For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.⁷

Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03–31950 Filed 12–29–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 8010–01–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–48965; File No. SR–NASD– 2003–166]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change and Amendment No. 1 by the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. To Correct Inaccurate Descriptions of the Territorial Boundaries of Two NASD District Offices

December 19, 2003.

Pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),¹ and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on November 12, 2003, the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. ("NASD") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by NASD. NASD amended the proposed rule change on December 18, 2003.3 NASD filed the proposed rule change pursuant to section 19(b)(3)(A)(i) of the Act,⁴ and Rule 19b-4(f)(1) thereunder,⁵ as one constituting a stated policy, practice, or interpretation with respect to the meaning, administration, or enforcement of an existing rule, which renders the proposed rule change effective upon filing with the Commission. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change, as amended, from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

NASD proposes to amend Schedule B to the NASD By-Laws to correct the inaccurate descriptions of the territorial boundaries of two NASD District Offices. The text of the proposed rule change is available at NASD and at the Commission.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, NASD included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. NASD has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The proposed rule change would amend Schedule B to the NASD By-Laws to correct inaccurate descriptions of Districts 9 and 10. Before 1997, the New York counties of Orange, Rockland, Putnam, and Westchester were assigned to NASD's District 10 office. In late 1997, during a reorganization of the District Offices, District 11 assumed responsibility for Orange, Rockland, Putnam, and Westchester counties. However, NASD failed to amend Schedule B to reflect this change.

In 2003, NASD conducted its most recent reorganization of the District Offices and, among other things, transferred responsibility for the New York counties of Orange, Rockland, Putnam, and Westchester from District 11 to District 9. After filing a rule change to Schedule B to the NASD By-Laws to reflect the Districts' new descriptions,⁶ NASD became aware that the 1997 change was never reflected in Schedule B, and that Schedule B still lists District 10 as being responsible for the New York counties of Orange, Rockland, Putnam, and Westchester. Accordingly, NASD is filing the proposed rule change to amend Schedule B to the NASD By-Laws to accurately align these counties within District 9.

2. Statutory Basis

NASD believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with the provisions of section 15A(b)(6) of the Act,⁷ which requires, among other things, that NASD's rules must be designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

NASD does not believe that the proposed rule change will result in any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act, as amended.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

Written comments were neither solicited nor received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing proposal has become effective pursuant to section 19(b)(3)(A)(i) of the Act,⁸ and Rule 19b– $4(f)(1)^{9}$ thereunder, in that it constitutes a stated policy and interpretation with respect to the meaning of an existing rule. At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission may summarily abrogate such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Persons making written submissions should file six copies thereof with the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549-0609. Comments may also be submitted electronically at the following e-mail address: rule-comments@sec.gov. All comment letters should refer to File No. SR-NASD-2003-166. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review

⁷ 17 CFR 200.30–3(a)(12).

¹15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ See December 17, 2003 letter from Patricia M. Albrecht, Assistant General Counsel, Regulatory Policy and Oversight, NASD, to Katherine A. England, Assistant Director, Division of Market Regulation, Commission ("Amendment No. 1"). In Amendment No. 1, NASD corrected a typographical error in the proposed rule text, and added language to clarify the reason for the proposed rule change. For purposes of calculating the 60-day abrogation period, the Commission considers the period to have begun on December 18, 2003, the day NASD filed Amendment No. 1.

^{4 15} U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(i).

⁵¹⁷ CFR 240.19b-4(f)(1)

⁶ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 48488 (September 3, 2003), 68 FR 54762 (September 12, 2003) (SR–NASD–2003–138).

⁷¹⁵ U.S.C. 780-3(b)(6).

⁸ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(i).

⁹¹⁷ CFR 240.19b-4(f)(1).

comments more efficiently, commentsshould be sent in hardcopy or by e-mailI. Self-should be sent in hardcopy or by e-mailStatembut not by both methods. Copies of theThe Proposed fullesubmission, all subsequentThe Proposed ruleamendments, all written statementsStatemwith respect to the proposed ruleAssociachange that are filed with thesale rulecommunications relating to theThe Nation of the Nationproposed rule change between thethe Pricommission and any person, other thanthe Prithose that may be withheld from thestandarpublic in accordance with theThe Nationprovisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be15, 200

provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Room. Copies of such filing will also be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the NASD. All submissions should refer to file number SR–NASD–2003–166 and should be submitted by January 20, 2004.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.¹⁰

Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03–31948 Filed 12–29–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 8010–01–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–48967; File No. SR–NASD– 03–191]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Filing of a Proposed Rule Change by the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. Relating to an Extension of the Short Sale Rule and Continued Suspension of the Primary Market Maker Standards Set Forth in NASD Rule 4612

December 22, 2003.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")¹ and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on December 19, 2003, the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. ("NASD" or "Association"), through its subsidiary, The Nasdaq Stock Market, Inc. ("Nasdaq") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the Nasdaq. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Nasdaq is proposing to extend the pilot program of the National Association of Securities Dealers' short sale rule retroactively to December 15 and prospectively until June 15, 2004. The Nasdaq is also seeking to continue the suspension of the effectiveness of the Primary Market Maker ("PMM") standards currently set forth in NASD Rule 4162, also retroactive to December 15, 2003 and prospective through June 15, 2004. The text of the proposed rule change is as follows. Additions are *italicized;* deletions are bracketed.

* * * *

NASD Rule 3350

(a)–(k) No Change. (l) This section shall be in effect until June 15, 2004 [December 15, 2003].

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Nasdaq included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item III below. The Nasdaq has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

Background and Description of the NASD's Short Sale Rule. Section 10(a) of the Act³ gives the Commission plenary authority to regulate short sales of securities registered on a national securities exchange, as needed to protect investors. Although the Commission has regulated short sales since 1938, that regulation has been limited to short sales of exchange-listed securities. In 1992, the Nasdaq, believing that short-sale regulation is important to the orderly operation of securities markets, proposed a short sale rule for trading of its National Market securities that incorporates the protections provided by Rule 10a-1 under the Act.⁴ On June 29, 1994, the Commission approved the NASD's short sale rule (the "Rule") applicable to short sales ⁵ in Nasdaq National Market ("NNM") securities on an eighteenmonth pilot basis through March 5, 1996.⁶ The NASD and the Commission have extended NASD Rule 3350 numerous times, most recently, until December 15, 2003.

The Rule employs a "bid" test rather than a tick test because Nasdaq trades are not necessarily reported to the tape in chronological order. The Rule prohibits short sales at or below the inside bid when the current inside bid is below the previous inside bid. The Nasdaq calculates the inside bid from all market makers in the security and disseminates symbols to denote whether the current inside bid is an "up-bid" or a "down-bid." To effect a "legal" short sale on a down-bid, the short sale must be executed at a price at least \$.01 above the current inside bid. The Rule is in effect from 9:30 a.m. until 4:00 p.m. each trading day.

In December of 2002, the Nasdaq modified the method it uses to calculate the last bid by having it refer to the "Nasdaq Inside" which is comprised of quotations from all participants in Nasdaq execution systems (e.g., SuperMontage), rather than referring to the National Best Bid and Offer ("NBBO"). The Nasdaq currently calculates and applies the Nasdaq-based bid tick indicator to all SuperMontage trades. With respect to trades executed outside Nasdaq execution systems and reported to the Nasdaq, Nasdaq participants have been permitted to transition from the NBBO-based bid tick to the Nasdag-based bid tick, provided that each firm select and apply a single bid tick indicator for all such trades executed by that firm. That transition has not been completed and, as explained below, in light of the Commission's proposal of Regulation SHO, the Nasdaq has alerted members that it would not be prudent to transition from the NBBO bid tick to the Nasdaq bid tick at this time.

To reduce the compliance burdens on its members, the Rule also incorporates seven exemptions contained in Rule 10a–1 under the Act,⁷ and other exemptions that are relevant to trading

¹⁰ 17 CFR 200.30–3(a)(12).

¹15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³15 U.S.C. 78a(10)(A).

⁴ 17 CFR 240.10a–1.

⁵ A short sale is a sale of a security that the seller does not own or any sale that is consummated by the delivery of a security borrowed by, or for the account of, the seller. To determine whether a sale is a short sale members must adhere to the definition of a "short sale" contained in Rule 3b– 3 of the Act, which is incorporated into Nasdaq's short sale rule by NASD Rule 3350(k)(1).

⁶ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 34277 (June 29, 1994), 59 FR 34885 (July 7, 1994) ("Short Sale Rule Approval Order"). ⁷ 17 CFR 240.10a–1.