, Alaska;  
 Kona International Airport and Seaport, Hawaii;  
 Gateway to the Americas Bridge POE, Laredo, Texas;  
 Las Vegas (McCarran) International Airport, Nevada;  
 Lewiston Bridge POE, New York;  
 Logan International Airport, Massachusetts;  
 Long Beach Seaport, California;  
 Los Angeles International Airport, California;  
 Madawaska POE, Maine;  
 Miami International Airport, Florida;  
 Miami Marine Unit, Florida;

Minneapolis/St. Paul International Airport, Minnesota;  
 Mooers POE, New York;  
 Niagara Falls, Rainbow Bridge, New York;  
 Newark International Airport, New Jersey;  
 Nogales POE, Arizona;  
 Ogdensburg POE, New York;  
 Orlando, Florida;  
 Oroville POE, Washington;  
 Otay Mesa POE, California;  
 Pacific Highway POE, Washington;  
 Pembina POE, North Dakota;  
 Philadelphia International Airport, Pennsylvania;  
 Phoenix (Sky Harbor) International Airport, Arizona;  
 Piegan POE, Montana;  
 Pittsburgh International Airport, Pennsylvania;  
 Point Roberts POE, Washington;  
 Port Everglades Seaport, Florida;  
 Port Arthur POE, Texas;  
 Port Huron POE, Michigan;  
 Portal POE, North Dakota;  
 Portland International Airport, Oregon;  
 Progreso Bridge POE, Texas;  
 Raymond POE, Montana;  
 Roosville POE, Montana;  
 Rouses Point POE, New York;  
 San Antonio International Airport, Texas;  
 San Diego (Lindbergh Field) International Airport, California;  
 San Diego Seaport, California;  
 San Francisco International Airport, California;  
 San Juan International Airport and Seaport, Puerto Rico;  
 Sanford International Airport, Florida;  
 Sault St. Marie POE, Michigan;  
 Seattle Seaport, Washington;  
 Seaway International Bridge/Massena POE, New York;  
 Seattle–Tacoma International Airport, Washington;  
 St. Louis International Airport (Lambert Field), Missouri;  
 St. Thomas Seaport, U.S. Virgin Islands;  
 Sweetgrass POE, Montana;  
 Tampa International Airport and Seaport, Florida;  
 Thousand Islands POE, New York;  
 Trout River POE, New York;  
 Washington Dulles International Airport, Virginia; and  
 Ysleta POE, Texas

#### **Notice of Where To Report for Final Registration and Departure**

Upon registration, whether registered at a POE upon admission to the United States or at a Service office subsequent to admission, each nonimmigrant alien subject to special registration will be issued an information packet that will list each POE authorized for departure and other instructions on how to

comply with 8 CFR 264.1. This packet will also contain specific information regarding hours of operation, directions and contact numbers.

Due to the limited availability of current resources, specifically departure staff and facilities, the Service must limit the POEs authorized for departure registration to effectively capture departure data. As more POEs become available to examine special registrants upon departure, the Service will designate the POEs by notice in the **Federal Register** and make the list available at Service offices and on its Web site at <http://www.ins.usdoj.gov>.

Dated: January 31, 2003.

**Michael J. Garcia,**

*Acting Commissioner, Immigration and Naturalization Service.*

[FR Doc. 03–4130 Filed 2–18–03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410–10–P**

## **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

### **Employment and Training Administration**

#### **Indian and Native American Welfare-to-Work Grant Program; Proposed Collection; Comment Request**

**AGENCY:** Employment and Training Administration, Labor.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, conducts a preclearance consultation process to provide the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA95) [44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)]. This process helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burdens are minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed. Currently, the Employment and Training Administration (ETA) is soliciting comments concerning the reinstatement of the previously-approved reporting system for the Indian and Native American Welfare-to-Work (INA WtW) Grant Program for three more years (October 1, 2001 to September 30, 2004), or until the expiration of the program if sooner. A copy of the previously-approved information collection request (ICR), especially the reporting forms and completion instructions, can be obtained by contacting the office listed

below in the address section of this notice.

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted to the office listed in the **ADDRESSES** section below on or before April 21, 2003.

**ADDRESSES:** James C. DeLuca, Chief, Division of Indian and Native American Programs, Employment and Training Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, Room N-4641, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210. Telephone: (202) 693-3754 (VOICE) or (202) 693-3818 (FAX) (these are not toll-free numbers) or Internet: [jdeluca@doleta.gov](mailto:jdeluca@doleta.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

I. *Background:* The Employment and Training Administration of the Department of Labor is requesting reinstatement of its previously-approved reporting system for the Indian and Native American Welfare-to-Work Grant Program for three more years (October 1, 2001 to September 30, 2004), or until the program expires. Current statutory authorization for the INA WtW program has technically expired, but grantees can continue to expend funds for up to five years "after the date the funds are so provided." However, no current grantee may expend FY 1999 INA WtW funds after September 30, 2004. As a result of the statutory program amendments of 1999 and 2000, the Department has decided that the reporting system requires only relatively minor changes at this time.

II. *Review Focus:* The Department of Labor is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's burden estimate for the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.

III. *Current Actions:* The proposed renewal of this ICR will be a continuation of the previously-approved system that will be used by the approximately 34 different INA WtW grantees operating some forty (40) grants that have FY 1998 or FY 1999 funds remaining. It will be the primary reporting vehicle for enrolled individuals, their characteristics, training and services provided, outcomes, including job placement and wage data, as well as detailed financial data on program expenditures. The previously-approved paperwork burdens are covered under OMB Clearance No. 1205-0386 (expiration date 09/30/2001). However, because of the significant reduction in the number of grantees still operating INA WtW programs, those burden estimates have

not been included in the following burden estimates. For ease of analysis, the following burden estimate is broken down into the two main components of INA WtW program operation: (1) Recordkeeping; and (2) reporting.

*Type of Review:* Reinstatement.

*Agency:* Employment and Training Administration.

*Title:* Reporting system for Indian and Native American Welfare-to-Work Grant Program.

*OMB Number:* 1205-0386.

*Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number:* 17.254.

*Recordkeeping Requirements:*

Grantees shall retain supporting and other documents necessary for the compilation and submission of the subject reports for three years after submission of the final financial report for the grant in question [29 CFR 97.42 and/or 29 CFR 95.53].

*Affected Public:* Federally-recognized tribes, Alaska Native regional non-profit corporations, and/or consortia of any of the above.

*Total Estimated Burden:* 2,880 hours (reporting); 19,800 hours (recordkeeping) Detailed breakdown of the above-estimated burden hour requirements for the INA WtW program are as follows: (It should be noted that the FY 1998 and FY 1999 INA WtW grants are funded separately, by law. Therefore, even though some grantees are still operating both programs, these burden estimates are done as if the tribe were two separate entities, since the two grants must be reported upon separately and separate records must be kept on expenditures and participants.)

Required activity	INA WtW form no.	# of respondents	Responses per year	Total responses	Hours per response	Total burden hrs.
Participant Recordkeeping .....	.....	40	.....	6,600	3.00	19,800
(Reporting) Financial Status Report .....	ETA 9069-1	40	4	160	9	1,440
Participation and Characteristics Rpt .....	ETA 9069 .....	40	4	160	9	1,440
Totals .....	.....	40	8	6,920	21	22,680

**Note:** Recordkeeping estimates are based on the estimated current INA WtW caseload times an estimated average of 3.00 hours per participant record. This is currently the approximate experience with actual INA WtW performance. Also, this burden estimate does not include those INA WtW grantees participating in the demonstration under Public Law 102-477. Any INA WtW burden estimate(s) for "477 grantees" would be included under the Bureau of Indian Affairs' OMB Clearance Number 1076-0135. The individual time per response (whether plan, record, or report) varies widely depending on the degree of automation attained by individual grantees. Grantees also vary according to the numbers of individuals

served in each fiscal year. If the grantee has a fully-developed and automated MIS, the response time is limited to one-time programming plus processing time for each response. It is the Department's desire to see as many INA WtW grantees as possible become computerized, so that response time for planning and reporting will eventually sift down to an irreducible minimum with an absolute minimum of human intervention.

**Estimated Grantee Burden Costs**

(There are no capital/start-up costs involved in any INA WtW activities)

*Recordkeeping:* 19,800 hours times an estimated cost per grantee hour of \$20.00 (including fringes) = \$396,000.

*Reporting:* 2,880 hours times \$20.00 = \$57,600 per year.

*Total estimated burden costs:* \$401,760 (nationwide).

As noted, these costs will vary widely among grantees, from nearly no additional cost to some higher figure, depending on the state of automation attained by each grantee and the wages paid to the staff actually completing the various forms. All costs associated with the required submissions outlined

above, whether for recordkeeping or reporting purposes, are allowable grant expenses. Comments submitted in response to this comment request will be summarized and/or included in the request for Office of Management and Budget continuation of the information collection request; they will also become a matter of public record.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 11th day of February, 2003.

**Emily Stover DeRocco,**

*Assistant Secretary for Employment and Training Administration.*

[FR Doc. 03-3923 Filed 2-18-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-30-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Mine Safety and Health Administration

#### Petitions for Modification

The following parties have filed petitions to modify the application of existing safety standards under section 101(c) of the Federal Mine Safety and Health Act of 1977.

#### 1. Independence Coal Company, Inc.

[Docket No. M-2003-006-C]

Independence Coal Company, Inc., HC 78 Box 1800, Madison, West Virginia has filed a petition to modify the application of 30 CFR 75.1002 (Installation of electric equipment and conductors; permissibility) at its White Oak Deep Mine (MSHA I.D. No. 46-08933) located in Boone County, West Virginia. The petitioner would like to use a 2400-volt power center to power a continuous miner with high-voltage trailing cable in by the last open crosscut and within 150 feet of pillar workings. The petitioner has outlined in this petition specific terms and conditions that will be used to protect the 2400-volt trailing cable. The petitioner requests an amendment to its previous petition for modification, docket number M-2002-041-C, to allow the HV trailing cable to be treated just as the 995-volt trailing cable without jeopardizing any safety issues.

#### 2. Independence Coal Company, Inc.

[Docket No. M-2003-007-C]

Independence Coal Company, Inc., HC 78 Box 1800, Madison, West Virginia has filed a petition to modify the application of 30 CFR 75.1002 (Installation of electric equipment and conductors; permissibility) at its Jack's Branch Buffalo Creek Mine (MSHA I.D. No. 46-08513) located in Boone County, West Virginia. The petitioner would like to use a 2400-volt power center to power a continuous miner with high-

voltage trailing cable in by the last open crosscut and within 150 feet of pillar workings. The petitioner has outlined in this petition specific terms and conditions that will be used to protect the 2400-volt trailing cable. The petitioner requests an amendment to its previous petition for modification, docket number M-2002-041-C, to allow the HV trailing cable to be treated just as the 995-volt trailing cable without jeopardizing any safety issues.

#### 3. KenAmerican Resources, Inc.

[Docket No. M-2003-008-C]

KenAmerican Resources, Inc., 7590 State Route 181, Central City, Kentucky 42330 has filed a petition to modify the application of 30 CFR 75.519-1(b) (Main power circuits; disconnecting switches; locations) at its Paradise Mine (MSHA I.D. No. 15-17741) located in Muhlenberg County, Kentucky. The existing standard requires that "in an instance on which a main power circuit enters the underground area through a shaft or borehole, a disconnecting switch be installed underground within 500 feet of the bottom of the safe or borehole." The petitioner proposes to move its disconnecting switch to the main travelway in the 2nd crosscut from the slope bottom. The switch will be located approximately 750 feet-800 feet of cable length from the bottom of the power borehole. The petitioner states that its proposed alternative method would allow them to put additional roof support in the area where the switch is presently located. The petitioner asserts that the proposed alternative method would provide at least the same measure of protection as the existing standard.

#### 4. Alfred Brown Coal Company

[Docket No. M-2003-009-C]

Alfred Brown Coal Company, 71 Hill Road, Hegins, Pennsylvania 17938 has filed a petition to modify the application of 30 CFR 49.2(b) (Availability of mine rescue teams) at its 7 Ft. Slope Mine (MSHA I.D. No. 36-08893) located in Schuylkill County, Pennsylvania. The petitioner requests a modification of the standard to permit the reduction of two mine rescue teams with five members and one alternate each, to two mine rescue teams of three members with one alternate for either team. The petitioner asserts that an attempt to utilize five or more rescue team members in the mine's confined working places would result in diminution of safety to both the miners at the mine and members of the rescue team.

#### Request for Comments

Persons interested in these petitions are encouraged to submit comments via e-mail to [comments@msha.gov](mailto:comments@msha.gov), or on a computer disk along with an original hard copy to the Office of Standards, Regulations, and Variances, Mine Safety and Health Administration, 1100 Wilson Boulevard, Room 2352, Arlington, Virginia 22209. All comments must be postmarked or received in that office on or before March 21, 2003. Copies of these petitions are available for inspection at that address.

Dated at Arlington, Virginia this 11th day of February 2003.

**Marvin W. Nichols, Jr.,**

*Director, Office of Standards, Regulations, and Variances.*

[FR Doc. 03-3881 Filed 2-18-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-43-P**

## NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[Notice (03-015)]

### NASA Advisory Council (NAC), Task Force on International Space Station Operational Readiness; Meeting

**AGENCY:** National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting cancellation.

*Federal Register Citation of Previous Announcement:* 68 FR 3280, Notice Number 03-005, January 23, 2003.

*Previously Announced Date of Meeting:* February 21, 2003, 12 Noon-1 p.m. Eastern Standard Time.

Meeting has been cancelled and will be rescheduled for a later date.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Lee Pagel, Code IH, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Washington, DC 20546-0001, 202/358-4621.

**June W. Edwards,**

*Advisory Committee Management Officer, National Aeronautics and Space Administration.*

[FR Doc. 03-3996 Filed 2-18-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7510-01-P**

## NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[Notice (03-016)]

### Aerospace Medicine Occupational Health Advisory Committee

**AGENCY:** National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.