

notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

These final results of administrative review are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1) and 19 U.S.C. 1677f(i)(1)).

Dated: March 21, 2003.

**Joseph A. Spetrini,**

*Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 03-7361 Filed 3-26-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-475-818]

#### **Certain Pasta From Italy: Extension of Preliminary Results of 2001/2002 Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** March 27, 2003.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Alicia Kinsey at (202) 482-4793, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement VI, Group II, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Ave, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

**TIME LIMITS:**

#### **Statutory Time Limits**

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department to issue the preliminary results of a review within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order/finding for which a review is requested and the final results within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary results are published. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within that time period, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the time limit for the preliminary results to a maximum of 365 days and for the final results to 180 days (or 300 days if the Department does not extend the time limit for the preliminary results) from the date of the publication of the preliminary results.

#### **Background**

On August 27, 2002, the Department published a notice of initiation of the

administrative reviews of the antidumping duty orders on certain pasta from Italy, covering the period July 1, 2001, to June 30, 2002 (67 FR 55002). The preliminary results are currently due no later than April 2, 2003.

#### **Extension of Preliminary Results of Reviews**

We determine that it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results of these reviews within the original time limits. Therefore, we are extending the time limits for completion of the preliminary results until no later than July 31, 2003. *See* Extension of Time Limits for the Preliminary Results Memorandum from Melissa Skinner, Director of Office VI, to Gary S. Taverman, Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary, dated March 20, 2003, which is on file in the Central Records Unit, B-099 of the main Commerce Building. We intend to issue the final results no later than 120 days after the publication of the notice of preliminary results of these reviews.

This extension is in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Dated: March 20, 2003.

**Gary S. Taverman,**

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary, Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 03-7362 Filed 3-26-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-570-825]

#### **Sebacic Acid From the People's Republic of China: Notice of Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Review and Preliminary Intent Not To Revoke the Antidumping Duty Order**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** March 27, 2003.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Mike Strollo or Gregory E. Kalbaugh at (202) 482-0629 or (202) 482-3693, respectively, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement, Office 2, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

**SUMMARY:** On January 10, 2003, in response to a request by Morflex, Inc., a U.S. importer of subject merchandise and an interested party in this proceeding, the Department of

Commerce initiated a changed circumstances review to consider revocation of the antidumping duty order on sebacic acid from the People's Republic of China.

We preliminarily determine that there is no reasonable basis to believe that changed circumstances sufficient to warrant revocation exist because interested parties have expressed interest in maintaining the antidumping duty order, and there are no grounds for assuming that revocation of the order is supported by "substantially all" of the domestic producers of the like product. Consequently, we preliminarily do not intend to revoke the order on sebacic acid from the People's Republic of China. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Background**

On July 14, 1994, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the antidumping duty order on sebacic acid from the People's Republic of China (PRC). *See Antidumping Duty Order: Sebacic Acid From the People's Republic of China*, 59 FR 35909 (July 14, 1994). On November 26, 2002, Morflex, Inc. (Morflex), a U.S. importer of subject merchandise and an interested party in this proceeding, requested that the Department revoke the antidumping duty order on sebacic acid from the PRC through a changed circumstances review. According to Morflex, Arizona Chemical Corporation (ACC), a domestic producer of sebacic acid, intended to cease production of sebacic acid in the United States at the end of November 2002. ACC asserts that it is the successor-in-interest to the original petitioner in this proceeding, Union Camp Corporation. In addition, on September 25, 2002, prior to Morflex's request, ACC notified the Department that it intended to cease production of sebacic acid no later than December 31, 2002.

Based on the information submitted by Morflex and ACC, the Department determined that there was sufficient evidence of changed circumstances to warrant a review under section 751(b)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.222(g) and 351.216, and consequently, we initiated a changed circumstances review on January 10, 2003. *See Sebacic Acid from the People's Republic of China: Notice of Initiation of Changed Circumstances Review and Consideration of Revocation of the Antidumping Duty Order*, 68 FR 2315-01 (January 16, 2003) (*Initiation Notice*). In the *Initiation Notice*, we stated that the Department would consider whether there is interest in