

- Testing Wireless LAN Systems in Aircraft, by K Yamanoto of ENRI, Japan
- Topics will include:
 - Electromagnetic propagation in aircraft fuselage using WLAN frequencies
 - The shielding effect of aircraft body in WLAN frequencies
 - Equipment to detect high intensity radio waves in aircraft
 - Report on flight investigations with GSM Mobile phones on board, by S. Knefelkamp of Airbus
 - Topics will include:
 - Receivable measured field strengths from ground base stations and related issues
 - January 13:
 - Chairman's Day 2 Opening Remarks and Process Check
 - Working Groups report out/each working group will cover the following recommendations:
 - Phase 2 work statement
 - Revisions to Terms of Reference (TOR)
 - Revisions to committee structure
 - Work plan for Phase 2
 - Schedule for Work Plan
 - Working Group 1 (PEDs characterization, test, and evaluation)
 - Working Group 2 (Aircraft test and analysis)
 - Working Group 3 (Aircraft systems susceptibility)
 - Working Group 4 (Risk assessment, practical application, and final documentation)
 - Human Factors sub-group
 - Committee consensus on Phase 2 work statement, committee structure, work plan, and schedule
 - Closing Session (Other Business, Date and Place of Next Meeting, Closing Remarks, Adjourn)
 - Working Group breakout sessions as required and time permits
 - January 14:
 - Working sessions for SC-202

Working Groups to complete action items, if required

Attendance is open to the interested public but limited to space availability. With the approval of the chairmen, members of the public may present oral statements at the meeting. Persons wishing to present statements or obtain information should contact the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section. Members of the public may present a written statement to the committee at any time.

Issued in Washington, DC, on November 23, 2004.

Natalie Ogletree,

FAA General Engineer, RTCA Advisory Committee.

[FR Doc. 04-27360 Filed 12-13-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Highway Administration

AGENCY: Federal Highway Administration, Department of Transportation.

ACTION: Correction to notice of intent.

SUMMARY: The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), in cooperation with the Riverside County Transportation Commission (RCTC) and the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans), is correcting an address for the Notice of Intent (NOI) informing the public that an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) will be prepared for the proposed Mid County Parkway (MCP) project. The original NOI was published on November 22, 2004, (Volume 69, Number 224, Pages 68002-68003). The EIS will study alternatives to implement the proposed Mid County Parkway project in western Riverside County between Interstate 15 (I-15) to the west and State Route 79 (SR 79) to the east. The original NOI contained an outdated address. The correct address is identified below under contacts.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Tay Dam, Senior Transportation Engineer, Federal Highway Administration—Los Angeles Metro Office, 888 S. Figueroa Street, Suite 1850, Los Angeles, California 90017. Telephone: (213) 202-3954. Fax: (213) 202-3961 or Cathy Bechtel, Riverside County Transportation Commission, 4080 Lemon Street, 3rd Floor, PO Box 12008, Riverside, CA 92502-2208. Telephone: (951) 787-7141. Fax: (951) 787-7920.

Issued on December 8, 2004.

Maiser Khaled,

Director, Project Development & Environmental, California Division, Federal Highway Administration.

[FR Doc. 04-27318 Filed 12-3-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-22-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[U.S. DOT Docket Number NHTSA-2004-19844]

Reports, Forms, and Recordkeeping Requirements

AGENCY: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), Department of Transportation.

ACTION: Request for public comment on proposed collection of information.

SUMMARY: Before a Federal agency can collect certain information from the public, it must receive approval from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). Under procedures established by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, before seeking OMB approval, Federal agencies must solicit public comment on proposed collections of information, including extensions and reinstatement of previously approved collections.

This document describes one collection of information for which NHTSA intends to seek OMB approval.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before February 14, 2005.

ADDRESSES: Comments must refer to the docket notice numbers cited at the beginning of this notice and be submitted to Docket Management, Room PL-401, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590. Please identify the proposed collection of information for which a comment is provided, by referencing its OMB clearance Number. It is requested, but not required, that 2 copies of the comment be provided. The Docket Section is open on weekdays from 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Walter Culbreath, NHTSA 400 Seventh Street, SW., Rm. 2404, NPO-400, Washington, DC 20590. Mr. Culbreath's telephone number is (202) 366-1566. Please identify the relevant collection of information by referring to its OMB Control Number.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, before an agency submits a proposed collection of information to OMB for approval, it must first publish a document in the **Federal Register** providing a 60-day comment period and otherwise consult with members of the public and affected agencies concerning each proposed collection of information. The OMB has promulgated regulations describing what must be included in such a document. Under OMB's regulation (at 5 CFR 1320.8(d), an agency must ask for public comment on the following:

(i) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(ii) The accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(iii) How to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected;