of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA95) [44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)]. PRA95 helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed.

DATES: Submit comments on or before January 10, 2004.

ADDRESSES: Send comments to Mr. Anthony Dais, Chief, Division of USES/ALMIS, Office of Workforce Investment, Employment and Training Administration, 200 Constitution Ave., NW., Rm. S–4231, Washington, DC 20210, 202–693–2784 (this is not a toll-free number) or dais.anthony@dol.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Olaf Bjorklund, Division of USES/ALMIS, Office of Workforce Investment, Employment and Training Administration, 200 Constitution Ave., NW., Rm. S–4231, Washington, DC 20210, 202–693–2870 (this is not a toll-free number) or bjorklund.olaf@dol.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

The Employment and Training Administration (ETA) published a planning guidance for PY 2004 Workforce Information Core Products and Services grants to states in Training and Employment Guidance Letter (TEGL) 1-04, on July 2, 2004. The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has reviewed and granted a temporary approval of the Information Collection Request for TEGL 1-04 (OMB Control Number 1205-0417). The approval expires February 28, 2005. ETA is requesting that the information collection requirements specified in TEGL 1–04 be continued as a regular OMB approval for three years. This Federal Register notice is requesting public comments and recommendations regarding the continuance of the information collection.

The collection from each grantee includes:

- (a) Submission of an annual plan narrative signed by both the Administrator of the State Workforce Agency (SWA) and the Chair of the State Workforce Investment Board (SWIB), or by the Governor if the SWA and SWIB cannot agree on grant deliverables.
- (b) A documented assessment of customer satisfaction with the information products and services provided with the grant funds.

(c) Submission of an annual performance report signed by both the administrator of the SWA and chair of the SWIB, or by the Governor.

II. Desired Focus of Comments

Comments should:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.

A copy of the proposed collection can be obtained by contacting the office listed above in the addressee section of this notice.

III. Current Actions

Type of Review: Continuing.

Agency: Employment and Training Administration.

Title: PY 2004 Workforce Information Core Products and Services grants.

OMB Number: 1205-0417.

Affected Public: States.

Total Burden Cost (Capital/Startup): \$0.

Total Burden Cost (Operating/Maintaining): \$0.

Comments submitted in response to this comment request will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of the information collection request; they will also become a matter of public record.

Dated: November 3, 2004.

Gay M. Gilbert,

Administrator, Office of Workforce Investment.

[FR Doc. E4–3078 Filed 11–8–04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Mine Safety and Health Administration

Proposed Information Collection Request Submitted for Public Comment and Recommendations; Hoist Operators Physical Fitness

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA95) [44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)]. This program helps ensure that requested data is provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed. **DATES:** Submit comments on or before January 10, 2005.

ADDRESSES: Send comments to Melissa Stoehr, Acting Chief, Records Management Branch, 1100 Wilson Boulevard, Room 2134, Arlington, VA 22209–3939. Commenters are

encouraged to send their comments on computer disk, or via e-mail to *stoehr.melissa@dol.gov.* Ms. Stoehr can be reached at (202) 693–9837 (voice), or (202) 693–9801 (facsimile).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Contact the employee listed in the ADDRESSES section of this notice. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

Title 30 CFR 56.19057 and 57.19057 require the annual examination and certification of a hoist operator's fitness. The safety of all metal and nonmetallic miners riding hoist conveyances is dependent upon the attentiveness and physical capabilities of the hoist operator, in routine and emergency evacuations. Improper movement, overspeed, and overtravel of a hoisting conveyance can result in serious physical harm or death to all passengers. While small mine operators are likely to have fewer hoists and hoist operators, Congress intended that the Mine Act be enforced at all mining operations within its jurisdiction regardless of size and that information collection and record keeping requirements be consistent with efficient and effective enforcement of the Mine Act. However, Congress did

recognize that small operations may face problems in complying with some Mine Act provisions. Section 103(e) of the Mine Act directs the Secretary of Labor not to impose an unreasonable burden on small businesses when obtaining any information under the Mine Act. This information collection does not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities.

II. Desired Focus of Comments

Currently, the Mine Safety and Health Administration (MSHA) is soliciting comments concerning the proposed extension of the information collection requirement related to the Hoist Operators' Physical Fitness. MSHA is particularly interested in comments that:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of MSHA's functions, including whether the information has practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of MSHA's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Suggest methods to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Address the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, (e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses) to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond.

Å copy of the proposed information collection request can be obtained by contacting the employee listed in the ADDRESSES section of this notice or viewed on the Internet by accessing the MSHA home page (http://www.msha.gov) and then choosing "Statutory and Regulatory Information" and "Federal Register Documents."

III. Current Actions

Title 30 CFR Sections 56.19057 and 57.19057 require the annual examination and certification of a hoist operator's fitness. The safety of all metal and nonmetallic miners riding hoist conveyances is dependent upon the attentiveness and physical capabilities of the hoist operators, in routine and emergency evacuations. Improper movements, overspeed, and overtravel of a hoisting conveyance can result in serious physical harm or death to all passengers. Small mine operators are likely to have fewer hoists and hoist operators.

Type of Review: Extension.

Agency: Mine Safety and Health Administration.

Title: Hoist Operator's Physical Fitness.

OMB Number: 1219-0049.

Affected Public: Business or other forprofit.

Frequency: Annually.

Recordkeeping: At least one year from the time that certification is obtained.

Total Respondents: 58.

Total Responses: 290. Average Time per Response: .0333

hours.
Estimated Total Burden Hours: 9.7.
Total Annualized Capital/Startup

Total Operating and Maintenance Costs: \$89,320.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval of the information collection request; they will also become a matter of public record.

Dated in Arlington, Virginia, this 22nd day of October 2004.

David L. Mever.

Costs: \$0.

Director, Office of Administration and Management.

[FR Doc. 04–24899 Filed 11–8–04; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 4510–43–P**

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Mine Safety and Health Administration

Proposed Information Collection Request Submitted for Public Comment and Recommendations; Records of Tests and Examinations of Personnel Hoisting Equipment

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA95) [44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)]. This program helps ensure that requested data is provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed.

DATES: Submit comments on or before January 10, 2005.

ADDRESSES: Send comments to Melissa Stoehr, Acting Chief, Records Management Branch, 1100 Wilson

Boulevard, Room 2134, Arlington, VA 22209–3939. Commenters are encouraged to send their comments on computer disk, or via e-mail to *stoehr.melissa@dol.gov*. Ms. Stoehr can be reached at (202) 693–9837 (voice), or (202) 693–9801 (facsimile).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Contact the employee listed in the **ADDRESSES** section of this notice.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

These requirements provide for a record of specific test and inspections of a mine's personnel hoisting systems, including the wire rope, to ensure that the system remains safe to operate. Review of the record indicates whether deficiencies are developing in the equipment, in particular the wire rope, so that corrective action may be taken before an accident occurs. The requirements also provide for a systematic procedure for the inspection, testing, and maintenance of shaft and hoisting equipment. The mine operator must certify that the required inspections, tests, and maintenance have been made then record any unsafe condition identified during the examination or test.

The precise format in which the record is kept is left to the discretion of the mine operator. All records are made by the person conducting the required examination or test. Unless otherwise noted below, these records are to be retained for one year at the mine site.

II. Desired Focus of Comments

Currently, the Mine Safety and Health Administration (MSHA) is soliciting comments concerning the proposed extension of the information collection requirement related to Records of Tests and Examinations of Personnel Hoisting Equipment. MSHA is particularly interested in comments that:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of MSHA's functions, including whether the information has practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of MSHA's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Suggest methods to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Address the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, (e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses) to minimize